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(1) Analytic Functions

- Differentiability and Analyticity
- Cauchy-Riemann Equations
- Harmonic Functions


## Subsection 1

## Differentiability and Analyticity

## Complex versus Real Function Calculus

- The calculus of complex functions deals with the usual concepts of derivatives and integrals of these functions.
- We shall present, next, the limit definition of the derivative of a complex function $f(z)$.
- Many of the concepts seem familiar, such as the product, quotient, and chain rules of differentiation, but there are important differences between the calculus of complex and of real functions $f(x)$.
- In essence, apart for the familiarity of names and definitions, there is little similarity between the interpretations of quantities such as $f^{\prime}(x)$ and $f^{\prime}(z)$.


## Derivative of Complex Function

- Suppose $z=x+i y$ and $z_{0}=x_{0}+i y_{0}$. Then the change in $z_{0}$ is the difference $\Delta z=z-z_{0}$ or $\Delta z=x-x_{0}+i\left(y-y_{0}\right)=\Delta x+i \Delta y$.
- If a complex function $w=f(z)$ is defined at $z$ and $z_{0}$, then the corresponding change in $w$ is the difference $\Delta w=f\left(z_{0}+\Delta z\right)-f\left(z_{0}\right)$.


## Definition (Derivative of Complex Function)

Suppose the complex function $f$ is defined in a neighborhood of a point $z_{0}$. The derivative of $f$ at $z_{0}$, denoted by $f^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)$, is

$$
f^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)=\lim _{\Delta z \rightarrow 0} \frac{f\left(z_{0}+\Delta z\right)-f\left(z_{0}\right)}{\Delta z}
$$

provided this limit exists.

- If the limit exists, then $f$ is said to be differentiable at $z_{0}$.
- Two other symbols denoting the derivative of $w=f(z)$ are $w^{\prime}$ and $\frac{d w}{d z}$. In the latter notation, the value of $\frac{d w}{d z}$ at $z_{0}$ is written $\left.\frac{d w}{d z}\right|_{z=z_{0}}$.


## Example

- Use the definition to find the derivative of $f(z)=z^{2}-5 z$.

To compute the derivative of $f$ at any point $z$, we replace $z_{0}$ by the symbol $z$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f(z+\Delta z)=(z+\Delta z)^{2}-5(z+\Delta z)=z^{2}+2 z \Delta z+(\Delta z)^{2}-5 z-5 \Delta z \\
& f(z+\Delta z)-f(z)= z^{2}+2 z \Delta z+(\Delta z)^{2}-5 z-5 \Delta z \\
&-\left(z^{2}-5 z\right) \\
&= 2 z \Delta z+(\Delta z)^{2}-5 \Delta z
\end{aligned}
$$

Finally, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
f^{\prime}(z) & =\lim _{\Delta z \rightarrow 0} \frac{2 z \Delta z+(\Delta z)^{2}-5 \Delta z}{\Delta z} \\
& =\lim _{\Delta z \rightarrow 0} \frac{\Delta z(2 z+\Delta z-5)}{\Delta z} \\
& =\lim _{\Delta z \rightarrow 0}(2 z+\Delta z-5)
\end{aligned}
$$

The limit is $f^{\prime}(z)=2 z-5$.

## Differentiation Rules

## Differentiation Rules

- Constant Rules: $\frac{d}{d z} c=0$ and $\frac{d}{d z} c f(z)=c f^{\prime}(z)$;
- Sum Rule: $\frac{d}{d z}[f(z) \pm g(z)]=f^{\prime}(z) \pm g^{\prime}(z)$;
- Product Rule: $\frac{d}{d z}[f(z) g(z)]=f^{\prime}(z) g(z)+f(z) g^{\prime}(z)$;
- Quotient Rule: $\frac{d}{d z}\left[\frac{f(z)}{g(z)}\right]=\frac{f^{\prime}(z) g(z)-f(z) g^{\prime}(z)}{[g(z)]^{2}}$;
- Chain Rule: $\frac{d}{d z} f(g(z))=f^{\prime}(g(z)) g^{\prime}(z)$.
- The power rule for differentiation of powers of $z$ is also valid:

$$
\frac{d}{d z} z^{n}=n z^{n-1}, n \text { an integer }
$$

- Therefore, we also have the power rule for functions:

$$
\frac{d}{d z}[g(z)]^{n}=n[g(z)]^{n-1} g^{\prime}(z), n \text { an integer }
$$

## Using the Rules of Differentiation

- Differentiate:
(a) $f(z)=3 z^{4}-5 z^{3}+2 z$
(b) $f(z)=\frac{z^{2}}{4 z+1}$
(c) $f(z)=\left(i z^{2}+3 z\right)^{5}$
(a) $f^{\prime}(z)=3 \cdot 4 z^{3}-5 \cdot 3 z^{2}+2 \cdot 1=12 z^{3}-15 z^{2}+2$.
(b) $f^{\prime}(z)=\frac{2 z \cdot(4 z+1)-z^{2} \cdot 4}{(4 z+1)^{2}}=\frac{4 z^{2}+2 z}{(4 z+1)^{2}}$.
(c) $f^{\prime}(z)=5\left(i z^{2}+3 z\right)^{4} \frac{d}{d z}\left(i z^{2}+3 z\right)=5\left(i z^{2}+3 z\right)^{4}(2 i z+3)$.


## Complex Differentiability

- For a complex function $f$ to be differentiable at a point $z_{0}$, we know from the preceding chapter that the limit $\lim _{\Delta z \rightarrow 0} \frac{f\left(z_{0}+\Delta z\right)-f\left(z_{0}\right)}{\Delta z}$ must exist and equal the same complex number from any direction, i.e., the limit must exist regardless how $\Delta z$ approaches 0 .
- In complex analysis, the requirement of differentiability of a function $f(z)$ at a point $z_{0}$ is a far greater demand than in real calculus of functions $f(x)$ where we can approach a real number $x_{0}$ on the number line from only two directions.
- If a complex function is made up by specifying its real and imaginary parts $u$ and $v$, such as $f(z)=x+4 i y$, there is a good chance that it is not differentiable.


## A Nowhere Differentiable Complex Function

- The function $f(z)=x+4 i y$ is not differentiable at any point $z$. Let $z$ be any point in the complex plane. With $\Delta z=\Delta x+i \Delta y$, $f(z+\Delta z)-f(z)=(x+\Delta x)+4 i(y+\Delta y)-x-4 i y=\Delta x+4 i \Delta y$ and so $\lim _{\Delta z \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(z+\Delta z)-f(z)}{\Delta z}=\lim _{\Delta z \rightarrow 0} \frac{\Delta x+4 i \Delta y}{\Delta x+i \Delta y}$.
- If we let $\Delta z \rightarrow 0$ along a line parallel to the $x$-axis, then $\Delta y=0$, $\Delta z=\Delta x$ and $\lim _{\Delta z \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(z+\Delta z)-f(z)}{\Delta z}=\lim _{\Delta z \rightarrow 0} \frac{\Delta x}{\Delta x}=1$.
- If we let $\Delta z \rightarrow 0$ along a line parallel to the $y$-axis, then $\Delta x=0$, and $\Delta z=i \Delta y$, so that $\lim _{\Delta z \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(z+\Delta z)-f(z)}{\Delta z}=\lim _{\Delta z \rightarrow 0} \frac{4 i \Delta y}{i \Delta y}=4$.
Since the two values are different, $f(z)=x+4 i y$ is nowhere differentiable, i.e., $f$ is not differentiable at any point $z$.


## Analytic Functions

- There is an important class of functions whose members satisfy even more severe requirements than just differentiability.


## Definition (Analyticity at a Point)

A complex function $w=f(z)$ is said to be analytic at a point $z_{0}$ if $f$ is differentiable at $z_{0}$ and at every point in some neighborhood of $z_{0}$.

- A function $f$ is analytic in a domain $D$ if it is analytic at every point in $D$. Sometimes "analytic on a domain $D$ " is also used.
- A function $f$ that is analytic throughout a domain $D$ is called holomorphic or regular.


## Analyticity versus Differentiability

- It is very important to notice that analyticity at a point is not the same as differentiability at a point:
- Analyticity at a point is a neighborhood property, i.e., analyticity is a property that is defined over an open set.
- Example: The function $f(z)=|z|^{2}$ is differentiable at $z=0$ but is not differentiable anywhere else. Even though $f(z)=|z|^{2}$ is differentiable at $z=0$, it is not analytic at $z=0$ because there exists no neighborhood of $z=0$ throughout which $f$ is differentiable. Hence the function $f(z)=|z|^{2}$ is nowhere analytic.
- Example: The simple polynomial $f(z)=z^{2}$ is differentiable at every point $z$ in the complex plane. Hence, $f(z)=z^{2}$ is analytic everywhere.


## Entire Functions

- A function that is analytic at every point $z$ in the complex plane is said to be an entire function.
- The differentiation rules allow us to conclude that:
- Polynomial functions are differentiable at every point $z$ in the complex plane;
- Rational functions are analytic throughout any domain $D$ that contains no points at which the denominator is zero.


## Theorem (Polynomial and Rational Functions)

(i) A polynomial function

$$
p(z)=a_{n} z^{n}+a_{n-1} z^{n-1}+\cdots+a_{1} z+a_{0}
$$

where $n$ is a nonnegative integer, is an entire function.
(ii) A rational function $f(z)=\frac{p(z)}{q(z)}$, where $p$ and $q$ are polynomial functions, is analytic in any domain $D$ that contains no point $z_{0}$ for which $q\left(z_{0}\right)=0$.

## Singular Points

- Since the rational function

$$
f(z)=\frac{4 z}{z^{2}-2 z+2}
$$

is discontinuous at $1+i$ and $1-i, f$ fails to be analytic at $1 \pm i$.
By the preceding theorem, $f$ is not analytic in any domain containing one or both of these points.

- In general, a point $z$ at which a complex function $w=f(z)$ fails to be analytic is called a singular point of $f$.


## Analyticity of Sum, Product, and Quotient

If the functions $f$ and $g$ are analytic in a domain $D$, then:

- The sum $f(z)+g(z)$, difference $f(z)-g(z)$, and product $f(z) g(z)$ are analytic.
- The quotient $\frac{f(z)}{g(z)}$ is analytic provided $g(z) \neq 0$ in $D$.


## An Alternative Definition of $f^{\prime}(z)$

- Since $\Delta z=z-z_{0}$, then $z=z_{0}+\Delta z$. Thus, we get

$$
f^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)=\lim _{z \rightarrow z_{0}} \frac{f(z)-f\left(z_{0}\right)}{z-z_{0}}
$$

- If we wish to compute $f^{\prime}$ at a general point $z$, then we replace $z_{0}$ by the symbol $z$ after the limit is computed.


## Theorem (Differentiability Implies Continuity)

If $f$ is differentiable at a $z_{0}$ in a domain $D$, then $f$ is continuous at $z_{0}$.

- The limits $\lim _{z \rightarrow z_{0}} \frac{f(z)-f\left(z_{0}\right)}{z-z_{0}}$ and $\lim _{z \rightarrow z_{0}}\left(z-z_{0}\right)$ exist and equal $f^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)$ and 0 , respectively. Hence, we can write $\lim _{z \rightarrow z_{0}}\left(f(z)-f\left(z_{0}\right)\right)=\lim _{z \rightarrow z_{0}} \frac{f(z)-f\left(z_{0}\right)}{z-z_{0}} \cdot\left(z-z_{0}\right)=$ $\lim _{z \rightarrow z_{0}} \frac{f(z)-f\left(z_{0}\right)}{z-z_{0}} \cdot \lim _{z \rightarrow z_{0}}\left(z-z_{0}\right)=f^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right) \cdot 0=0$. From $\lim _{z \rightarrow z_{0}}\left(f(z)-f\left(z_{0}\right)\right)=0$, we conclude that $\lim _{z \rightarrow z_{0}} f(z)=f\left(z_{0}\right)$. Thus, $f$ is continuous at $z_{0}$.


## L'Hôpital's Rule

- The converse of the preceding theorem is not true, i.e., continuity of a function $f$ at a point does not guarantee that $f$ is differentiable at the point.
- Example: The simple function $f(z)=x+4 i y$ is continuous everywhere because the real and imaginary parts of $f, u(x, y)=x$ and $v(x, y)=4 y$ are continuous at any point $(x, y)$. Yet we have seen that $f(z)=x+4 i y$ is not differentiable at any point $z$.
- L'Hôpital's rule for computing limits of the indeterminate form $0 / 0$, carries over to complex analysis:


## Theorem (L'Hôpital's Rule)

Suppose $f$ and $g$ are functions that are analytic at a point $z_{0}$ and $f\left(z_{0}\right)=0, g\left(z_{0}\right)=0$, but $g^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right) \neq 0$. Then

$$
\lim _{z \rightarrow z_{0}} \frac{f(z)}{g(z)}=\frac{f^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)}{g^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)}
$$

## Applying L'Hôpital's Rule I

- Compute $\lim _{z \rightarrow 2+i} \frac{z^{2}-4 z+5}{z^{3}-z-10 i}$

Let $f(z)=z^{2}-4 z+5$ and $g(z)=z^{3}-z-10 i$. Then $f(2+i)=0$ and $g(2+i)=0$. Thus, the given limit has the indeterminate form $0 / 0$. Since $f$ and $g$ are polynomial functions, both functions are necessarily analytic at $z_{0}=2+i$. We also have $f^{\prime}(z)=2 z-4$, $g^{\prime}(z)=3 z^{2}-1, f^{\prime}(2+i)=2 i, g^{\prime}(2+i)=8+12 i$. Therefore, $\lim _{z \rightarrow 2+i} \frac{z^{2}-4 z+5}{z^{3}-z-10 i}=\frac{f^{\prime}(2+i)}{g^{\prime}(2+i)}=\frac{2 i}{8+12 i}=\frac{3}{26}+\frac{1}{13} i$.

## Applying L'Hôpital's Rule II

- In a preceding example, we used factoring and cancelation to compute the limit

$$
\lim _{z \rightarrow 1+\sqrt{3} i} \frac{z^{2}-2 z+4}{z-1-\sqrt{3} i} .
$$

This limit also has the indeterminate form $0 / 0$.
With $f(z)=z^{2}-2 z+4, g(z)=z-1-\sqrt{3} i$, we have $f^{\prime}(z)=2 z-2$, and $g^{\prime}(z)=1$. L'Hôpital's Rule gives

$$
\lim _{z \rightarrow 1+\sqrt{3} i} \frac{z^{2}-2 z+4}{z-1-\sqrt{3} i}=\frac{f^{\prime}(1+\sqrt{3} i)}{1}=2(1+\sqrt{3} i-1)=2 \sqrt{3} i .
$$

## Interpreting the Derivative

- In real calculus the derivative of a function $y=f(x)$ at a point $x$ has many interpretations.
- $f^{\prime}(x)$ is the slope of the tangent line to the graph of $f$ at $(x, f(x))$. When the slope is positive, negative, or zero, the function, in turn, is increasing, decreasing, and possibly has a maximum or minimum.
- Also, $f^{\prime}(x)$ is the instantaneous rate of change of $f$ at $x$. In a physical setting, this rate can be interpreted as velocity of a moving object.
- None of these interpretations carry over to complex calculus.
- In complex analysis the primary concern is not what a derivative is or represents, but rather, whether a function $f$ has a derivative.
- The fact that a complex function $f$ possesses a derivative tells us a lot about the function.
- E.g., in the theory of mappings by complex functions: Under a mapping defined by an analytic function $f$, the magnitude and sense of an angle between two curves that intersect a point $z_{0}$ in the $z$-plane is preserved in the $w$-plane at all points at which $f^{\prime}(z) \neq 0$.


## Some Differences With Real Analysis

- $f(z)=|z|^{2}$ is differentiable only at $z=0$, but $f(x)=|x|^{2}$ is differentiable everywhere. $f(x)=x$ is differentiable everywhere, but $f(z)=x=\operatorname{Re}(z)$ is nowhere differentiable.
- The differentiation formulas are important, but not as important as in real analysis. In complex analysis we deal with functions such as $f(z)=4 x^{2}-i y$ and $g(z)=x y+i(x+y)$, which, even if they possess derivatives, cannot be differentiated by those formulas.
- Higher-order derivatives of complex functions are defined in exactly the same manner as in real analysis.
- In real analysis, if a function $f$ possesses a first derivative, there is no guarantee that $f$ possesses any other higher derivatives.
- In complex analysis, if a function $f$ is analytic in a domain $D$, then, by assumption, $f$ possesses a derivative at each point in $D$ and, we will see that this fact alone guarantees that $f$ possesses higher-order derivatives at all points in $D$. Indeed, an analytic function $f$ on a domain $D$ is infinitely differentiable in $D$.


## Real Analyticity and L'Hôpital's Rule

- The definition of "analytic at a point $a$ " in real analysis differs from the usual definition of that concept in complex analysis.
- In real analysis, analyticity of a function is defined in terms of power series: A function $y=f(x)$ is analytic at a point $a$ if $f$ has a Taylor series at $a$ that represents $f$ in some neighborhood of $a$.
- As in real calculus, it may be necessary to apply L' Hôpital's rule several times in succession to calculate a limit. In other words, if $f\left(z_{0}\right), g\left(z_{0}\right), f^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)$, and $g^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)$ are all zero, the limit $\lim _{z \rightarrow z_{0}} \frac{f(z)}{g(z)}$ may still exist. In general, if $f, g$, and their first $n-1$ derivatives are zero at $z_{0}$ and $g^{(n)}\left(z_{0}\right) \neq 0$, then

$$
\lim _{z \rightarrow z_{0}} \frac{f(z)}{g(z)}=\frac{f^{(n)}\left(z_{0}\right)}{g^{(n)}\left(z_{0}\right)}
$$

## Subsection 2

## Cauchy-Riemann Equations

## Revisiting Analyticity and Differentiability

- We saw that a function $f$ of a complex variable $z$ is analytic at a point $z$ when $f$ is differentiable at $z$ and differentiable at every point in some neighborhood of $z$.
- We emphasized that this requirement is more stringent than just differentiability at a point because a complex function can be differentiable at a point $z$ but yet be differentiable nowhere else.
- A function $f$ is analytic in a domain $D$ if f is differentiable at all points in $D$.
- We now present a test for analyticity of a complex function

$$
f(z)=u(x, y)+i v(x, y)
$$

based on partial derivatives of its real and imaginary parts $u$ and $v$.

## The Cauchy-Riemann Equations

## Theorem (Cauchy-Riemann Equations)

Suppose $f(z)=u(x, y)+i v(x, y)$ is differentiable at a point $z=x+i y$. Then at $z$ the first-order partial derivatives of $u$ and $v$ exist and satisfy the Cauchy-Riemann equations

$$
\frac{\partial u}{\partial x}=\frac{\partial v}{\partial y} \quad \text { and } \quad \frac{\partial u}{\partial y}=-\frac{\partial v}{\partial x}
$$

- The derivative of $f$ at $z$ is given by $f^{\prime}(z)=\lim _{\Delta z \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(z+\Delta z)-f(z)}{\Delta z}$. By writing $f(z)=u(x, y)+i v(x, y)$ and $\Delta z=\Delta x+i \Delta y$, we get $f^{\prime}(z)=$

$$
\lim _{\Delta z \rightarrow 0} \frac{u(x+\Delta x, y+\Delta y)+i v(x+\Delta x, y+\Delta y)-u(x, y)-i v(x, y)}{\Delta x+i \Delta y} .
$$

Since the limit is assumed to exist, $\Delta z$ can approach zero from any convenient direction.

## The Cauchy-Riemann Equations (Cont'd)

- In particular, if we choose to let $\Delta z \rightarrow 0$ along a horizontal line, then $\Delta y=0$ and $\Delta z=\Delta x$. We then get
$f^{\prime}(z)=\lim _{\Delta x \rightarrow 0} \frac{u(x+\Delta x, y)-u(x, y)+i[v(x+\Delta x, y)-v(x, y)]}{\Delta x}=$ $\lim _{\Delta x \rightarrow 0} \frac{u(x+\Delta x, y)-u(x, y)}{\Delta x}+i \lim _{\Delta x \rightarrow 0} \frac{v(x+\Delta x, y)-v(x, y)}{\Delta x}$. The existence of $f^{\prime}(z)$ implies that each limit exists. These limits are the definitions of the first-order partial derivatives with respect to $x$ of $u$ and $v$, respectively. Hence, we have shown that $\frac{\partial u}{\partial x}$ and $\frac{\partial v}{\partial x}$ exist at the point $z$, and that the derivative of $f$ is $f^{\prime}(z)=\frac{\partial u}{\partial x}+i \frac{\partial v}{\partial x}$.
- We now let $\Delta z \rightarrow 0$ along a vertical line. With $\Delta x=0$ and
$\Delta z=i \Delta y$, we get
$f^{\prime}(z)=\lim _{\Delta y \rightarrow 0} \frac{u(x, y+\Delta y)-u(x, y)}{i \Delta y}+i \lim _{\Delta y \rightarrow 0} \frac{v(x, y+\Delta y)-v(x, y)}{i \Delta y}$. In this case, we obtain that $\frac{\partial u}{\partial y}$ and $\frac{\partial v}{\partial y}$ exist at $z$ and that $f^{\prime}(z)=-i \frac{\partial u}{\partial y}+\frac{\partial v}{\partial y}$.
Equate real and imaginary parts to obtain the Cauchy-Riemann Equations.


## Application of the Equations

- The Cauchy-Riemann equations hold at $z$ as a necessary consequence of $f$ being differentiable at $z$.
- Thus, even though we cannot use the theorem to determine where $f$ is differentiable, it can tell us where $f$ does not possess a derivative: If the equations are not satisfied at a point $z$, then $f$ cannot be differentiable at $z$.
- Example: We saw that $f(z)=x+4 i y$ is not differentiable at any point $z$. If we identify $u=x$ and $v=4 y$, then

$$
\frac{\partial u}{\partial x}=1, \quad \frac{\partial v}{\partial y}=4, \quad \frac{\partial u}{\partial y}=0, \quad \frac{\partial v}{\partial x}=0
$$

In view of $\frac{\partial u}{\partial x}=1 \neq 4=\frac{\partial v}{\partial y}$ the Cauchy-Riemann equations cannot be satisfied at any point $z$. Thus, $f$ is nowhere differentiable.

- Note that, if a complex function $f(z)=u(x, y)+i v(x, y)$ is analytic throughout a domain $D$, then the real functions $u$ and $v$ satisfy the Cauchy-Riemann equations at every point in $D$.


## Verifying the Equations

- The polynomial function $f(z)=z^{2}+z$ is analytic for all $z$ and can be written in terms of $x, y$ as $f(z)=x^{2}-y^{2}+x+i(2 x y+y)$. Thus, $u(x, y)=x^{2}-y^{2}+x$ and $v(x, y)=2 x y+y$. For any point $(x, y)$ in the complex plane, we see that the Cauchy-Riemann equations are satisfied:

$$
\frac{\partial u}{\partial x}=2 x+1=\frac{\partial v}{\partial y}, \quad \frac{\partial u}{\partial y}=-2 y=-\frac{\partial v}{\partial x} .
$$

## Criterion for Non-analyticity

## Criterion for Non-analyticity

If the Cauchy-Riemann equations are not satisfied at every point $z$ in a domain $D$, then the function $f(z)=u(x, y)+i v(x, y)$ cannot be analytic in $D$.

- Example: Show that the complex function $f(z)=2 x^{2}+y+i\left(y^{2}-x\right)$ is not analytic at any point.
We identify $u(x, y)=2 x^{2}+y$ and $v(x, y)=y^{2}-x$. From $\frac{\partial u}{\partial x}=4 x$, $\frac{\partial v}{\partial y}=2 y, \frac{\partial u}{\partial y}=1$ and $\frac{\partial v}{\partial x}=-1$. we see that $\frac{\partial u}{\partial y}=-\frac{\partial v}{\partial x}$, but that the equality $\frac{\partial u}{\partial x}=\frac{\partial v}{\partial y}$ is satisfied only on the line $y=2 x$. However, for any point $z$ on the line, there is no neighborhood or open disk about $z$ in which $f$ is differentiable at every point. We conclude that $f$ is nowhere analytic.


## A Sufficient Condition for Analyticity

- The Cauchy-Riemann equations are not sufficient for analyticity of a function $f(z)=u(x, y)+i v(x, y)$ at a point $z=x+i y$ : It is possible for the Cauchy-Riemann equations to be satisfied at $z$ without $f(z)$ being differentiable at $z$, or, with $f(z)$ being differentiable at $z$, but nowhere else. In either case, $f$ is not analytic at $z$.
- However, when we add the condition of continuity to $u$ and $v$ and to the four partial derivatives $\frac{\partial u}{\partial x}, \frac{\partial u}{\partial y}, \frac{\partial v}{\partial x}$, and $\frac{\partial v}{\partial y}$, it can be shown that the Cauchy-Riemann equations are not only necessary but also sufficient to guarantee analyticity of $f(z)=u(x, y)+i v(x, y)$ at $z$.


## Theorem (Criterion for Analyticity)

Suppose the real functions $u(x, y)$ and $v(x, y)$ are continuous and have continuous first-order partial derivatives in a domain $D$. If $u$ and $v$ satisfy the Cauchy-Riemann equations at all points of $D$, then the complex function $f(z)=u(x, y)+i v(x, y)$ is analytic in $D$.

- The proof is long and complicated and we omit it.


## An Application of the Theorem

- For the function $f(z)=\frac{x}{x^{2}+y^{2}}-i \frac{y}{x^{2}+y^{2}}$, the real functions $u(x, y)=\frac{x}{x^{2}+y^{2}}$ and $v(x, y)=-\frac{y}{x^{2}+y^{2}}$ are continuous except at the point where $x^{2}+y^{2}=0$, i.e., at $z=0$. Moreover, the first four first-order partial derivatives $\frac{\partial u}{\partial x}=\frac{y^{2}-x^{2}}{\left(x^{2}+y^{2}\right)^{2}}, \frac{\partial u}{\partial y}=-\frac{2 x y}{\left(x^{2}+y^{2}\right)^{2}}$, $\frac{\partial v}{\partial x}=\frac{2 x y}{\left(x^{2}+y^{2}\right)^{2}}$ and $\frac{\partial v}{\partial y}=\frac{y^{2}-x^{2}}{\left(x^{2}+y^{2}\right)^{2}}$ are continuous except at $z=0$. Finally, we see from $\frac{\partial u}{\partial x}=\frac{y^{2} x^{2}}{\left(x^{2}+y^{2}\right)^{2}}=\frac{\partial v}{\partial y}$ and
$\frac{\partial u}{\partial y}=-\frac{2 x y}{\left(x^{2}+y^{2}\right)^{2}}=-\frac{\partial v}{\partial x}$ that the Cauchy-Riemann equations are satisfied except at $z=0$. Thus, we conclude that $f$ is analytic in any domain $D$ that does not contain the point $z=0$.


## Formulas for $f^{\prime}(z)$

- The components of the Cauchy Riemann Equations were obtained under the assumption that $f$ was differentiable at the point $z$.
- They provide a formula for computing the derivative $f^{\prime}(z)$ :

$$
f^{\prime}(z)=\frac{\partial u}{\partial x}+i \frac{\partial v}{\partial x}=\frac{\partial v}{\partial y}-i \frac{\partial u}{\partial y}
$$

- Example: We know that $f(z)=z^{2}$ is entire and so is differentiable for all $z$. With $u(x, y)=x^{2}-y^{2}, \quad \frac{\partial u}{\partial x}=2 x, v(x, y)=2 x y$, and $\frac{\partial v}{\partial x}=2 y$, we have $f^{\prime}(z)=2 x+i 2 y=2(x+i y)=2 z$.


## Sufficient Conditions for Differentiability

- Recall that analyticity implies differentiability but not conversely. The following is a criterion for differentiability:


## Sufficient Conditions for Differentiability

If the real functions $u(x, y)$ and $v(x, y)$ are continuous and have continuous first-order partial derivatives in some neighborhood of a point $z$, and if $u$ and $v$ satisfy the Cauchy-Riemann equations at $z$, then the complex function $f(z)=u(x, y)+i v(x, y)$ is differentiable at $z$ and $f^{\prime}(z)$ is given by

$$
f^{\prime}(z)=\frac{\partial u}{\partial x}+i \frac{\partial v}{\partial x}=\frac{\partial v}{\partial y}-i \frac{\partial u}{\partial y}
$$

## Application of the Sufficient Conditions

- Example: We saw that the complex function

$$
f(z)=2 x^{2}+y+i\left(y^{2}-x\right)
$$

is nowhere analytic, but yet the Cauchy-Riemann equations were satisfied on the line $y=2 x$. Since the functions $u(x, y)=2 x^{2}+y$, $\frac{\partial u}{\partial x}=4 x, \frac{\partial u}{\partial y}=1, v(x, y)=y^{2}-x, \frac{\partial v}{\partial x}=-1$ and $\frac{\partial v}{\partial y}=2 y$ are continuous at every point, it follows that $f$ is differentiable on the line $y=2 x$. Moreover, the derivative of $f$ at points on this line is given by $f^{\prime}(z)=4 x-i=2 y-i$.

## Theorem (Constant Functions)

Suppose the function $f(z)=u(x, y)+i v(x, y)$ is analytic in a domain $D$.
(i) If $|f(z)|$ is constant in $D$, then so is $f(z)$.
(ii) If $f^{\prime}(z)=0$ in $D$, then $f(z)=c$ in $D$, where $c$ is a constant.

## Polar Coordinates

- We saw that a complex function can be expressed in terms of polar coordinates in the form $f(z)=u(r, \theta)+i v(r, \theta)$.
- In polar coordinates the Cauchy-Riemann equations become

$$
\frac{\partial u}{\partial r}=\frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial v}{\partial \theta}, \quad \frac{\partial v}{\partial r}=-\frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial u}{\partial \theta} .
$$

- The polar version of $f^{\prime}(z)$ at a point $z$ whose polar coordinates are $(r, \theta)$ is then

$$
f^{\prime}(z)=e^{-i \theta}\left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial r}+i \frac{\partial v}{\partial r}\right)=\frac{1}{r} e^{-i \theta}\left(\frac{\partial v}{\partial \theta}-i \frac{\partial u}{\partial \theta}\right)
$$

- Remarks: In real calculus, one of the noteworthy properties of the exponential function $f(x)=e^{x}$ is that $f^{\prime}(x)=e^{x}$.
We gave the definition of the complex exponential $f(z)=e^{z}$. We can now show that $f(z)=e^{z}$ is differentiable everywhere and shares the same derivative property $f^{\prime}(z)=f(z)$.


## Subsection 3

## Harmonic Functions

## A Preview of Harmonic Functions

- We will see that when a complex function $f(z)=u(x, y)+i v(x, y)$ is analytic at a point $z$, then all the derivatives of $f: f^{\prime}(z), f^{\prime \prime}(z)$, $f^{\prime \prime \prime}(z)$, etc., are also analytic at $z$. Thus, all partial derivatives of the real functions $u(x, y)$ and $v(x, y)$ are continuous at $z$. So the second-order mixed partial derivatives are equal.
- This last fact, coupled with the Cauchy-Riemann equations, will be used now to demonstrate that there is a connection between the real and imaginary parts of an analytic function $f(z)=u(x, y)+i v(x, y)$ and the second-order partial differential equation

$$
\frac{\partial^{2} \phi}{\partial x^{2}}+\frac{\partial^{2} \phi}{\partial y^{2}}=0
$$

- This equation is known as Laplace's Equation in two variables.
- The sum $\frac{\partial^{2} \phi}{\partial x^{2}}+\frac{\partial^{2} \phi}{\partial y^{2}}$ of the two second partial derivatives is denoted by $\nabla^{2} \phi$ and is called the Laplacian of $\phi$.
- Thus, Laplace's equation is written $\nabla^{2} \phi=0$.


## Harmonic Functions

- A solution $\phi(x, y)$ of Laplaces equation in a domain $D$ of the plane is given a special name:


## Definition (Harmonic Function)

A real-valued function $\phi$ of two real variables $x$ and $y$ that has continuous first and second-order partial derivatives in a domain $D$ and satisfies Laplace's equation is said to be harmonic in $D$.

## Theorem (Harmonic Functions)

Suppose the complex function $f(z)=u(x, y)+i v(x, y)$ is analytic in a domain $D$. Then the functions $u(x, y)$ and $v(x, y)$ are harmonic in $D$.

- Assume $f(z)=u(x, y)+i v(x, y)$ is analytic in a domain $D$ and that $u$ and $v$ have continuous second-order partial derivatives in $D$. Since $f$ is analytic, the Cauchy-Riemann equations are satisfied at every point $z$.


## Harmonic Functions(Cont'd)

- Differentiating both sides of $\frac{\partial u}{\partial x}=\frac{\partial v}{\partial y}$ with respect to $x$, we get $\frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial x^{2}}=\frac{\partial^{2} v}{\partial x \partial y}$. Differentiating both sides of $\frac{\partial u}{\partial y}=-\frac{\partial v}{\partial x}$ with respect to $y$ gives $\frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial y^{2}}=-\frac{\partial^{2} v}{\partial y \partial x}$. With the assumption of continuity, the mixed partials $\frac{\partial^{2} v}{\partial x \partial y}$ and $\frac{\partial^{2} v}{\partial y \partial x}$ are equal. Hence, by adding the two equations we get $\frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial x^{2}}+\frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial y^{2}}=0$ or $\nabla^{2} u=0$. This shows that $u(x, y)$ is harmonic.
Now differentiating both sides of $\frac{\partial u}{\partial x}=\frac{\partial v}{\partial y}$ with respect to $y$, we get $\frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial y \partial x}=\frac{\partial^{2} v}{\partial y^{2}}$. Differentiating both sides of $\frac{\partial u}{\partial y}=-\frac{\partial v}{\partial x}$ with respect to $x$ gives $\frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial x \partial y}=-\frac{\partial^{2} v}{\partial^{2} x}$. Subtracting the last two equations yields $\nabla^{2} v=0$.
- Example: The function $f(z)=z^{2}=x^{2}-y^{2}+2 x y i$ is entire. Thus, the functions $u(x, y)=x^{2}-y^{2}$ and $v(x, y)=2 x y$ are necessarily harmonic in any domain $D$ of the complex plane.


## Harmonic Conjugate Functions

- If a function $f(z)=u(x, y)+i v(x, y)$ is analytic in a domain $D$, then its real and imaginary parts $u$ and $v$ are necessarily harmonic in $D$.
- Now suppose $u(x, y)$ is a given real function that is known to be harmonic in $D$. If it is possible to find another real harmonic function $v(x, y)$ so that $u$ and $v$ satisfy the Cauchy-Riemann equations throughout the domain $D$, then the function $v(x, y)$ is called a harmonic conjugate of $u(x, y)$.
- By combining the functions as $u(x, y)+i v(x, y)$, we obtain a function that is analytic in $D$.


## Example of Harmonic Conjugate Functions

(a) Verify that $u(x, y)=x^{3}-3 x y^{2}-5 y$ is harmonic in the entire complex plane.
(b) Find the harmonic conjugate function of $u$.
(a) From the partial derivatives $\frac{\partial u}{\partial x}=3 x^{2}-3 y^{2}, \frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial x^{2}}=6 x$, $\frac{\partial u}{\partial y}=-6 x y-5, \frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial y^{2}}=-6 x$ we see that $u$ satisfies Laplace's equation $\frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial x^{2}}+\frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial y^{2}}=6 x-6 x=0$.
(b) $v$ must satisfy the Cauchy-Riemann equations $\frac{\partial v}{\partial y}=\frac{\partial u}{\partial x}$ and $\frac{\partial v}{\partial x}=-\frac{\partial u}{\partial y}$, i.e., we must have $\frac{\partial v}{\partial y}=3 x^{2}-3 y^{2}$ and $\frac{\partial v}{\partial x}=6 x y+5$. Partial integration of the first equation with respect to $y$ gives $v(x, y)=3 x^{2} y-y^{3}+h(x)$. The partial derivative with respect to $x$ of this last equation is $\frac{\partial v}{\partial x}=6 x y+h^{\prime}(x)$. When this result is substituted into the second equation we obtain $h^{\prime}(x)=5$, and so $h(x)=5 x+C$, where $C$ is a real constant. Therefore, the harmonic conjugate of $u$ is $v(x, y)=3 x^{2} y-y^{3}+5 x+C$.

## Using Transformations to Solve $\nabla^{2} \phi=0$

- We have seen if $f(z)=u(x, y)+i v(x, y)$ is an analytic function in a domain $D$, then both functions $u$ and $v$ satisfy $\nabla^{2} \phi=0$ in $D$.
- There is another important connection between analytic functions and Laplace's equation:
- In applied mathematics we often wish to solve Laplace's equation $\nabla^{2} \phi=0$ in a domain $D$ in the $x y$-plane, and for reasons that depend on the shape of $D$, it simply may not be possible to determine $\phi$.
- It may be possible to devise a special analytic mapping $f(z)=u(x, y)+i v(x, y)$ or $u=u(x, y), v=v(x, y)$ from the $x y$-plane to the $u v$-plane so that $D^{\prime}$, the image of $D$ under the mapping, has a more convenient shape and the function $\phi(x, y)$ that satisfies Laplace's equation in $D$ also satisfies Laplace's equation in $D^{\prime}$.
- We then solve Laplace's equation in $D^{\prime}$ (the solution $\Phi$ will be a function of $u$ and $v$ ) and then return to the $x y$-plane and $\phi(x, y)$ by means of the preceding equations.

