## **Elementary Differential Equations**

### George Voutsadakis<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Mathematics and Computer Science Lake Superior State University

LSSU Math 310

George Voutsadakis (LSSU)



#### Series Solutions of Second Order Linear Equations

- Review of Power Series
- Series Solutions Near an Ordinary Point, Part I
- Series Solutions Near an Ordinary Point, Part II
- Euler Equations; Regular Singular Points
- Series Solutions Near a Regular Singular Point, Part I
- Series Solutions Near a Regular Singular Point, Part II

## Introduction

- Finding the general solution of a linear differential equation depends on determining a fundamental set of solutions of the corresponding homogeneous equation;
- We have given a systematic procedure for constructing fundamental solutions if the equation has constant coefficients;
- To deal with equations that have variable coefficients, it is necessary to extend our search for solutions beyond the familiar elementary functions of calculus;
- The principal tool is the representation of a given function by a power series;
- The basic idea is similar to that in the method of undetermined coefficients: We assume that the solutions of a given differential equation have power series expansions, and then we attempt to determine the coefficients so as to satisfy the differential equation;

### Subsection 1

Review of Power Series

## Convergence and Absolute Convergence of Power Series

- It can be shown that if the series converges absolutely, then the series also converges;
- The converse is not necessarily true;

## The Ratio Test for Absolute Convergence

#### The Ratio Test

If 
$$a_n \neq 0$$
, and if, for a fixed x,  $\lim_{n \to \infty} \left| \frac{a_{n+1}(x-x_0)^{n+1}}{a_n(x-x_0)^n} \right| =$ 

 $|x - x_0| \lim_{n \to \infty} \left| \frac{a_{n+1}}{a_n} \right| = |x - x_0|L$ , then the power series converges absolutely at x if  $|x - x_0|L < 1$  and diverges if  $|x - x_0|L > 1$ ; If  $|x - x_0|L = 1$ , then the test is inconclusive.

• Example: For which values of x does  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{n+1} n(x-2)^n$  converge?

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \left| \frac{(-1)^{n+2} (n+1)(x-2)^{n+1}}{(-1)^{n+1} n(x-2)^n} \right| = |x-2| \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{n+1}{n} = |x-2|;$$

The series converges absolutely for |x - 2| < 1, or 1 < x < 3, and diverges for |x - 2| > 1; The values of x corresponding to |x - 2| = 1 are x = 1 and x = 3; The series diverges for each of these values of x since the *n*-th term of the series does not approach zero as  $n \to \infty$ ;

George Voutsadakis (LSSU)

## Radius of Convergence

- If the power series  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n (x x_0)^n$  converges at  $x = x_1$ , it converges absolutely for  $|x x_0| < |x_1 x_0|$ ; and if it diverges at  $x = x_1$ , it diverges for  $|x x_0| > |x_1 x_0|$ ;
- There is a nonnegative number  $\rho$ , called the **radius of convergence**, such that  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n(x-x_0)^n$  converges absolutely for  $|x-x_0| < \rho$  and diverges for  $|x-x_0| > \rho$ ; For a series that converges only at  $x_0$ , we define  $\rho$  to be zero; For a series that converges for all x, we say that  $\rho$ is infinite; If  $\rho > 0$ , then the interval  $|x-x_0| < \rho$  is called the **interval of convergence**; The series may either converge or diverge when  $|x-x_0| = \rho$ ;

## Example

• Determine the radius of convergence of  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(x+1)^n}{n2^n}$ ;

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \left| \frac{(x+1)^{n+1}}{(n+1)2^{n+1}} \frac{n2^n}{(x+1)^n} \right| = \frac{|x+1|}{2} \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{n}{n+1} = \frac{|x+1|}{2};$$

Thus the series converges absolutely for |x + 1| < 2, or -3 < x < 1, and diverges for |x + 1| > 2; The radius of convergence of the power series is  $\rho = 2$ ;

Finally, we check the endpoints of the interval of convergence.

George Voutsadakis (LSSU)

## Operations on Power Series: Addition and Multiplication

## Operations on Power Series: Division

## Differentiation and Coefficients

 The function f is continuous and has derivatives of all orders for |x - x<sub>0</sub>| < ρ; Further, f', f",... can be computed by differentiating the series termwise; That is,

$$f'(x) = a_1 + 2a_2(x - x_0) + \dots + na_n(x - x_0)^{n-1} + \dots$$
  
=  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} na_n(x - x_0)^{n-1}$ ,  
$$f''(x) = 2a_2 + 6a_3(x - x_0) + \dots + n(n-1)a_n(x - x_0)^{n-2} + \dots$$
  
=  $\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n(n-1)a_n(x - x_0)^{n-2}$ ,  
 $\dots$ 

Each of the series converges absolutely for  $|x - x_0| < \rho$ ;

• The value of  $a_n$  is given by  $a_n = \frac{f^{(n)}(x_0)}{n!}$ ; The series is called the **Taylor series** for the function f **about**  $x = x_0$ ;

## Equality and Analyticity

• If  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n (x - x_0)^n = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n (x - x_0)^n$ , for x in some open interval with

center  $x_0$ , then  $a_n = b_n$ , for all n; Thus, if  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n (x - x_0)^n = 0$ , for each such x, then  $a_n = 2$ ,  $a_n = 2$ ,  $a_n = 2$ ,  $a_n = 0$ ;

each such x, then  $a_0 = a_1 = \cdots = a_n = \cdots = 0$ ;

• A function f that has a Taylor series expansion about  $x = x_0$  $f(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{f^{(n)}(x_0)}{n!} (x - x_0)^n$ , with a radius of convergence  $\rho > 0$ , is said to be **analytic at**  $x = x_0$ ;

 All of the familiar functions of calculus are analytic except perhaps at certain easily recognized points;

- For example, sin x and e<sup>x</sup> are analytic everywhere, <sup>1</sup>/<sub>x</sub> is analytic except at x = 0, and tan x is analytic except at odd multiples of <sup>π</sup>/<sub>2</sub>;
- If f and g are analytic at  $x_0$ , then  $f \pm g$ ,  $f \cdot g$ , and  $\frac{f}{g}$  (provided that  $g(x_0) \neq 0$ ) are also analytic at  $x = x_0$ ;

## Shifting the Index of Summation: Two Examples

• Write  $\sum a_n x^n$  as a series whose first term corresponds to n = 0rather than n = 2; Let m = n - 2; Then n = m + 2, and n = 2 corresponds to m = 0; Hence  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n x^n = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} a_{m+2} x^{m+2} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{n+2} x^{n+2};$ The index was shifted upward by 2 and o compensate counting starts at a level 2 lower than originally; • Write the series  $\sum (n+2)(n+1)a_n(x-x_0)^{n-2}$  as a series whose generic term involves  $(x - x_0)^n$  rather than  $(x - x_0)^{n-2}$ ; Again, we shift the index by 2 so that n is replaced by n + 2 and start counting 2 lower; We obtain  $\sum (n+4)(n+3)a_{n+2}(x-x_0)^n$ ;

## Further Manipulation of the Index of Summation

• Write the expression 
$$x^2 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (r+n)a_n x^{r+n-1}$$
 as a series whose generic term involves  $x^{r+n}$ ;

First, take the  $x^2$  inside the summation, obtaining  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (r+n)a_n x^{r+n+1};$ Next, shift the index down by 1 and start counting 1 higher; Thus,  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (r+n)a_n x^{r+n+1} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (r+n-1)a_{n-1} x^{r+n};$ 

## Rewriting a Series

• Assume that  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} na_n x^{n-1} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n x^n$ , for all x, and determine what this implies about the coefficients  $a_n$ ;

We equate corresponding coefficients in the two series; To do this, first rewrite the equation so that the series display the same power of x in their generic terms:  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (n+1)a_{n+1}x^n = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n x^n$ ; Therefore,

x in their generic terms:  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (n+1)a_{n+1}x^n = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n x^n$ ; Therefore,  $(n+1)a_{n+1} = a_n$ , for all *n*, or  $a_{n+1} = \frac{a_n}{n+1}$ , for all *n*; This yields

$$a_n = \frac{a_{n-1}}{n} = \frac{a_{n-2}}{n(n-1)} = \frac{a_{n-3}}{n(n-1)(n-2)}$$
$$= \frac{a_{n-4}}{n(n-1)(n-2)(n-3)} = \cdots = \frac{a_0}{n!},$$

for all *n*; Thus all the coefficients may be determined in terms of  $a_0$ ; Using this relationship, we obtain  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n x^n = a_0 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^n}{n!} = a_0 e^x$ ;

### Subsection 2

### Series Solutions Near an Ordinary Point, Part I

## The Framework: Ordinary Points

- Consider the homogeneous equation  $P(x)\frac{d^2y}{dx^2} + Q(x)\frac{dy}{dx} + R(x)y = 0;$
- Examples from physics include the **Bessel equation**  $x^2y'' + xy' + (x^2 - \nu^2)y = 0$ , where  $\nu$  is a constant, and the **Legendre** equation  $(1 - x^2)y'' - 2xy' + \alpha(\alpha + 1)y = 0$ , where  $\alpha$  is a constant;
- We primarily consider the case in which the functions *P*, *Q*, and *R* are polynomials, having no common factors;
- If we wish to solve the equation in the neighborhood of a point x<sub>0</sub>, its solution is closely associated with the behavior of P in that interval;
- A point x<sub>0</sub> such that P(x<sub>0</sub>) ≠ 0 is called an ordinary point; Since P is continuous, there is an interval about x<sub>0</sub> in which P(x) is never zero;
- In that interval, dividing by P(x), we get y'' + p(x)y' + q(x)y = 0, where  $p(x) = \frac{Q(x)}{P(x)}$  and  $q(x) = \frac{R(x)}{P(x)}$  are continuous functions;
- According to the Existence and Uniqueness Theorem, there exists in that interval a unique solution of the differential equation that also satisfies any given initial conditions  $y(x_0) = y_0$ ,  $y'(x_0) = y'_0$ ;

## Singular Points; Power Series Solutions

- We handle, first, solutions in the neighborhood of an ordinary point;
- If P(x<sub>0</sub>) = 0, then x<sub>0</sub> is called a singular point; In this case at least one of Q(x<sub>0</sub>) and R(x<sub>0</sub>) is not zero; Thus, at least one of the coefficients p = Q/P and q = R/P becomes unbounded as x → x<sub>0</sub>, and, therefore, the Existence and Uniqueness does not apply in this case; In the latter sections, we will deal with finding solutions in the neighborhood of a singular point;
- In the neighborhood of an ordinary point x<sub>0</sub>, we look for solutions of the form

$$y = a_0 + a_1(x - x_0) + \cdots + a_n(x - x_0)^n + \cdots = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n(x - x_0)^n$$

assuming the series converges in  $|x - x_0| < \rho$ , for some  $\rho > 0$ ;

• The most practical way to determine the coefficients *a<sub>n</sub>* is to substitute the series and its derivatives in the equation;

## Example I

Find a series solution of the equation y'' + y = 0,  $-\infty < x < \infty$ ; Since P(x) = 1, Q(x) = 0 and R(x) = 1, every point is ordinary; We look for a solution  $y = a_0 + a_1x + a_2x^2 + \cdots + a_nx^n + \cdots = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_nx^n$ , assuming the series converges for some  $|x| < \rho$ ; Differentiating, we get  $y' = a_1 + 2a_2x + \cdots + na_nx^{n-1} + \cdots = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} na_nx^{n-1}$ ,  $y'' = 2a_2 + \cdots + n(n-1)a_n x^{n-2} + \cdots = \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n(n-1)a_n x^{n-2};$ Substituting in the differential equation:  $\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n(n-1)a_n x^{n-2} + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n x^n = 0$ ; Shifting the index in first sum:  $\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (n+2)(n+1)a_{n+2}x^n + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n x^n = 0$ ; n=0

# Example I (Cont'd)

We got  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} [(n+2)(n+1)a_{n+2} + a_n]x^n = 0$ ; Setting the coefficient of each power of x equal to zero, we get  $(n+2)(n+1)a_{n+2} + a_n = 0$ , for all n; The even-numbered coefficients  $(a_0, a_2, a_4, ...)$  and the odd-numbered ones  $(a_1, a_3, a_5, ...)$  are determined separately;

- For the even-numbered coefficients we have  $a_2 = -\frac{a_0}{2 \cdot 1} = -\frac{a_0}{2!}$ ,  $a_4 = -\frac{a_2}{4 \cdot 3} = +\frac{a_0}{4!}$ ,  $a_6 = -\frac{a_4}{6 \cdot 5} = -\frac{a_0}{6!}$ , etc. In general, if n = 2k, then  $a_n = a_{2k} = \frac{(-1)^k}{(2k)!} a_0$ ;
- For the odd-numbered coefficients  $a_3 = -\frac{a_1}{2 \cdot 3} = -\frac{a_1}{3!}$ ,  $a_5 = -\frac{a_3}{5 \cdot 4} = +\frac{a_1}{5!}$ ,  $a_7 = -\frac{a_5}{7 \cdot 6} = -\frac{a_1}{7!}$ , etc. In general, if n = 2k + 1, then  $a_n = a_{2k+1} = \frac{(-1)^k}{(2k+1)!}a_1$ ;

# Example I (Cont'd)

Substituting into the equation

$$y = a_0 + a_1 x - \frac{a_0}{2!} x^2 - \frac{a_1}{3!} x^3 + \frac{a_0}{4!} x^4 + \frac{a_1}{5!} x^5 + \dots + \frac{(-1)^n a_0}{(2n)!} x^{2n} + \frac{(-1)^n a_1}{(2n+1)!} x^{2n+1} + \dots = a_0 [1 - \frac{x^2}{2!} + \frac{x^4}{4!} + \dots + \frac{(-1)^n}{(2n)!} x^{2n} + \dots] + a_1 [x - \frac{x^3}{3!} + \frac{x^5}{5!} + \dots + \frac{(-1)^n}{(2n+1)!} x^{2n+1} + \dots] = a_0 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n}{(2n)!} x^{2n} + a_1 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n}{(2n+1)!} x^{2n+1}.$$

- We can test the series solutions for convergence;
- The ratio test shows that each of the series converges for all x;
- We recognize that the first series is exactly the Taylor series for cos x about x = 0 and the second is the Taylor series for sin x about x = 0;
- So, the solution is  $y = a_0 \cos x + a_1 \sin x$ ;
- No conditions are imposed on a<sub>0</sub> and a<sub>1</sub>, whence they are arbitrary;

## Example II

 Find a series solution in powers of x of Airy's equation y" − xy = 0, −∞ < x < ∞;</li>

For this equation P(x) = 1, Q(x) = 0, and R(x) = -x, whence every point is an ordinary point; Let  $y = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n x^n$ , convergent in some  $|x| < \rho$ ; We get  $y'' = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (n+2)(n+1)a_{n+2}x^n$ ; Substituting, we

obtain 
$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (n+2)(n+1)a_{n+2}x^n = x \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n x^n = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n x^{n+1}$$
; Rewrite  
the right side  $2 \cdot 1a_2 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (n+2)(n+1)a_{n+2}x^n = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{n-1}x^n$ ; Thus, we get  $a_2 = 0$ , and we obtain the recurrence relation

 $(n+2)(n+1)a_{n+2} = a_{n-1}$ , for all *n*; Since  $a_{n+2}$  is given in terms of  $a_{n-1}$ , the *a*'s are determined in steps of three;

## Example II (Cont'd)

• Recall  $a_2 = 0$  and  $(n+2)(n+1)a_{n+2} = a_{n-1}$ , for all *n*;

- Since  $a_2 = 0$ , we get  $a_5 = a_8 = a_{11} = \cdots = 0$ ;
- For  $a_0, a_3, a_6, a_9, \ldots$ , we set  $n = 1, 4, 7, 10, \ldots$  in the recurrence relation:  $a_3 = \frac{a_0}{2 \cdot 3}$ ,  $a_6 = \frac{a_3}{5 \cdot 6} = \frac{a_0}{2 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \cdot 6}$ ,  $a_9 = \frac{a_6}{8 \cdot 9} = \frac{a_0}{2 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \cdot 6 \cdot 8 \cdot 9}$ , etc. The general formula is  $a_{3n} = \frac{a_0}{2 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \cdot 6 \cdots (3n-1)(3n)}$ ,  $n \ge 4$ ;
- For the sequence  $a_1, a_4, a_7, a_{10}, \ldots$  we set  $n = 2, 5, 8, 11, \ldots$  in the recurrence relation:  $a_4 = \frac{a_1}{3.4}, a_7 = \frac{a_4}{6.7} = \frac{a_1}{3.4.6.7}, a_{10} = \frac{a_7}{9.10} = \frac{a_1}{3.4.6.7.9.10}$ , etc. In general, we have  $a_{3n+1} = \frac{a_1}{3.4.6.7\cdots(3n)(3n+1)}, n \ge 4$ ;

Thus the general solution of Airy's equation is

$$y = a_0 [1 + \frac{x^3}{2 \cdot 3} + \frac{x^6}{2 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \cdot 6} + \dots + \frac{x^{3n}}{2 \cdot 3 \dots (3n-1)(3n)} + \dots] \\ + a_1 [x + \frac{x^4}{3 \cdot 4} + \frac{x^7}{3 \cdot 4 \cdot 6 \cdot 7} + \dots + \frac{x^{3n+1}}{3 \cdot 4 \dots (3n)(3n+1)} + \dots]$$

# Example II (Cont'd)

- We can now investigate the convergence;
- Use the ratio test to show that both these series converge for all x;
- Let y<sub>1</sub> and y<sub>2</sub> denote the functions defined by the expressions in the first and second sets of brackets, respectively;
- By choosing first a<sub>0</sub> = 1, a<sub>1</sub> = 0 and then a<sub>0</sub> = 0, a<sub>1</sub> = 1, it follows that y<sub>1</sub> and y<sub>2</sub> are individually solutions;
- y1 satisfies the initial conditions y1(0) = 1, y1'(0) = 0 and y2 satisfies the initial conditions y2(0) = 0, y2'(0) = 1;
- Thus,  $W(y_1, y_2)(0) = 1 \neq 0$ , and consequently  $y_1$  and  $y_2$  are a fundamental set of solutions;
- Hence, the general solution of Airy's equation is  $y = a_0y_1(x) + a_1y_2(x), -\infty < x < \infty;$

### Subsection 3

### Series Solutions Near an Ordinary Point, Part II

## Justifying the Power Series Solution Process I

- We looked at P(x)y" + Q(x)y' + R(x)y = 0 where P, Q, and R are polynomials, in the neighborhood of an ordinary point x<sub>0</sub>;
- If we have a solution  $y = \phi(x)$  with a Taylor series

$$y = \phi(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{n=0} a_n (x - x_0)^n$$
 converging for  $|x - x_0| < \rho$ , where  $\rho > 0$ ,

we can find it by substituting in the differential equation;

- How is the statement that, if x<sub>0</sub> is an ordinary point of the equation, then there exist solutions of this form justified?
- Moreover, what is the radius of convergence of such a series?
- To investigate these questions, assume that there is a power series solution of the differential equation;
- By differentiating m times and setting x equal to x<sub>0</sub>, we obtain m!a<sub>m</sub> = φ<sup>(m)</sup>(x<sub>0</sub>);
- To compute  $a_n$ , we must show that we can determine  $\phi^{(n)}(x_0)$  from the differential equation;

## Justifying the Power Series Solution Process II

- Suppose that  $y = \phi(x)$  is a solution satisfying the initial conditions  $y(x_0) = y_0$ ,  $y'(x_0) = y'_0$ ; Then  $a_0 = y_0$  and  $a_1 = y'_0$ ;
- To find  $\phi^{(n)}(x_0)$  and  $a_n$ ,  $n \ge 2$ , we turn to the original equation; Since  $\phi$  is a solution,  $P(x)\phi''(x) + Q(x)\phi'(x) + R(x)\phi(x) = 0$ ; We can rewrite  $\phi''(x) = -p(x)\phi'(x) q(x)\phi(x)$ , where  $p(x) = \frac{Q(x)}{P(x)}$  and  $q(x) = \frac{R(x)}{P(x)}$ ;
- For,  $x = x_0$ ,  $\phi''(x_0) = -p(x_0)\phi'(x_0) q(x_0)\phi(x_0)$ ; Hence  $a_2$  is given by  $2!a_2 = \phi''(x_0) = -p(x_0)a_1 - q(x_0)a_0$ ;
- To determine  $a_3$ , we differentiate and then set  $x = x_0$ , obtaining  $3!a_3 = \phi'''(x_0) = -[\phi'' + (p' + q)\phi' + q'\phi]|_{x=x_0} =$   $-2!p(x_0)a_2 - [p'(x_0) + q(x_0)]a_1 - q'(x_0)a_0$ ; Substituting for  $a_2$  gives  $a_3$  in terms of  $a_1$  and  $a_0$ ;
- Since all the derivatives of p and q exist at x<sub>0</sub>, we can continue to differentiate indefinitely, determining after each differentiation the successive coefficients a<sub>4</sub>, a<sub>5</sub>,... by setting x = x<sub>0</sub>;

George Voutsadakis (LSSU)

## Power Series Solutions and Radius of Convergence

- If the functions p = Q/P and q = R/P are analytic at x<sub>0</sub>, then x<sub>0</sub> is said to be an ordinary point; Otherwise, it is a singular point;
- The question concerning the interval of convergence of the series solution can be answered at once for a wide class of problems:

#### Theorem

If  $x_0$  is an ordinary point of P(x)y'' + Q(x)y' + R(x)y = 0, i.e., if  $p = \frac{Q}{P}$ and  $q = \frac{R}{P}$  are analytic at  $x_0$ , then the general solution is  $y = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n (x - x_0)^n = a_0 y_1(x) + a_1 y_2(x)$ , where  $a_0$  and  $a_1$  are arbitrary, and  $y_1$  and  $y_2$  are two power series solutions that are analytic at  $x_0$ ; The solutions  $y_1$  and  $y_2$  form a fundamental set of solutions; Further, the radius of convergence for each of the series solutions  $y_1$  and  $y_2$  is at least as large as the minimum of the radii of convergence of the series for p and q.

George Voutsadakis (LSSU)

## Example I

- What is the radius of convergence of the Taylor series for (1 + x<sup>2</sup>)<sup>-1</sup> about x = 0?
  - Method 1: Find the Taylor series in question, namely,

$$\frac{1}{1+x^2} = 1 - x^2 + x^4 - x^6 + + (-1)^n x^{2n} + \cdots$$

Then apply the ratio test to show that  $\rho = 1$ ;

• **Method 2**: The zeros of  $1 + x^2$  are  $x = \pm i$ ; Since the distance from 0 to *i* or to -i is 1, the radius of convergence of the power series about x = 0 is 1;

## Example II

• What is the radius of convergence of the Taylor series for  $(x^2 - 2x + 2)^{-1}$  about x = 0? How about x = 1? First notice that  $x^2 - 2x + 2 = 0$  has solutions  $x = 1 \pm i$ ; The distance in the complex plane from x = 0 to either x = 1 + i or x = 1 - i is  $\sqrt{2}$ ; Hence, the radius of convergence of the Taylor series expansion  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n x^n$  about x = 0 is  $\sqrt{2}$ ;

The distance in the complex plane from x = 1 to either x = 1 + i or x = 1 - i is 1; Hence the radius of convergence of the Taylor series expansion  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n (x-1)^n$  about x = 1 is 1;

## Example III

 Determine a lower bound for the radius of convergence of series solutions about x = 0 for the Legendre equation  $(1-x^2)y''-2xy'+\alpha(\alpha+1)y=0$ , where  $\alpha$  is a constant; Note that  $P(x) = 1 - x^2$ , Q(x) = -2x, and  $R(x) = \alpha(\alpha + 1)$  are polynomials, and that the zeros of P, namely,  $x = \pm 1$ , are a distance 1 from x = 0; Hence a series solution of the form  $\sum a_n x^n$ converges at least for |x| < 1, and possibly for larger values of x; Indeed, it can be shown that if  $\alpha$  is a positive integer, one of the series solutions terminates after a finite number of terms and hence converges not just for |x| < 1 but for all x; For example, if  $\alpha = 1$ , the polynomial solution is y = x;

## Example IV

• Determine a lower bound for the radius of convergence of series solutions of the differential equation  $(1 + x^2)y'' + 2xy' + 4x^2y = 0$  about the point x = 0; Also, about the point  $x = -\frac{1}{2}$ ;

Again *P*, *Q* and *R* are polynomials, and *P* has zeros at  $x = \pm i$ ; The distance in the complex plane from 0 to  $\pm i$  is 1, and from  $-\frac{1}{2}$  to  $\pm i$  is  $\sqrt{1 + \frac{1}{4}} = \frac{\sqrt{5}}{2}$ ; Hence, in the first case the series  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n x^n$  converges at least for |x| < 1, and in the second case the series  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n (x + \frac{1}{2})^n$  converges at least for  $|x + \frac{1}{2}| < \frac{\sqrt{5}}{2}$ ;

If initial conditions  $y(0) = y_0$  and  $y'(0) = y'_0$  are given, since  $1 + x^2 \neq 0$ , for all x, there exists a unique solution of the initial value problem on  $-\infty < x < \infty$ ; On the other hand, a series solution of the form  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n x^n$  (with  $a_0 = y_0, a_1 = y'_0$ ) is only guaranteed for -1 < x < 1; The unique solution on the interval  $-\infty < x < \infty$  may not have a power series about x = 0 that converges for all x;

## Example V

• Can we determine a series solution about x = 0 for the differential equation  $y'' + (\sin x)y' + (1 + x^2)y = 0$ , and if so, what is the radius of convergence?

For this differential equation,  $p(x) = \sin x$  and  $q(x) = 1 + x^2$ ; Recall from calculus that  $\sin x$  has a Taylor series expansion about x = 0 that converges for all x; Further, q also has a Taylor series expansion about x = 0, namely,  $q(x) = 1 + x^2$ , that converges for all x;

Thus there is a series solution of the form  $y = \sum a_n x^n$  with  $a_0$  and

 $a_1$  arbitrary, and the series converges for all x;

### Subsection 4

### Euler Equations; Regular Singular Points

## **Euler Equations**

- Consider equations of the form P(x)y" + Q(x)y' + R(x)y = 0 in the neighborhood of a singular point x<sub>0</sub>;
- If P, Q and R are polynomials having no common factors, then the singular points are those for which P(x) = 0;
- A relatively simple differential equation that has a singular point is the Euler equation L[y] = x<sup>2</sup>y" + αxy' + βy = 0, α, β real;
- In this case  $P(x) = x^2$ , so x = 0 is the only singular point;
- Consider, first, the interval x > 0;
- Observe that  $(x^{r})' = rx^{r-1}$  and  $(x^{r})'' = r(r-1)x^{r-2}$ ;
- So, if the equation has a solution of the form  $y = x^r$ , then  $L[x^r] = x^2(x^r)'' + \alpha x(x^r)' + \beta x^r = x^r[r(r-1) + \alpha r + \beta] = 0;$
- If r is a root of the quadratic equation  $F(r) = r(r-1) + \alpha r + \beta = 0$ , then  $L[x^r]$  is zero, and  $y = x^r$  is a solution of the differential equation;
- The roots of the quadratic are  $r_1, r_2 = \frac{-(\alpha-1)\pm\sqrt{(\alpha-1)^2-4\beta}}{2}$ , and  $F(r) = (r r_1)(r r_2)$ ;

## Case I: Real Distinct Roots

- We consider separately the cases in which the roots are real and different, real but equal, and complex conjugates;
- **Real, Distinct Roots**: If F(r) = 0 has real roots  $r_1$  and  $r_2$ , with  $r_1 \neq r_2$ , then  $y_1(x) = x^{r_1}$  and  $y_2(x) = x^{r_2}$  are solutions;
- Since  $W(x^{r_1}, x^{r_2}) = (r_2 r_1)x^{r_1+r_2-1} \neq 0$ , for  $r_1 \neq r_2$  and x > 0, it follows that the general solution is  $y = c_1x^{r_1} + c_2x^{r_2}, x > 0$ ;
- If r is not a rational number, then  $x^r$  is defined by  $x^r = e^{r \ln x}$ ;
- Example: Solve  $2x^2y'' + 3xy' y = 0$ , x > 0; Substituting  $y = x^r$  gives  $2x^2r(r-1)x^{r-2} + 3xrx^{r-1} - x^r = x^r[2r(r-1) + 3r - 1] = x^r(2r^2 + r - 1) = x^r(2r - 1)(r + 1) = 0$ ; Hence  $r_1 = \frac{1}{2}$  and  $r_2 = -1$ , so the general solution is  $y = c_1x^{1/2} + c_2x^{-1}, x > 0$ ;

## Case II: Equal Roots

- If the roots  $r_1$  and  $r_2$  are equal, then we obtain only  $y_1(x) = x^{r_1}$ ;
- Since  $r_1 = r_2$ , it follows that  $F(r) = (r r_1)^2$ ;
- Thus, in this case not only does  $F(r_1) = 0$  but also  $F'(r_1) = 0$ ;
- We differentiate the equation with respect to r and set  $r = r_1$ ;
- Differentiating with respect to r gives  $L[x^r \ln x] = L[\frac{\partial(x^r)}{\partial r}] = \frac{\partial}{\partial r}L[x^r] = \frac{\partial}{\partial r}[x^r F(r)] = \frac{\partial}{\partial r}[x^r(r-r_1)^2] = (r-r_1)^2 x^r \ln x + 2(r-r_1)x^r;$
- The right side is zero for  $r = r_1$ , whence,  $y_2(x) = x^{r_1} \ln x$ , x > 0, is a second solution;
- By evaluating the Wronskian, we find that  $W(x^{r_1}, x^{r_1} \ln x) = x^{2r_1-1}$ , so  $x^{r_1}$  and  $x^{r_1} \ln x$  are a fundamental set of solutions for x > 0;
- The general solution is  $y = (c_1 + c_2 \ln x)x^{r_1}$ , for x > 0;
- Example: Solve  $x^2y'' + 5xy' + 4y = 0$ , x > 0; Substituting  $y = x^r$ , we get  $x^r[r(r-1) + 5r + 4] = x^r(r^2 + 4r + 4) = 0$ ; Hence,  $r_1 = r_2 = -2$ , and  $y = x^{-2}(c_1 + c_2 \ln x)$ , x > 0 is the general solution;

### Case III: Complex Conjugate Roots

- Suppose  $r_1 = \lambda + i\mu$  and  $r_2 = \lambda i\mu$ , with  $\mu \neq 0$ ;
- If x > 0, r real, then  $x^r = e^{r \ln x}$ ; Define  $x^r = e^{r \ln x}$ , r complex;
- Then, since  $e^{i\mu \ln x} = \cos(\mu \ln x) + i\sin(\mu \ln x)$ , we obtain  $x^{\lambda+i\mu} = e^{(\lambda+i\mu)\ln x} = e^{\lambda \ln x} e^{i\mu \ln x} = x^{\lambda} e^{i\mu \ln x} = x^{\lambda} [\cos(\mu \ln x) + i\sin(\mu \ln x)]$ ;
- Using algebra, it can be checked that  $x^{r_1}$  and  $x^{r_2}$  are indeed solutions and the general solution is  $y = c_1 x^{\lambda + i\mu} + c_2 x^{\lambda i\mu}$ ;
- The real and imaginary parts of  $x^{\lambda+i\mu}$ , namely,  $x^{\lambda} \cos(\mu \ln x)$  and  $x^{\lambda} \sin(\mu \ln x)$  are also solutions;
- Since  $W[x^{\lambda} \cos(\mu \ln x), x^{\lambda} \sin(\mu \ln x)] = \mu x^{2\lambda-1}$ , the solutions form a fundamental set for x > 0, and the general solution is  $y = c_1 x^{\lambda} \cos(\mu \ln x) + c_2 x^{\lambda} \sin(\mu \ln x), x > 0$ ;
- Example: Solve  $x^2y'' + xy' + y = 0$ ; Substituting  $y = x^r$ , we get  $x^r[r(r-1) + r + 1] = x^r(r^2 + 1) = 0$ ; Hence  $r = \pm i$  ( $\lambda = 0$  gives  $x^{\lambda} = 1$ ), and the general solution is  $y = c_1 \cos(\ln x) + c_2 \sin(\ln x), x > 0$ ;

### Solving the Euler Equation for x < 0

- Consider again  $L[y] = x^2y'' + \alpha xy' + \beta y = 0$  with x < 0;
- One issue is the meaning of x<sup>r</sup> for x negative and r not an integer;
   Similarly, ln x has not been defined for x < 0;</li>
- The solutions given for x > 0 can be shown to be valid for x < 0, but in general they are complex valued;
- It is always possible to obtain real valued solutions of the Euler equation for x < 0 by setting  $x = -\xi$ , where  $\xi > 0$ , and  $y = u(\xi)$ ;

• Then 
$$\frac{dy}{dx} = \frac{du}{d\xi}\frac{d\xi}{dx} = -\frac{du}{d\xi}, \ \frac{d^2y}{dx^2} = \frac{d}{d\xi}(-\frac{du}{d\xi})\frac{d\xi}{dx} = \frac{d^2u}{d\xi^2};$$

• Thus, for 
$$x < 0$$
, we get  $\xi^2 \frac{d^2 u}{d\xi^2} + \alpha \xi \frac{du}{d\xi} + \beta u = 0$ ,  $\xi > 0$ ;

• So 
$$u(\xi) = \begin{cases} c_1 \zeta + c_2 \zeta \\ (c_1 + c_2 \ln \xi) \xi^{r_1} \\ c_1 \xi^{\lambda} \cos(\mu \ln \xi) + c_2 \xi^{\lambda} \sin(\mu \ln \xi) \end{cases}$$
 depending on

whether the zeros of  $F(r) = r(r-1) + \alpha r + \beta$  are real and different, real and equal, or complex conjugates;

• To obtain u in terms of x, we replace  $\xi$  by -x in the  $\xi$ -solutions;

### Unifying the Solutions for x < 0 and x > 0

- We combine the cases x > 0 and x < 0 using |x|;
- The general solution of the Euler equation  $x^2y'' + \alpha xy' + \beta y = 0$  in any interval not containing the origin is determined by the roots  $r_1$  and  $r_2$  of the equation  $F(r) = r(r-1) + \alpha r + \beta = 0$  as follows:
  - If the roots are real and different, then  $y = c_1 |x|^{r_1} + c_2 |x|^{r_2}$ ;
  - If the roots are real and equal, then  $y = (c_1 + c_2 \ln |x|)|x|^{r_1}$ ;
  - If the roots are complex conjugates, then
    - $y = |x|^{\lambda} [c_1 \cos (\mu \ln |x|) + c_2 \sin (\mu \ln |x|)], \text{ where } r_1, r_2 = \lambda \pm i\mu;$
- The solutions of an Euler equation of the form  $(x x_0)^2 y'' + \alpha (x x_0)y' + \beta y = 0$  are similar;
- If we look for solutions of the form y = (x x<sub>0</sub>)<sup>r</sup>, then the general solution is given by the equation above with x replaced by x x<sub>0</sub>;
- Alternatively, we can reduce this form to the original by performing a change of variable  $t = x x_0$ ;

### Discussion of Solutions for Singular Points

- Consider P(x)y'' + Q(x)y' + R(x)y = 0 where  $x_0$  is singular, i.e.,  $P(x_0) = 0$  and at least one of Q and R is not zero at  $x_0$ ;
- Since those points are few in number, can we simply ignore them and just consider solutions about ordinary points?
- This is not feasible because the singular points determine to a large extent the principal features of the solution; In the neighborhood of a singular point the solution often becomes large in magnitude or experiences rapid changes in magnitude;
- Some information on the behavior of Q/P and R/P in the neighborhood of the singular point is needed to understand the behavior of the solutions near x = x<sub>0</sub>;
- It may be that there are two distinct solutions that remain bounded as x → x<sub>0</sub> or only one, with the other becoming unbounded, or they may both become unbounded as x → x<sub>0</sub>;
- If there are solutions that become unbounded as x → x<sub>0</sub>, it is often important to determine how these solutions behave as x → x<sub>0</sub>;

## Extending the Method to Cover Singular Points

- To extend the method used for ordinary points to a singular point x<sub>0</sub>, it is necessary to restrict to singularities that are not too severe;
- We might call these "weak singularities"; The conditions needed are that  $\lim_{x\to x_0} (x x_0) \frac{Q(x)}{P(x)}$  is finite and  $\lim_{x\to x_0} (x x_0)^2 \frac{R(x)}{P(x)}$  is finite;
- This means that the singularity in  $\frac{Q}{P}$  can be no worse than  $(x x_0)^{-1}$  and the singularity in  $\frac{R}{P}$  can be no worse than  $(x x_0)^{-2}$ ; Such a point is called a **regular singular point**;
- For equations with more general coefficients than polynomials,  $x_0$  is a **regular singular point** if it is a singular point and  $(x x_0)\frac{Q(x)}{P(x)}$  and  $(x x_0)^2 \frac{R(x)}{P(x)}$  have convergent Taylor series about  $x_0$ ;
- Any singular point that is not a regular singular point is called an
  - irregular singular point;
- The singularity in an Euler equation is a regular singular point; Indeed, we will see that all general equations behave very much like Euler equations near a regular singular point;

### Example I

- Determine the singular points of the Legendre equation  $(1 x^2)y'' 2xy' + \alpha(\alpha + 1)y = 0$  and determine whether they are regular or irregular;
  - $P(x) = 1 x^2$ , so the singular points are x = 1 and x = -1; Divide by  $1 - x^2$  to get the coefficients of y' and y: They are  $-\frac{2x}{1-x^2}$  and  $\frac{\alpha(\alpha+1)}{1-x^2}$ ;
    - $\lim_{x \to 1} (x-1) \frac{-2x}{1-x^2} = \lim_{x \to 1} \frac{(x-1)(-2x)}{(1-x)(1+x)} = \lim_{x \to 1} \frac{2x}{1+x} = 1;$  $\lim_{x \to 1} (x-1)^2 \frac{\alpha(\alpha+1)}{1-x^2} = \lim_{x \to 1} \frac{(x-1)^2 \alpha(\alpha+1)}{(1-x)(1+x)} = \lim_{x \to 1} \frac{(x-1)(-\alpha)(\alpha+1)}{1+x} = 0;$ Since these limits are finite, the point x = 1 is a regular singular point;
    - It can be shown in a similar manner that x = -1 is also a regular singular point;

## Example II

• Determine the singular points of the differential equation  $2x(x-2)^2y'' + 3xy' + (x-2)y = 0$  and classify them as regular or irregular;

Dividing the differential equation by  $2x(x-2)^2$ , we have  $y'' + \frac{3}{2(x-2)^2}y' + \frac{1}{2x(x-2)}y = 0$ , so  $p(x) = \frac{Q(x)}{P(x)} = \frac{3}{2(x-2)^2}$  and  $q(x) = \frac{R(x)}{P(x)} = \frac{1}{2x(x-2)}$ ; The singular points are x = 0 and x = 2; •  $\lim_{x \to 0} xp(x) = \lim_{x \to 0} x \frac{3}{2(x-2)^2} = 0$ ;  $\lim_{x \to 0} x^2q(x) = \lim_{x \to 0} x^2 \frac{1}{2x(x-2)} = 0$ ; Since these limits are finite, x = 0 is a regular singular point; •  $\lim_{x \to 2} (x-2)p(x) = \lim_{x \to 2} (x-2) \frac{3}{2(x-2)^2} = \lim_{x \to 2} \frac{3}{2(x-2)}$ ; Thus, the limit does not exist; Hence x = 2 is an irregular singular point;

## Example III

• Determine the singular points of  $(x - \frac{\pi}{2})^2 y'' + (\cos x)y' + (\sin x)y = 0$ and classify them as regular or irregular.

The only singular point is  $x = \frac{\pi}{2}$ ; To study it, we consider the functions  $(x - \frac{\pi}{2})p(x) = (x - \frac{\pi}{2})\frac{Q(x)}{P(x)} = \frac{\cos x}{x - \pi/2}$  and  $(x - \frac{\pi}{2})^2q(x) = (x - \frac{\pi}{2})^2\frac{R(x)}{P(x)} = \sin x$ ; Starting from the Taylor series for  $\cos x$  about  $x = \frac{\pi}{2}$ , we find that  $\frac{\cos x}{x - \pi/2} = -1 + \frac{(x - \pi/2)^2}{3!} - \frac{(x - \pi/2)^4}{5!} + \cdots$  which converges for all x; Similarly,  $\sin x$  is analytic at  $x = \frac{\pi}{2}$ ; Therefore, we conclude that  $\frac{\pi}{2}$  is a regular singular point for this equation;

### Subsection 5

### Series Solutions Near a Regular Singular Point, Part I

## The General Equation at Regular Singular Points

- How do we solve the general second order linear equation
   P(x)y'' + Q(x)y' + R(x)y = 0 in the neighborhood of a regular singular point x = x<sub>0</sub>?
- Suppose  $x_0 = 0$ ; Otherwise, we can apply  $t = x x_0$ ;
- Regular singularity means that  $x \frac{Q(x)}{P(x)} = xp(x)$  and  $x^2 \frac{R(x)}{P(x)} = x^2q(x)$  have finite limits as  $x \to 0$  and are analytic at x = 0;
- Thus, they have convergent power series expansions of the form xp(x) = ∑<sub>n=0</sub><sup>∞</sup> p<sub>n</sub>x<sup>n</sup>, x<sup>2</sup>q(x) = ∑<sub>n=0</sub><sup>∞</sup> q<sub>n</sub>x<sup>n</sup>, for |x| < ρ, ρ > 0;
  Divide by P(x) and multiply by x<sup>2</sup>: x<sup>2</sup>y'' + x[xp(x)]y' + [x<sup>2</sup>q(x)]y = 0, or x<sup>2</sup>y'' + x(p<sub>0</sub> + p<sub>1</sub>x + ··· + p<sub>n</sub>x<sup>n</sup> + ··· )y' + (q<sub>0</sub> + q<sub>1</sub>x + ··· + q<sub>n</sub>x<sup>n</sup> + ··· )y = 0;
  If all of the coefficients p<sub>n</sub> and q<sub>n</sub> are zero, except possibly p<sub>n</sub> = lim x<sup>Q(x)</sup> and q<sub>n</sub> = lim x<sup>2</sup>R(x) then we get the Euler equation

$$p_0 = \lim_{x \to 0} x \frac{q(x)}{P(x)}$$
 and  $q_0 = \lim_{x \to 0} x^2 \frac{R(x)}{P(x)}$ , then we get the Euler equation  $x^2 y'' + p_0 x y' + q_0 y = 0;$ 

## The General Equation: The General Case

• 
$$x^2y'' + x(p_0 + p_1x + \cdots)y' + (q_0 + q_1x + \cdots)y = 0;$$

- In general, some of the p<sub>n</sub> and q<sub>n</sub> are not zero; Still, the character of solutions is identical to those of the Euler equation;
- Again, let us look at x > 0;
- Since the coefficients are "Euler coefficients" times power series, we seek solutions in the form of "Euler solutions" times power series;

• Assume 
$$y = x^{r}(a_{0} + a_{1}x + \dots + a_{n}x^{n} + \dots) = x^{r}\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}a_{n}x^{n} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty}a_{n}x^{r+n}$$
, with  $a_{0} \neq 0$ ;

- We would like to determine:
  - The values of r for which we get a solution of this form;
  - **2** The recurrence relation for the coefficients  $a_n$ ;
  - **)** The radius of convergence of the series  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n x^n$ ;
- To simplify matters, we assume that there exists a solution of the stated form and we show how to determine the coefficients;

## Example

• Solve the differential equation  $2x^2y'' - xy' + (1+x)y = 0$ ; It is easy to show that x = 0 is a regular singular point; Further,  $xp(x) = -\frac{1}{2}$  and  $x^2q(x) = \frac{1+x}{2}$ ; Thus  $p_0 = -\frac{1}{2}$ ,  $q_0 = \frac{1}{2}$ ,  $q_1 = \frac{1}{2}$ and all other p's and q's are zero; Then, the corresponding Euler equation is  $2x^2y'' - xy' + y = 0$ ; We assume that there is a solution  $y = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n x^{n+r}$ ; Then  $y' = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n (r+n) x^{r+n-1}$  and  $y'' = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n (r+n)(r+n-1)x^{r+n-2};$ Therefore,  $2x^2y'' - xy' + (1+x)y = \sum 2a_n(r+n)(r+n-1)x^{r+n} - 2a_n(r+n)(r+n-1)x^{r+n}$  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}a_n(r+n)x^{r+n} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}a_nx^{r+n} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}a_nx^{r+n+1}$ ; The last term can be written as  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{n-1} x^{r+n}$ , so we obtain n=0 $2x^{2}y'' - xy' + (1+x)y = a_{0}[2r(r-1) - r + 1]x^{r}$  $+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} [[2(r+n)(r+n-1)-(r+n)+1]a_n+a_{n-1}]x^{r+n}=0;$ 

# Example (Cont'd)

- We had  $2x^2y'' xy' + (1+x)y = a_0[2r(r-1) r + 1]x^r + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} [[2(r+n)(r+n-1) (r+n) + 1]a_n + a_{n-1}]x^{r+n} = 0;$
- The coefficient of each power of x must be zero;
- Since a<sub>0</sub> ≠ 0, the coefficient of x<sup>r</sup> yields 2r(r-1) - r + 1 = 2r<sup>2</sup> - 3r + 1 = (r - 1)(2r - 1) = 0; This is called the **indicial equation**; It is exactly the polynomial equation obtained for the associated Euler equation;
- The roots of the indicial equation are r<sub>1</sub> = 1, r<sub>2</sub> = <sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub>; These values are called the exponents at the singularity for x = 0; They determine the qualitative behavior of the solution close to x = 0;
- Now we set the coefficient of  $x^{r+n}$  equal to zero:  $[2(r+n)(r+n-1) - (r+n) + 1]a_n + a_{n-1} = 0 \text{ or}$   $a_n = -\frac{a_{n-1}}{2(r+n)^2 - 3(r+n) + 1} = -\frac{a_{n-1}}{[(r+n)-1][2(r+n)-1]}, n \ge 1;$
- For each root  $r_1$  and  $r_2$  of the indicial equation, we use this recurrence relation to determine a set of coefficients  $a_1, a_2, \ldots$ ;

## Example (Cont'd): The Root $r_1 = 1$

• For 
$$r = r_1 = 1$$
,  $a_n = -\frac{a_{n-1}}{(2n+1)n}$ ;

• Thus 
$$a_1 = -\frac{a_0}{3 \cdot 1}$$
,  $a_2 = -\frac{a_1}{5 \cdot 2} = \frac{a_0}{(3 \cdot 5)(1 \cdot 2)}$ , and  $a_3 = -\frac{a_2}{7 \cdot 3} = -\frac{a_0}{(3 \cdot 5 \cdot 7)(1 \cdot 2 \cdot 3)}$ ;

- In general, we have  $a_n = \frac{(-1)^n}{[3 \cdot 5 \cdot 7 \cdots (2n+1)]n!} a_0, \ n \ge 4;$
- Multiplying the numerator and denominator of the right side by  $2 \cdot 4 \cdot 6 \cdots 2n = 2^n n!$ , we get  $a_n = \frac{(-1)^n 2^n}{(2n+1)!} a_0$ ;
- Hence, if we omit the constant multiplier  $a_0$ , we get the solution  $y_1(x) = x[1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n 2^n}{(2n+1)!} x^n], x > 0;$
- To determine the radius of convergence of the series we use the ratio test:  $\lim_{n \to \infty} \left| \frac{a_{n+1}x^{n+1}}{a_n x^n} \right| = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{2|x|}{(2n+2)(2n+3)} = 0$ ; Thus the series converges for all x;

# Example (Cont'd): The Root $r_2 = 1/2$

- Corresponding to the second root  $r = r_2 = \frac{1}{2}$ , we proceed similarly;  $a_n = -\frac{a_{n-1}}{2n(n-\frac{1}{2})} = -\frac{a_{n-1}}{n(2n-1)};$ • Hence  $a_1 = -\frac{a_0}{1 \cdot 1}$ ,  $a_2 = -\frac{a_1}{2 \cdot 3} = \frac{a_0}{(1 \cdot 2)(1 \cdot 3)}$ ,  $a_3 = -\frac{a_2}{3\cdot 5} = -\frac{a_0}{(1\cdot 2\cdot 3)(1\cdot 3\cdot 5)};$ • In general,  $a_n = \frac{(-1)^n}{n! [1:3:5\cdots(2n-1)]} a_0, n \ge 4;$ • Multiply the numerator and denominator by  $2 \cdot 4 \cdot 6 \cdots 2n = 2^n n!$ :  $a_n = \frac{(-1)^n 2^n}{(2n)!} a_0, \ n \ge 1;$ • Thus,  $y_2(x) = x^{1/2} [1 + \sum_{(2n)!}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n 2^n}{(2n)!} x^n], x > 0;$  As before, we can show that the series converges for all x; • Since  $y_1$  and  $y_2$  behave like x and  $x^{1/2}$ , respectively, near x = 0, they
  - form a fundamental set of solutions, whence the general solution is  $y = c_1y_1(x) + c_2y_2(x), x > 0;$

## Arbitrary Regular Singular Points

- If x = 0 is a regular singular point, then sometimes there are two solutions of the form y = ∑<sub>n=0</sub><sup>∞</sup> a<sub>n</sub>x<sup>r+n</sup> in the neighborhood of x = 0;
- If there is a regular singular point at  $x = x_0$ , then there may be two solutions of form  $y = (x x_0)^r \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n (x x_0)^n$  near  $x = x_0$ ;
- However, a general equation with a regular singular point may not have two solutions of this form;
- In particular, if the roots r<sub>1</sub> and r<sub>2</sub> of the indicial equation are equal, or differ by an integer, then the second solution normally has a more complicated structure; In all cases, though, it is possible to find at least one solution of this form;
- If r<sub>1</sub> and r<sub>2</sub> differ by an integer, this solution corresponds to the larger value of r; If there is only one such solution, then the second solution involves a logarithmic term;
- If the roots are complex, then they cannot be equal or differ by an integer, so there are always two solutions of this form;

### Subsection 6

#### Series Solutions Near a Regular Singular Point, Part II

## The General Equation

- Consider the equation  $L[y] = x^2 y'' + x[xp(x)]y' + [x^2q(x)]y = 0$ , where  $xp(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} p_n x^n$ ,  $x^2q(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} q_n x^n$  and both series converge in an interval  $|x| < \rho$  for some  $\rho > 0$ ;
- The point x = 0 is a regular singular point, and the corresponding Euler equation is  $x^2y'' + p_0xy' + q_0y = 0$ ;
- We seek a solution for x > 0 and assume that it has the form  $y = \phi(r, x) = x^r \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n x^n = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n x^{r+n}$ , where  $a_0 \neq 0$ , and  $y = \phi(r, x)$  indicates that  $\phi$  depends on r as well as on x; •  $y' = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (r+n)a_n x^{r+n-1}$ ,  $y'' = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (r+n)(r+n-1)a_n x^{r+n-2}$ ;
- Substituting, we get  $a_0r(r-1)x^r + a_1(r+1)rx^{r+1} + \dots + a_n(r+n)(r+n-1)x^{r+n} + \dots + (p_0 + p_1x + \dots + p_nx^n + \dots) \times [a_0rx^r + a_1(r+1)x^{r+1} + \dots + a_n(r+n)x^{r+n} + \dots] + (q_0 + q_1x + \dots + q_nx^n + \dots) \times (a_0x^r + a_1x^{r+1} + \dots + a_nx^{r+n} + \dots) = 0;$

## The Indicial Equation

- Multiplying and collecting terms, we obtain  $a_0F(r)x^r + [a_1F(r+1) + a_0(p_1r+q_1)]x^{r+1} + [a_2F(r+2) + a_0(p_2r+q_2) + a_1[p_1(r+1) + q_1]]x^{r+2} + \dots + [a_nF(r+n) + a_0(p_nr+q_n) + a_1[p_{n-1}(r+1) + q_{n-1}] + \dots + a_{n-1}[p_1(r+n-1) + q_1]]x^{r+n} + \dots = 0,$
- In a more compact form,  $L[\phi](r, x) =$   $a_0 F(r)x^r + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[ F(r+n)a_n + \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} a_k [(r+k)p_{n-k} + q_{n-k}] \right] x^{r+n} = 0,$ where  $F(r) = r(r-1) + p_0 r + q_0;$
- The term involving x<sup>r</sup> yields the equation F(r) = 0; This equation is called the indicial equation;
- Denote the roots of the indicial equation by  $r_1$  and  $r_2$  with  $r_1 \ge r_2$  if the roots are real; If the roots are complex, the designation of the roots is immaterial;
- The roots r<sub>1</sub> and r<sub>2</sub> are called the **exponents at the singularity**;

### The Roots and the Solutions

- Setting the coefficient of  $x^{r+n}$  equal to zero gives the recurrence  $F(r+n)a_n + \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} a_k[(r+k)p_{n-k} + q_{n-k}] = 0;$
- So a<sub>n</sub> depends on the value of r and all the preceding coefficients a<sub>0</sub>, a<sub>1</sub>,..., a<sub>n-1</sub>;
- We can successively compute a<sub>1</sub>, a<sub>2</sub>,..., a<sub>n</sub>,... in terms of a<sub>0</sub> and the coefficients in the series for xp(x) and x<sup>2</sup>q(x), provided that F(r+1), F(r+2),..., F(r+n),... are not zero;
- The only values of r for which F(r) = 0 are  $r = r_1$  and  $r = r_2$ ; Since  $r_1 \ge r_2$ , it follows that  $r_1 + n$  is not equal to  $r_1$  or  $r_2$  for  $n \ge 1$ ; Consequently,  $F(r_1 + n) \ne 0$  for  $n \ge 1$ ; Hence we can always determine one solution of the differential equation, namely,

$$y_1(x) = x^{r_1}[1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n(r_1)x^n], x > 0;$$

### The Solution Series

- If  $r_2 \neq r_1$ , and  $r_1 r_2$  is not a positive integer, then  $r_2 + n \neq r_1$  for any  $n \ge 1$ ; Hence,  $F(r_2 + n) \neq 0$ , and we can also obtain a second solution  $y_2(x) = x^{r_2}[1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n(r_2)x^n], x > 0$ ;
- The series converge at least in the interval |x| < ρ, where the series for both xp(x) and x<sup>2</sup>q(x) converge;
- Within their radii of convergence, the power series define functions that are analytic at x = 0;
- Thus, the singular behavior, if there is any, of the solutions  $y_1$  and  $y_2$  is due to the factors  $x^{r_1}$  and  $x^{r_2}$  that multiply these two analytic functions;
- To obtain real-valued solutions for x < 0, we can make the substitution x = -ξ with ξ > 0;
- It turns out that we need only replace  $x^{r_1}$  and  $x^{r_2}$  by  $|x|^{r_1}$  and  $|x|^{r_2}$ , respectively;

### The Solution for x > 0 and the Complex Root Case

- If  $r_1$  and  $r_2$  are complex numbers, then they are necessarily complex conjugates and  $r_2 \neq r_1 + N$  for any positive integer N;
- In this case we can always find two series solutions; However, they are complex-valued functions of x; Real-valued solutions can be obtained by taking the real and imaginary parts of the complex solutions;
- We consider  $r_1 = r_2$  or  $r_1 r_2 = N$  later;
- To calculate r₁ and r₂, we only have to solve r(r − 1) + p₀r + q₀ = 0, with p₀ = lim xp(x), q₀ = lim x²q(x); These are exactly the limits that must be evaluated in order to classify the singularity;
- If x = 0 is a regular singular point of the equation P(x)y'' + Q(x)y' + R(x)y = 0, where P, Q and R are polynomials, then  $xp(x) = x\frac{Q(x)}{P(x)}$  and  $x^2q(x) = x^2\frac{R(x)}{P(x)}$ ; Thus,  $p_0 = \lim_{x \to 0} x\frac{Q(x)}{P(x)}$ ,  $q_0 = \lim_{x \to 0} x^2\frac{R(x)}{P(x)}$ ;
- The radii of convergence for the series are at least equal to the distance from the origin to the nearest zero of P other than x = 0;

### Example

- Discuss the nature of the solutions of the equation 2x(1+x)y'' + (3+x)y' - xy = 0 near the singular points; P(x) = 2x(1+x), Q(x) = 3 + x, and R(x) = -x; The points x = 0 and x = -1 are the singular points;
  - The point x = 0 is a regular singular point, since  $\lim_{x \to \frac{Q(x)}{P(x)}} =$

 $\lim_{x\to 0} x \frac{3+x}{2x(1+x)} = \frac{3}{2}, \lim_{x\to 0} x^2 \frac{R(x)}{P(x)} = \lim_{x\to 0} x^2 \frac{-x}{2x(1+x)} = 0; \text{ Further, } p_0 = \frac{3}{2} \text{ and } q_0 = 0; \text{ Thus the indicial equation is } r(r-1) + \frac{3}{2}r = 0, \text{ and the roots are } r_1 = 0, r_2 = -\frac{1}{2}; \text{ Since these roots are not equal and do not differ by an integer, there are two solutions of the form}$ 

$$y_1(x) = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n(0)x^n$$
 and  $y_2(x) = |x|^{-1/2} [1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n(-\frac{1}{2})x^n]$ ; for  $0 < |x| < \rho$ ; A lower bound for the radius of convergence of each series is 1, the distance from  $x = 0$  to  $x = -1$ , the other zero of P(x);  $y_1$  is bounded as  $x \to 0$ , indeed is analytic there, and  $y_2$  is unbounded as  $x \to 0$ ;

# Example (Cont'd)

- Discuss the nature of the solutions of the equation 2x(1+x)y" + (3+x)y' - xy = 0 near the singular points; P(x) = 2x(1+x), Q(x) = 3 + x, and R(x) = -x; The points x = 0 and x = -1 are the singular points;
  - The point x = -1 is also a regular singular point, since  $\lim_{x \to -1} (x+1) \frac{Q(x)}{P(x)} = \lim_{x \to -1} \frac{(x+1)(3+x)}{2x(1+x)} = -1, \lim_{x \to -1} (x+1)^2 \frac{R(x)}{P(x)} = \lim_{x \to -1} \frac{(x+1)2(-x)}{2x(1+x)} = 0; \text{ In this case } p_0 = -1, q_0 = 0, \text{ so the indicial is } r(r-1) - r = 0; \text{ The roots are } r_1 = 2 \text{ and } r_2 = 0; \text{ Corresponding to the larger root there is a solution of the form}$   $y_1(x) = (x+1)^2 [1 + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n(2)(x+1)^n]; \text{ The series converges at least}$

for |x + 1| < 1, and  $y_1$  is an analytic function there; Since the two roots differ by a positive integer, there may or may not be a second solution

of the form 
$$y_2(x) = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n(0)(x+1)^n$$
; Further analysis needed;

### Equal Roots

- Suppose the roots of the indicial equation are equal to r<sub>1</sub>;
- Then  $L[\phi](r,x) = a_0 F(r) x^r = a_0 (r r_1)^2 x^r$ ;
- Setting  $r = r_1$ ,  $L[\phi](r_1, x) = 0$ ; So  $y_1(x) = x^{r_1}[1 + \sum_{n=1}^{n} a_n(r_1)x^n]$  is

one solution;

• It also follows that  $L[\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial r}](r_1, x) = a_0 \frac{\partial}{\partial r} [x^r(r-r_1)^2]\Big|_{r=r_1} = a_0 [(r-r_1)^2 x^r \ln x + 2(r-r_1)x^r]\Big|_{r=r_1} = 0;$ • Hence, a second solution is

Hence, a second solution is  

$$y_{2}(x) = \left. \frac{\partial \phi(r,x)}{\partial r} \right|_{r=r_{1}} = \left. \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left[ x^{r} \left[ a_{0} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{n}(r) x^{n} \right] \right]_{r=r_{1}} = \left. (x^{r_{1}} \ln x) \left[ a_{0} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{n}(r_{1}) x^{n} \right] + x^{r_{1}} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a'_{n}(r_{1}) x^{n} = \right.$$

$$y_{1}(x) \ln x + x^{r_{1}} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a'_{n}(r_{1}) x^{n}, \quad x > 0, \text{ where } a'_{n}(r_{1}) \text{ is value of } \frac{da_{n}}{dr} \text{ at } r = r_{1};$$

## Equal Roots: Remarks

- It may turn out that it is difficult to determine a<sub>n</sub>(r) as a function of r from the recurrence relation and then to differentiate the resulting expression with respect to r;
- An alternative is simply to assume that y has the form  $y = y_1(x) \ln x + x^{r_1} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n x^n$ , x > 0, where  $y_1(x)$  has already been found; The coefficients  $b_n$  are calculated, as usual, by substituting into the differential equation, collecting terms, and setting the coefficient of each power of x equal to zero;
- A third possibility is to use the method of reduction of order to find y<sub>2</sub>(x) once y<sub>1</sub>(x) is known;

## Roots Differing by an Integer

- If the roots r<sub>1</sub> and r<sub>2</sub> differ by an integer N, the derivation of the second solution is considerably more complicated;
- The form of this solution is stated in the following slide;
- The coefficients  $c_n(r_2)$  in the solution are given by  $c_n(r_2) = \frac{d}{dr}[(r-r_2)a_n(r)]|_{r=r_2}$ , where  $a_n(r)$  is determined from the recurrence  $F(r+n)a_n + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k[(r+k)p_{n-k} + q_{n-k}] = 0$ , with  $a_0 = 1$ ;
- The coefficient *a* in the solution is  $a = \lim_{r \to r_0} (r r_2)a_N(r)$ ;
- If  $a_N(r_2)$  is finite, then a = 0 and there is no logarithmic term in  $y_2$ ;
- In practice, the best way to determine whether *a* is zero in the second solution is simply to try to compute the  $a_n$  corresponding to the root  $r_2$  and to see whether it is possible to determine  $a_N(r_2)$ ; If so, there is no further problem; If not, we must use the formula with  $a \neq 0$ ;
- When  $r_1 r_2 = N$ , there are again three similar ways to find a second solution, as before;

### The Main Theorem: Summary of the Results I

- Consider  $x^2y'' + x[xp(x)]y' + [x^2q(x)]y = 0$ , with x = 0 a regular singular point; Then xp(x) and  $x^2q(x)$  are analytic at x = 0 with convergent series expansions  $xp(x) = \sum p_n x^n$ ,  $x^2q(x) = \sum q_n x^n$ , for  $|x| < \rho$ , where  $\rho > 0$  is the minimum of the radii of convergence of the power series for xp(x) and  $x^2q(x)$ ; Let  $r_1$  and  $r_2$  be the roots of the indicial equation  $F(r) = r(r-1) + p_0 r + q_0 = 0$ , with  $r_1 \ge r_2$  if  $r_1$  and  $r_2$  are real; Then in either the interval  $-\rho < x < 0$  or the interval  $0 < x < \rho$ , there exists a solution of the form  $y_1(x) = |x|^{r_1} [1 + \sum a_n(r_1) x^n]$ , where the  $a_n(r_1)$  are given by the n=1recurrence relation  $F(r_1 + n)a_n + \sum a_k[(r_1 + k)p_{n-k} + q_{n-k}] = 0$ ,
  - with  $a_0 = 1$ ;

### The Main Theorem: Summary of the Results II

### • The following cases may arise:

- If r<sub>1</sub> r<sub>2</sub> is not zero or a positive integer, then in either the interval -ρ < x < 0 or the interval 0 < x < ρ, there exists a second solution of the form y<sub>2</sub>(x) = |x|<sup>r<sub>2</sub></sup>[1 + ∑<sub>n=1</sub><sup>∞</sup>a<sub>n</sub>(r<sub>2</sub>)x<sup>n</sup>]; The a<sub>n</sub>(r<sub>2</sub>) are also determined by the same recurrence relation with a<sub>0</sub> = 1 and r = r<sub>2</sub>; The power series in converge at least for |x| < ρ;</li>
  If r<sub>1</sub> = r<sub>2</sub>, then y<sub>2</sub>(x) = y<sub>1</sub>(x) ln |x| + |x|<sup>r<sub>1</sub></sup>∑<sub>n=1</sub><sup>∞</sup>b<sub>n</sub>(r<sub>1</sub>)x<sup>n</sup>;
  If r<sub>1</sub> r<sub>2</sub> = N, y<sub>2</sub>(x) = ay<sub>1</sub>(x) ln |x| + |x|<sup>r<sub>2</sub></sup>[1 + ∑<sub>n=1</sub><sup>∞</sup>c<sub>n</sub>(r<sub>2</sub>)x<sup>n</sup>];
- a<sub>n</sub>(r<sub>1</sub>), b<sub>n</sub>(r<sub>1</sub>), c<sub>n</sub>(r<sub>2</sub>), and a can be determined by substituting the form of the series solutions for y; a may turn out to be zero;
- Each of the series converges at least for |x| < ρ and defines a function that is analytic in some neighborhood of x = 0;
- In all three cases the two solutions y<sub>1</sub>(x) and y<sub>2</sub>(x) form a fundamental set of solutions of the given differential equation;