Topics in Discrete Mathematics

George Voutsadakis¹

¹Mathematics and Computer Science Lake Superior State University

LSSU Math 216

George Voutsadakis (LSSU)

Discrete Mathematics

March 2014 1 / 50



- Groups
- Group Isomorphism
- Subgroups
- Fermat's Little Theorem

Subsection 1

Groups

Definition of Operation and Notation

Definition (Operation)

An **operation on** a set A is a function whose domain contains $A \times A$.

- Since $A \times A$ is the set of all ordered pairs whose entries are in A, an operation is a function whose input is a pair of elements from A.
- Example: Consider $f : \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Z}$ defined by f(a, b) = |a b|. In words, f(a, b) gives the distance between a and b on a number line.
- We rarely write the operation symbol in front of the two elements on which we are operating. Rather, we write the operation symbol between the two elements, i.e., instead of f(a, b), we write a f b.
- Furthermore, we usually do not use a letter to denote an operation. Instead, we use a special symbol such as + or ⊗ or ∘.
- The symbols + and \times have preset meanings.
- A common symbol for a generic operation is *. Thus, instead of writing f(a, b) = |a − b|, we could write a * b = |a − b|.

Example

- Which of the following are operations on $\mathbb{N}:+,-,\times$ and $\div?$
 - Certainly addition + is an operation defined on N. Although it is more broadly defined on any two rational (or even real or complex numbers), it is a function whose domain includes any pair of natural numbers.
 - Likewise multiplication \times is an operation on \mathbb{N} .
 - Furthermore, is an operation defined on \mathbb{N} . Note, however, that the result of might not be an element of \mathbb{N} . For example, $3, 7 \in \mathbb{N}$, but $3-7 \notin \mathbb{N}$.

Properties of Operations I

Definition (Commutative Property)

Let * be an operation on a set A. We say that * is **commutative on** A provided $\forall a, b \in A, a * b = b * a$.

Definition (Closure Property)

Let * be an operation on a set A. We say that * is **closed on** A provided $\forall a, b \in A, a * b \in A$.

 Note that the definition of an operation does not require that the result of * be an element of the set A. So, for example, - is an operation defined on N, but it is not closed on N.

Definition (Associative property)

Let * be an operation on a set A. We say that * is **associative on** A provided $\forall a, b, c \in A, (a * b) * c = a * (b * c)$.

Properties of Operations II

For example, the operations + and × on Z are associative, but − is not: (3 − 4) − 7 = − 8, but 3 − (4 − 7) = 6.

Definition (Identity Element)

Let * be an operation on a set A. An element $e \in A$ is called an **identity** element (or identity for short) for * provided $\forall a \in A, a * e = e * a = a$.

- For example, 0 is an identity element for +, and 1 is an identity element for \times . An identity element for \circ on S_n is the identity permutation ι .
- Not all operations have identity elements, e.g., subtraction of integers does not have an identity element.

Uniqueness of Identities

Proposition (Uniqueness of Identities)

Let * be an operation defined on a set A. Then * can have at most one identity element.

- Suppose there are two identity elements, e and e', in A with e ≠ e'. Consider e * e'.
 - On the one hand, since e is an identity element, e * e' = e'.
 - On the other hand, since e' is an identity element, e * e' = e.

Thus we have shown e' = e * e' = e, a contradiction to $e \neq e'$.

Inverses

Definition (Inverses)

Let * be an operation on a set A and suppose that A has an identity element e. Let $a \in A$. We call element b an **inverse** of a provided a * b = b * a = e.

- Example: Consider the operation + on the integers. The identity element for + is 0. Every integer a has an inverse: The inverse of a is simply -a because a + (-a) = (-a) + a = 0.
- Example: Now consider the operation × on the rational numbers. The identity element for multiplication is 1. Most, but not all, rational numbers have inverses. If x ∈ Q, then ¹/_x is x's inverse, unless, of course, x = 0.

An Operation Via a Table

• Consider the operation * defined on the set {*e*, *a*, *b*, *c*} given in the following table:

*	е	а	b	с
е	e a b	а	b	С
а	а	а	е	е
b	b	е	b	е
	с			С

- Element *e* is an identity element.
- Elements b and c are inverses of a because

a * b = b * a = e and a * c = c * a = e.

Groups

- If an operation has an identity element, it must be unique.
- But we saw that an element might have more than one inverse.
- For most "common" operations elements have at most one inverse:
 - If $a \in \mathbb{Z}$, there is exactly one integer b such that a + b = 0.
 - If $a \in \mathbb{Q}$, there is at most one rational number b such that ab = 1.
 - If $\pi \in S_n$, there is one $\sigma \in S_n$ such that $\pi \circ \sigma = \sigma \circ \pi = \iota$.
- The reason is that associativity implies uniqueness of inverses. Definition (Group)
- Let * be an operation defined on a set G. The pair (G, *) is a group if:
 - **()** The set G is closed under *, i.e., $\forall g, h \in G, g * h \in G$.
 - 3 The operation * is associative, i.e., $\forall g, h, k \in G, (g * h) * k = g * (h * k)$.
 - **()** There is an identity $e \in G$ for *, i.e., $\exists e \in G, \forall g \in G, g * e = e * g = g$.
 - Solution For every $g \in G$, there is an inverse $h \in G$, i.e., $\forall g \in G, \exists h \in G, g * h = h * g = e.$
 - The following are groups: $(\mathbb{Z}, +)$, (\mathbb{Q}^+, \times) , (\mathbb{Z}_n, \oplus) , (S_n, \circ) .

Abelian Groups and Uniqueness of Inverses

• The group operation * need not be commutative. E.g., \circ is not a commutative operation on S_n .

Definition (Abelian Groups)

Let (G, *) be a group. We call this group **Abelian** provided * is a commutative operation on G, i.e., $\forall g, h \in G, g * h = h * g$.

• Example: $(\mathbb{Z}, +)$ and $(\mathbb{Z}_{10}, \oplus)$ are Abelian, but (S_n, \circ) is not.

Proposition (Uniqueness of Inverses)

Let (G, *) be a group. Every element of G has a unique inverse in G.

- By definition, every element in G has an inverse. Suppose that g ∈ G has two distinct inverses, say h, k ∈ G, with h ≠ k. This means g * h = h * g = g * k = k * g = e, where e ∈ G is the identity for * By the associative property, h * (g * k) = (h * g) * k. Furthermore, h * (g * k) = h * e = h and (h * g) * k = e * k = k. Hence h = k, contradicting the fact that h ≠ k.
- We speak of **the inverse** of g and write g^{-1} .

Examples

- $(\mathbb{Z}, +)$: Integers with addition is a group.
- $(\mathbb{Q}, +)$: Rational numbers with addition is a group.
- (\mathbb{Q}, \times) : Rational numbers with multiplication is not a group. The problem is that $0 \in \mathbb{Q}$ does not have an inverse. We can "repair" this example in two ways:
 - $\bullet\,$ We can consider only the positive rational numbers: (\mathbb{Q}^+,\times) is a group.
 - Another way to repair this example is simply to eliminate the number 0. (Q {0}, \times) is a group.
- (S_n, \circ) is a group called the symmetric group.
- If A_n be the set of all even permutations in S_n, then (A_n, ∘) is a group called the alternating group.
- The set of symmetries of a square with ∘ is a group. This group is called a **dihedral group**.
- In general, if n is an integer with n ≥ 3, the dihedral group D_{2n} is the set of symmetries of a regular n-gon with the operation o.

More Examples

- (\mathbb{Z}_n, \oplus) is a group for all positive integers *n*.
- Let $G = \{(0,0), (0,1), (1,0), (1,1)\}$. Define an operation * on G by

$$(a,b)*(c,d)=(a\oplus c,b\oplus d),$$

where \oplus is addition mod 2. The \ast table for this group is

This group is known as the **Klein 4-group**. Notice that (0,0) is the identity element and every element is its own inverse.

• If A is a set, then $(2^A, \Delta)$ is a group.

The Group $(\mathbb{Z}_{10}^*,\otimes)$

• $(\mathbb{Z}_{10}, \otimes)$ is not a group.

The problem is similar to (\mathbb{Q}, \times) , i.e., zero does not have an inverse. The remedy in this case is a bit more complicated, because we cannot just throw away the element 0. Notice that in $(\mathbb{Z}_{10} - \{0\}, \otimes)$ the operation \otimes is no longer closed. For example, $2, 5 \in \mathbb{Z}_{10} - \{0\}$, but $2 \otimes 5 = 0 \notin \mathbb{Z}_{10} - \{0\}$.

In addition to eliminating the element 0, we can discard those elements that do not have inverses. Then, we are left with the elements in \mathbb{Z}_{10} that are relatively prime to 10, i.e., with $\{1,3,7,9\}$. The \otimes table for them is $\otimes 11$ 3 7 9

\otimes	1	3	7	9
1	1	3	7	9
3	3	9	1	7
7	7	1	9	3
9	1 3 7 9	7	3	1

This group is denoted $(\mathbb{Z}_{10}^*, \otimes)$.

The Group $(\mathbb{Z}_{14}^*,\otimes)$

Definition (\mathbb{Z}_n^*)

Let *n* be a positive integer. We define $\mathbb{Z}_n^* = \{a \in \mathbb{Z}_n : gcd(a, n) = 1\}$.

• Example: Consider \mathbb{Z}_{14}^* . The invertible elements in \mathbb{Z}_{14}^* (i.e., the elements relatively prime to 14) are 1, 3, 5, 9, 11 and 13. Thus, $\mathbb{Z}_{14}^* = \{1, 3, 5, 9, 11, 13\}$. The \otimes table for \mathbb{Z}_{14}^* is

		3				
1	1	3	5	9	11	13
3	3	9	1	13	5	11
5	5	1	11	3	13	9
9	9	13	3	11	1	5
11	11	5	13	1		
13	13	11	9	5	3	1

The inverses of the elements in \mathbb{Z}_{14}^* are

 $1^{-1}=1, \ 3^{-1}=5, \ 5^{-1}=3, \ 9^{-1}=11, \ 11^{-1}=9, \ 13^{-1}=13.$

Modular Multiplication Groups I

Proposition

Let *n* be a positive integer. Then $(\mathbb{Z}_n^*, \otimes)$ is a group.

- To prove that (G, *) is a group, we need to prove that
 - G is closed under *;
 - * is associative;
 - G contains an identity element for *;
 - every element of G has a *-inverse in G.
- We apply these to $(\mathbb{Z}_n^*, \otimes)$:
 - Let a, b ∈ Z_n^{*}. Thus, a and b are relatively prime to n. So, we can find integers x, y, z, w such that ax + ny = 1 and bw + nz = 1. Multiplying, we get 1 = (ax + ny)(bw + nz) = (ax)(bw) + (ax)(nz) + (ny)(bw) + (ny)(nz) = (ab)(wx) + (n)[axz + ybw + ynz] = (ab)(X) + (n)(Y), for some integers X and Y. Therefore ab is relatively prime to n. Since increasing or decreasing ab by a multiple of n results in a number still relatively prime to n, gcd(a ⊗ b, n) = 1, and a ⊗ b ∈ Z_n^{*}.

Modular Multiplication Groups II

- We continue with the second point:
 - ${\scriptstyle \bullet}~$ That \otimes is associative has already been proved.
 - Clearly gcd(1, n) = 1, so $1 \in \mathbb{Z}_n^*$. Since, also, for any $a \in \mathbb{Z}_n^*$, $a \otimes 1 = 1 \otimes a = (a \cdot 1) \mod n = a$, 1 is an identity for \otimes .
 - Let $a \in \mathbb{Z}_n^*$. We saw that *a* has an inverse $a^{-1} \in \mathbb{Z}_n$. Is $a^{-1} \in \mathbb{Z}_n^*$? Since a^{-1} is itself invertible, a^{-1} is relatively prime to *n*,

and so
$$a^{-1} \in \mathbb{Z}_n^*$$
.

Therefore $(\mathbb{Z}_n^*, \otimes)$ is a group.

Proposition

Let *n* be an integer with $n \ge 2$. Then

$$|\mathbb{Z}_n^*| = \varphi(n),$$

where $\varphi(n)$ is Euler's totient.

 This holds by the definition of φ(n) as the number of integers from 1 to n (inclusive) that are relatively prime to n.

Subsection 2

Group Isomorphism

Idea of Isomorphism

- Two groups may have identical structures.
- Consider the groups: (\mathbb{Z}_4,\oplus), (\mathbb{Z}_5^*,\otimes) and the Klein 4-group:

\oplus	0	1	2	3	\otimes	1	2	3	4	*	(0,0)	(0, 1)	(1, 0)	(1, 1)
0	0	1	2	3	1	1	2	3	4	(0,0)	(0,0)	(0, 1)	(1, 0)	(1, 1)
1	1	2	3	0	2	2	4	1	3	(0, 1)	(0, 1)	(0,0)	(1, 1)	(1, 0)
2	2	3	0	1	3	3	1	4	2	(1, 0)	(1, 0)	(1, 1)	(0, 0)	(0, 1)
3	3	0	1	2						(1, 1)				

In the Klein 4-group every element is its own inverse.

- We can superimpose the operation tables for the two groups (Z₄, ⊕) and (Z₅^{*}, ⊗) on top of one another so they look the same.
- We pair:

(\mathbb{Z}_4,\oplus)		(\mathbb{Z}_5^*,\otimes)	$\oplus \otimes$	01	12	2 4	33
0	\leftrightarrow	1			12		
1	\leftrightarrow	2	12				
2	\leftrightarrow	4			33		
3	\leftrightarrow	3	3 <mark>3</mark>	33	01	12	2 4

Formalizing Isomorphism

• Let $f : \mathbb{Z}_4 \to \mathbb{Z}_5^*$ be defined by

$$f(0) = 1, f(1) = 2, f(2) = 4, f(3) = 3.$$

f is a bijection and $f(x \oplus y) = f(x) \otimes f(y)$, where \oplus is mod 4 addition and \otimes is mod 5 multiplication.

Definition (Isomorphism of Groups)

Let (G, *) and (H, *) be groups. A function $f : G \to H$ is called a **(group) isomorphism** provided f is one-to-one and onto and satisfies

 $\forall g,h \in G, f(g * h) = f(g) \star f(h).$

When there is an isomorphism from G to H, we say G is **isomorphic** to H and we write $G \cong H$.

• The "is-isomorphic-to" relation is an equivalence relation, i.e.,

- for any group $G, G \cong G$,
- for any two groups G and H, if $G \cong H$, then $H \cong G$,
- for any three groups G, H, and K, if $G \cong H$ and $H \cong K$, then $G \cong K$.

Generators and Cyclic Groups: Examples

• Element 1 of (\mathbb{Z}_4, \oplus) generates all the elements of the group (\mathbb{Z}_4, \oplus) :

 $1 = 1, \ 1 \oplus 1 = 2, \ 1 \oplus 1 \oplus 1 = 3, \ 1 \oplus 1 \oplus 1 \oplus 1 = 0.$

• The element 3 also generates all the elements of (\mathbb{Z}_4, \oplus) :

 $3 = 3, \ 3 \oplus 3 = 2, \ 3 \oplus 3 \oplus 3 = 1, \ 3 \oplus 3 \oplus 3 \oplus 3 = 0.$

• Because $(\mathbb{Z}_5^*, \otimes)$ is isomorphic to (\mathbb{Z}_4, \oplus) , it, too, must have a generator: Since $1 \in \mathbb{Z}_4$ corresponds to $2 \in \mathbb{Z}_5^*$, we calculate

 $2=2, \ 2\otimes 2=4, \ 2\otimes 2\otimes 2=3, \ 2\otimes 2\otimes 2\otimes 2=1.$

Thus element $2 \in \mathbb{Z}_5^*$ generates the group.

The Klein 4-group does not have an element that generates the entire group. In this group, every element g has the property that g * g = e = (0,0). So there is no way that g, g * g, g * g * g, ... can generate all the elements of the group.

George Voutsadakis (LSSU)

Generators and Cyclic Groups

• There is no element of \mathbb{Z} that generates $(\mathbb{Z}, +)$. The element 1 generates all the positive elements of \mathbb{Z} . If we allow 1's inverse, -1, to participate in the generation process, then we can get 0 (as 1 + (-1)) and all the negative numbers.

Definition (Generator, Cyclic Group)

Let (G, *) be a group. An element $g \in G$ is called a **generator** for G if every element of G can be expressed just in terms of g and g^{-1} using the operation *. If a group contains a generator, it is called **cyclic**.

 The special provision for g⁻¹ is necessary only for groups with infinitely many elements. If (G, *) is a finite group and g ∈ G, then we can always find a way to write g⁻¹ = g * g * ··· * g.

n factors, for some n > 0

Expressing g^{-1} in terms of g

Proposition

Let (G, *) be a finite group and let $g \in G$. Then, for some positive integer n, we have $g^{-1} = \underbrace{g * g * \cdots * g}_{g \text{ times}}$.

• We write
$$g^n = \underbrace{g * g * \cdots * g}_{n \text{ times}}$$
.

- Let (G, *) be a finite group and let $g \in G$. Consider the sequence $g^1, g^2, g^3, g^4, \ldots$ Since the group is finite, this sequence must, at some point, repeat itself. Suppose the first repeat is at $g^a = g^b$, where a < b.
- Claim: a = 1.
 - Suppose a > 1. Then, since $g^a = g^b$, by operating on the left by g^{-1} , we get $g^{-1} * g^a = g^{-1} * g^b$, which gives $g^{a-1} = g^{b-1}$. Thus, the first repeat is before $g^a = g^b$, a contradiction. Therefore, a = 1.

Expressing g^{-1} in terms of g: Proof (Cont'd)

- We considered g^1, g^2, g^3, \ldots , which repeats when $g^a = g^b$, for a = 1.
- So, if we stop at the first repeat, the sequence is $g^1, g^2, g^3, \ldots, g^b = g$. Notice that since $g = g^b$, if we operate on the left by g^{-1} , we get $e = g^{b-1}$.
 - If b = 2, we get $g^2 = g$. In this case, g = e and so $g^1 = g^{-1}$, proving the result.
 - If b > 2, we can write $e = g^{b-1} = g^{b-2} * g$. Therefore, $g^{b-2} = g^{-1}$, proving again the result.

Structure of Finite Cyclic Groups

Theorem (Finite Cyclic Groups)

Let (G, *) be a finite cyclic group. Then (G, *) is isomorphic to (\mathbb{Z}_n, \oplus) , where n = |G|.

- Let (G, *) be a finite cyclic group. Suppose |G| = n and let g ∈ G be a generator. We claim that (G, *) ≅ (Z_n, ⊕). Define f : Z_n → G by f(k) = g^k. To prove that f is an isomorphism, we must show that
 f is one-to-one and onto;
 - $f(j \oplus k) = f(j) * f(k)$.

We undertake one at a time:

• f is one-to-one: Suppose f(j) = f(k). This means that $g^j = g^k$. We want to prove that j = k. Suppose that $j \neq k$. Without loss of generality, $0 \le j < k < n$. We can * the equation $g^j = g^k$ on the left by $(g^{-1})^j$ to get $(g^{-1})^j * g^j = (g^{-1})^j * g^k$, i.e., $e = g^{k-j}$. Since k - j < n, this means that the sequence g, g^2, g^3, \ldots repeats after k - j steps, and therefore g does not generate the entire group (but only k - j of its elements). However, g is a generator, which is a contradiction. Therefore f is one-to-one.

George Voutsadakis (LSSU)

Structure of Finite Cyclic Groups (Cont'd)

• We have shown $f(k) = g^k$ is one-to-one. We continue with the remaining two steps.

f is onto: Let h∈ G. We must find k∈ Z_n, such that f(k) = h. We know that the sequence e = g⁰, g = g¹, g², g³,... must contain all elements of G. Thus, h is somewhere on this list, say, at position k (i.e., h = g^k). Therefore, f(k) = h and f is onto.

• For all $j, k \in \mathbb{Z}_n$, we have $f(j \oplus k) = f(j) * f(k)$: Recall that $j \oplus k = (j + k) \mod n = j + k + tn$, for some integer t. Therefore,

$$\begin{array}{rcl} f(j \oplus k) & = & g^{j+k+tn} = g^j * g^k * g^{tn} = g^j * g^k * (g^n)^t \\ & = & g^j * g^k * e^t = g^j * g^k = f(j) * f(k). \end{array}$$

Therefore, $f : \mathbb{Z}_n \to G$ is an isomorphism, and, hence, $(\mathbb{Z}_n, \oplus) \cong (G, *).$

Subsection 3

Subgroups

Subgroups

- Consider the integers as a group: (Z, +). Within the set of integers, we find the set of even integers, E = {x ∈ Z : 2 | x}. (E, +) is also a group: it satisfies the four required properties.
 - + is closed on E (the sum of two even integers is again even);
 - addition is associative;
 - *E* contains the identity element 0;
 - if x is an even integer, then -x is also, so inverses are in E.

In this case, we call (E, +) a subgroup of $(\mathbb{Z}, +)$.

Definition (Subgroup)

Let (G, *) be a group and let $H \subseteq G$. If (H, *) is also a group, we call it a **subgroup of** (G, *).

 The operation for the group and the operation for its subgroup must be the same: It is incorrect to say that (Z₁₀, ⊕) is a subgroup of (Z, +); it is true that Z₁₀ ⊆ Z, but the operations ⊕ and + are different.

George Voutsadakis (LSSU)

Subgroups of (\mathbb{Z}_{10},\oplus)

• The subgroups of (\mathbb{Z}_{10},\oplus) are

 $\{ 0 \}, \qquad \{ 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9 \}, \\ \{ 0, 5 \}, \qquad \{ 0, 2, 4, 6, 8 \}.$

• How can we verify that our answer is correct?

- For each subset H we listed, is (H, \oplus) a group?
- Are there other subsets $H \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_{10}$ that we missed?

If (G,*) is a group, to determine whether (H,*) is a subgroup of (G,*):

- First, we check $H \subseteq G$.
- Second, we show that (H, *) is a group:
 - To check closure, we need to prove that if $g, h \in H$, then $g * h \in H$.
 - We do not have to check associativity: (G, *) is a group and therefore *** is associative on G. Since H ⊆ G, we must have that *** is already associative on H.
 - Next, we check that the identity element is in H.
 - Finally, we know that every element of H has an inverse (because every element of $G \supseteq H$ has an inverse). If $g \in H$, we must show $g^{-1} \in H$.

Back to the Subgroups of (\mathbb{Z}_{10},\oplus)

- Are {0}, {0,1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9}, {0,5} and {0,2,4,6,8} truly subgroups of ($\mathbb{Z}_{10},\oplus)?$
- We check these claims:
 - $H = \{0\}$ is a subgroup of $(\mathbb{Z}_{10}, \oplus)$.
 - Since $0 \oplus 0 = 0$, we see that *H* is closed under \oplus .
 - It contains the identity.
 - Since 0's inverse is 0, the inverse of every element in H is also in H.
 - $H = \mathbb{Z}_{10} = \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9\}$ is a subgroup of $(\mathbb{Z}_{10}, \oplus)$. Since $(\mathbb{Z}_{10}, \oplus)$ is a group, it is a subgroup of itself.
 - $H = \{0, 5\}$ is a subgroup of $(\mathbb{Z}_{10}, \oplus)$.
 - *H* is closed under \oplus since $0 \oplus 0 = 5 \oplus 5 = 0$ and $0 \oplus 5 = 5 \oplus 0 = 5$.
 - Clearly $0 \in H$.
 - 0 and 5 are their own inverses.
 - $H = \{0, 2, 4, 6, 8\}$ is a subgroup of $(\mathbb{Z}_{10}, \oplus)$.
 - Reduction mod 10 of an even number is even.
 - 0 ∈ H.
 - The inverses of 0, 2, 4, 6, 8 are 0, 8, 6, 4, 2, respectively.

Any More Subgroups of (\mathbb{Z}_{10},\oplus) ?

- Are there other subgroups of (\mathbb{Z}_{10},\oplus) ?
- Suppose H ⊆ Z₁₀ and that (H, ⊕) is a subgroup of (Z₁₀, ⊕). Since (H, ⊕) is a group, we must have 0 ∈ H. If the only element of H is 0, we have H = {0}. Otherwise the following analysis applies:
 - Suppose $1 \in H$. Then $1 \oplus 1 = 2 \in H$. Also $1 \oplus 2 = 3 \in H$. Continuing, we get $H = \mathbb{Z}_{10}$. Thus, if $1 \in H$, $H = \mathbb{Z}_{10}$.
 - Suppose $3 \in H$. Then $3 \oplus 3 = 6 \in H$ and $3 \oplus 6 = 9 \in H$. Since $9 \in H$, so is its inverse, $1 \in H$. But, if $1 \in H$, then $H = \mathbb{Z}_{10}$.
 - If $7 \in H$ or if $9 \in H$, then we can show that $1 \in H$, and then $H = \mathbb{Z}_{10}$.
 - Suppose $5 \in H$. We have $H \supseteq \{0, 5\}$. If $2 \in H$, then $2 \oplus 5 = 7 \in H$, whence $H = \mathbb{Z}_{10}$. Similarly, if any even is in H, then $H = \mathbb{Z}_{10}$. So if $5 \in H$, then either $H = \{0, 5\}$ or $H = \mathbb{Z}_{10}$.
 - If all elements in *H* are even:
 - If $2 \in H$, then $4, 6, 8 \in H$, so $H = \{0, 2, 4, 6, 8\}$.
 - If $4 \in H$, then $4 \oplus 4 \oplus 4 = 2 \in H$, and $H = \{0, 2, 4, 6, 8\}$.
 - Similarly, if 6 or 8 is in *H*, again $H = \{0, 2, 4, 6, 8\}$.

Examples of Cardinalities of Subgroups

- The four subgroups of (Z₁₀, ⊕) have cardinalities 1, 2, 5, and 10. These four numbers are divisors of 10.
- We list all the subgroups of (S₃, ◦), i.e., of the set of all permutations of {1, 2, 3} with the composition operation. Recall S₃ = {(1)(2)(3), (12)(3), (13)(2), (1)(23), (123), (132)}. Its subgroups are

 $\{(1)(2)(3)\} \\ \{(1)(2)(3), (12)(3)\} \\ \{(1)(2)(3), (13)(2)\} \\ \{(1)(2)(3), (123), (132)\} \\ \{(1)(2)(3), (12)(3), (13)(2), (1)(23), (132)\}.$

The cardinalities of these subgroups are 1, 2, 3 and 6. Note, again, that they are all divisors of 6.

Congruence Modulo a Subgroup

Definition (Congruence Modulo a Subgroup)

Let (G, *) be a group and let (H, *) be a subgroup. Let $a, b \in G$. We say that a is **congruent to** b **modulo** H if $a * b^{-1} \in H$. We write this as $a \equiv b \pmod{H}$.

• Example: Consider the group $(\mathbb{Z}_{25}^*, \otimes)$. We have $\mathbb{Z}_{25}^* = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 6, 7, 8, 9, 11, 12, 13, 14, 16, 17, 18, 19, 21, 22, 23, 24\}.$ Let $H = \{1, 7, 18, 24\}$. The operation table for \otimes restricted to H is *H* is a subgroup of \mathbb{Z}_{25} : 7 18 24 1 \otimes 1 1 7 18 24 • *H* is closed under \otimes . 7 7 24 1 18 • The identity element $1 \in H$. 18 1 24 7 18 24 24 18 7 1 • The inverse of every element of *H* is in *H*.

Do we have $2 \equiv 3 \pmod{H}$? Calculate $2 \otimes 3^{-1} = 2 \otimes 17 = 9 \notin H$. Therefore $2 \not\equiv 3 \pmod{H}$. Since $2 \otimes 11^{-1} = 2 \otimes 16 = 7 \in H$, we have $2 \equiv 11 \pmod{H}$.

Congruence Modulo a Subgroup is an Equivalence Relaion

Lemma

Let (G, *) be a group and let (H, *) be a subgroup. Then congruence modulo H is an equivalence relation on G.

- Congruence modulo *H* is reflexive, symmetric, and transitive:
 - Congruence modulo H is reflexive: Let $g \in G$. We need to show that $g \equiv g \pmod{H}$. To do that, we need to show $g * g^{-1} \in H$. Since $g * g^{-1} = e$ and, since $e \in H$, we have $g \equiv g \pmod{H}$.
 - Congruence modulo H is symmetric: Suppose $a \equiv b \pmod{H}$. Then $a * b^{-1} \in H$. Therefore, $(a * b^{-1})^{-1} \in H$. But $(a * b^{-1})^{-1} = (b^{-1})^{-1} * a^{-1} = b * a^{-1} \in H$. Thus, we have $b \equiv a \pmod{H}$.
 - Congruence modulo *H* is transitive: Suppose $a \equiv b \pmod{H}$ and $b \equiv c \pmod{H}$. Thus, $a * b^{-1}$, $b * c^{-1} \in H$. Since *H* is a subgroup and, therefore, closed under *, $(a * b^{-1}) * (b * c^{-1}) = a * (b^{-1} * b) * c^{-1} = a * c^{-1} \in H$. Therefore $a \equiv c \pmod{H}$.

Therefore congruence modulo H is an equivalence relation on G.

Example of Equivalence Classes

- Since congruence mod H is an equivalence relation, we may consider the equivalence classes of this relation.
- Recall the group (Z₂₅, ∞) and its subgroup H = {1,7,18,24} we considered in the previous slide. For the congruence mod H relation, what is the equivalence class [2]? This is the set of all elements of Z₂₅ that are related to 2, i.e.,
 [2] = {a ∈ Z₂₅ : a ≡ 2 (mod H)}. By testing all 20 elements of Z₂₅, we find that [2] = {2,11,14,23}. The other equivalence classes are

 [1] = {1,7,18,24}
 [2] = {2,11,14,23}
 [3] = {3,4,21,22}
 [6] = {6,8,17,19}
 [9] = {9,12,13,16}
- These are all the equivalence classes of congruence mod *H*, since every element of \mathbb{Z}_{25} is in exactly one of these classes.
- We know the equivalence classes form a partition of the group.
- The class [1] equals the subgroup $H = \{1, 7, 18, 24\}$.
- The equivalence classes all have the same size.

Size of Equivalence Classes

Lemma

Let (G, *) be a group and let (H, *) be a finite subgroup. Then any two equivalence classes of the congruence mod H relation have the same size.

• Let $g \in G$ be arbitrary. It is enough to show that [g] = [e]. Note $[e] = \{a \in G : a \equiv e \pmod{H}\} = \{a \in G : a * e^{-1} \in H\} = \{a \in G : a \in H\} = H$. To show that [g] = H, we define a function $f : H \to [g]$ and we prove that f is one-to-one and onto. For $h \in H$, define f(h) = h * g.

• Clearly f is a function defined on H.

- Is $f : H \to [g]$? Since $f(h) * g^{-1} = (h * g) * g^{-1} = h * (g * g^{-1}) = h \in H$, $f(h) \equiv g \pmod{H}$, whence $f(h) \in [g]$.
- Now, we show that f is one-to-one. Suppose f(h) = f(h'). Then, h * g = h' * g. So $(h * g) * g^{-1} = (h' * g) * g^{-1}$, whence h = h'.
- Finally, we show that f is onto. Let $b \in [g]$. This means that $b \equiv g \pmod{H}$, whence $b * g^{-1} \in H$. Let $h = b * g^{-1}$. Then $f(h) = f(b * g^{-1}) = (b * g^{-1}) * g = b * (g * g^{-1}) = b$. So f is onto [g].

Lagrange's Theorem

Theorem (Lagrange)

Let (H, *) be a subgroup of a finite group (G, *) and let a = |H| and b = |G|. Then a | b.

- Let (G, *) be a finite group and let (H, *) be a subgroup.
- By the preceding lemma, the equivalence classes of the "is-congruent-to-mod-*H*" relation all have the same cardinality as *H*.
- Since the equivalence classes form a partition of G, |H| must be a divisor of |G|.

Subsection 4

Fermat's Little Theorem

Fermat's Little Theorem: An Example

Theorem (Fermat's Little Theorem)

Let p be a prime and let a be an integer. Then $a^p \equiv a \pmod{p}$.

• Example: If p = 23, then the powers of 5 taken modulo 23 are

where all congruences are mod 23.

Fermat's Little Theorem: First Proof

- We first prove by induction the result for a ≥ 0, i.e., that if p is prime and a ∈ N, then a^p ≡ a (mod p).
 - Basis case: If a = 0, $a^p = 0^p = 0 = a$, so $a^p \equiv a \pmod{p}$.
 - Induction Hypothesis: Suppose $k^p \equiv k \pmod{p}$.

Induction Step: We show (k + 1)^p ≡ k + 1 (mod p). By the Binomial Theorem, (k + 1)^p = k^p + (^p₁)k^{p-1} + (^p₂)k^{p-2} + ··· + (^p_{p-1})k + 1. All but the first and last terms on the right are of the form (^p_j)k^{p-j}, where 0 < j < p. The binomial coefficient (^p_j) is an integer: (^p_j) = ^{p!}_{j!(p-j)!} = ^{p(p-1)!}_{j!(p-j)!}. Factor the numerator and the denominator into primes and cancel matching primes. Since p is a prime factor of the numerator but not of the denominator, this integer must be a multiple of p. So k^p + (^p₁)k^{p-1} + (^p₂)k^{p-2} + ··· + (^p_{p-1})k + 1 ≡ k^p + 1 (mod p). Since, k^p ≡ k (mod p), (k + 1)^p ≡ k^p + 1 ≡ k + 1 (mod p).
We finally show that (-a)^p ≡ (-a) (mod p) where a > 0.

If p = 2, (-a)² ≡ a² ≡ a ≡ -a (mod 2).
If p > 2, we have (-a)^p = (-1)^pa^p = -(a^p) ≡ -a (mod p).

Fermat's Little Theorem: Second Proof I

- We again assume a is a positive integer. The case a = 0 is trivial, and the case a < 0 is handled as in the previous proof.
- With p a prime and a a positive integer, we ask: How many length p lists can be formed in which the elements of the list are chosen from {1,2,...,a}? The answer to this question is a^p.
- We define an equivalence relation *R* on these lists: Two lists are **equivalent** if we can get one from the other by cyclically shifting its entries. For example 12334 *R* 41233 *R* 34123 *R* 33412 *R* 23341.
- How many nonequivalent length *p* lists can be formed in which the elements of the list are chosen from {1, 2, ..., *a*}? I.e., can we count the number of *R*-equivalence classes?
- Example: Consider the case *a* = 2 and *p* = 3. There are eight lists we can form: 111, 112, 121, 122, 211, 212, 221, 222.

These fall into four equivalence classes: $\{111\}$, $\{222\}$, $\{112, 121, 211\}$ and $\{122, 212, 221\}$.

Fermat's Little Theorem: Example Showcasing Proof

• Example: Consider the case a = 3 and p = 5. There are $3^5 = 243$ possible lists (from 11111 to 33333). There are three equivalence classes that contain just one list, namely {11111}, {22222} and {33333}. The remaining lists fall into equivalence classes containing more than one element. For example, the list 12113 is in the following equivalence class: [12113] = {12113, 31211, 13121, 11312, 21131}. By experimenting, notice that all the equivalence classes with more than one list contain exactly five lists. Thus there are three equivalence classes that contain only one list and the remaining $3^5 - 3$ lists fall into classes containing exactly five lists each. There are $\frac{3^5-3}{5}$ such classes. Thus, all told, there are $3 + \frac{3^5-3}{5} = 51$ different equivalence classes. The number $\frac{3^5-3}{5}$ is an integer. Therefore $3^5 - 3$ is divisible by 5, i.e., $3^5 \equiv 3 \pmod{5}$.

Fermat's Little Theorem: Second Proof II

• How many elements does an equivalence class contain?

- For lists all of whose elements are the same, the equivalence classes contain exactly one list.
- For a list with (at least) two different elements x₁x₂ ··· x_{p-1}x_p, where the elements are drawn from {1, 2, ..., a}, the equivalence class of this list contains x₁x₂x₃ ··· x_{p-1}x_p, x₂x₃ ··· x_{p-1}x_px₁, x₃ ··· x_{p-1}x_px₁x₂, ..., x_px₁x₂ ··· x_{p-1}. Are there p lists in this equivalence class, or is there a repetition?
- Claim: If the elements of the list x1x2x3 ··· xp−1xp are not all the same, then the p lists above are all different.
- Thus there are *a* equivalence classes of size 1 and the remaining $a^p a$ lists form equivalence classes of size *p*. All together, there are $a + \frac{a^p a}{p}$ different equivalence classes. Since this number must be an integer, $a^p a$ is divisible by *p*, i.e., $a^p \equiv a \pmod{p}$.

Fermat's Little Theorem: Proof of the Claim

• Claim: If the elements of the list $x_1x_2x_3\cdots x_{p-1}x_p$ are not all the same, then the p lists above are all different.

• Suppose that $x_i x_{i+1} \cdots x_{i-1} = x_j x_{j+1} \cdots x_{j-1}$, with $1 \le i < j \le p$. Then $x_i = x_j$, $x_{i+1} = x_{j+1}$, \ldots , $x_{i-1} = x_{j-1}$. Therefore, if we cyclically shift the list $x_1 x_2 x_3 \cdots x_{p-1} x_p$ by j - i steps, the resulting sequence is identical to the original. Thus, $x_1 = x_{1+(j-i)}$. If we shift the list another j - i steps, we again return to the original: $x_1 = x_{1+2(j-i)}$. We always add or subtract a multiple of p so that the subscript on x lies in the set $\{1, 2, \ldots, p\}$. So we get

$$x_1 = x_{1+(j-i)} = x_{1+2(j-i)} = x_{1+3(j-i)} = \cdots = x_{1+(p-1)(j-i)}.$$

But this equation says that $x_1 = x_2 = \cdots = x_p$, a contradiction!

A Handy Lemma

Lemma

Let (G, *) be a finite group, with identity e, and let $g \in G$. Then $g^{|G|} = e$.

- Consider the sequence g¹, g², g³, Since (G, *) is finite, this sequence must repeat, i.e., gⁱ = g^j, for some 1 ≤ i < j. * both sides by (g⁻¹)ⁱ to get e = g^{j-i}. Thus, there is k > 0, such that g^k = e.
- By the Well-Ordering Principle, there is a least positive integer k such that g^k = e. Define the order of the element g, denoted |g|, to be the smallest such positive integer.
- Claim: (g) = {e, g, g², g³, ...} is a subgroup of G, with |(g)| = |g|.
 Clearly, it is closed under *;
 - It contains e;
 - Every element g^i has an inverse: Let i = kq + r, with $0 \le r < k$. Then $g^i * g^{k-r} = g^{(kq+r)+(k-r)} = g^{k(q+1)} = e$, whence $(g^i)^{-1} = g^{k-r}$.
- By Lagrange's Theorem, $|\langle g \rangle| = |g|$ divides |G|. Therefore $g^{|G|} = g^{k|g|} = (g^{|g|})^k = e^k = e$.

Fermat's Little Theorem: Third Proof

- We work in the group $(\mathbb{Z}_p^*, \otimes)$ and prove the result only for a > 0.
- If a is a multiple of p, then $a^p \equiv a \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$.
- If we increase (or decrease) a by a multiple of p, there is no change modulo p in the value of a^p: (a + kp)^p = a^p + (^p₁)a^{p-1}(kp)¹ + (^p₂)a^{p-2}(kp)² + · · · + (^p_p)a⁰(kp)^p ≡ a^p (mod p).
- Therefore we may assume that a is an integer in the set $\{1,2,\ldots,\ p-1\}=\mathbb{Z}_p^*.$
- The equation $a^p \equiv a \pmod{p}$ is equivalent to $\underbrace{a \otimes a \otimes \cdots \otimes a}_{a \text{ times}} = a$.

This can be rewritten $a^p = a$. If we \otimes both sides by a^{-1} , we have $a^{p-1} = 1$. Conversely, if we can prove $a^{p-1} = 1$ in \mathbb{Z}_p^* , then our proof will be complete. This, however, was the content of the preceding lemma!

Euler's Theorem: Example

- Fermat's Little Theorem does not hold for any non-prime moduli, i.e., it is not the case that $a^n \equiv a \pmod{n}$ for any positive integer n.
- Example: Consider n = 9. We have

$$\begin{array}{cccc} 1^9 \equiv 1 & 2^9 \equiv 8 \neq 2 & 3^9 \equiv 0 \neq 3 \\ 4^9 \equiv 1 \neq 4 & 5^9 \equiv 8 \neq 5 & 6^9 \equiv 0 \neq 6 \\ 7^9 \equiv 1 \neq 7 & 8^9 \equiv 8 & 9^9 \equiv 0 \equiv 9 \end{array}$$

where all congruences are modulo 9. So, the formula $a^p \equiv a \pmod{p}$ does not extend to non prime values of p.

- A clue is gotten by looking more closely to the third proof: The key was that a^{p-1} = 1 in Z^{*}_p. This holds because:
 - $a \in \mathbb{Z}_p^*$;
 - the exponent p − 1 is the number of elements in Z^{*}_p. In general, however, |Z^{*}_n| = φ(n), Euler's totient.
- Example: We replace the exponent 9 with the exponent φ(9) = 6 in the previous example. We have Z^{*}₉ = {1, 2, 4, 5, 7, 8} and φ(9) = 6. Raising the integers 1 through 9 to the power 6 (mod 9) gives

Euler's Theorem

• We have seen that if $a \in \mathbb{Z}_n^*$, then $a^{|\mathbb{Z}_n^*|} = 1$. Since $|\mathbb{Z}_n^*| = \varphi(n)$, this can be rewritten $a^{\varphi(n)} = 1$, with multiplication in \mathbb{Z}_n^* .

Theorem (Euler's Theorem)

Let *n* be a positive integer and let *a* be an integer relatively prime to *n*. Then $a^{\varphi(n)} = 1 \pmod{n}$.

 Let a be relatively prime to n. Dividing a by n, we have a = qn + r, where 0 ≤ r < n. Since a is relatively prime to n, so is r. Thus we may assume that a ∈ Z^{*}_n. Now, by a preceding lemma, φ(n) = |Z^{*}_n| implies a^{φ(n)} = 1 in Z^{*}_n, which is equivalent to a^{φ(n)} ≡ 1 (mod n).

Primality Testing

Fermat's Little Theorem states that if p is a prime, then a^p = a (mod p) for any integer a. We can write this symbolically as

 $p \text{ is a prime } \Rightarrow \forall a \in \mathbb{Z}, a^p \equiv a \pmod{p}.$

• The contrapositive of this statement is

 $\neg [\forall a \in \mathbb{Z}, a^p \equiv a \pmod{p}] \Rightarrow p \text{ is not a prime},$

which can be rewritten

 $\exists a \in \mathbb{Z}, a^p \not\equiv a \pmod{p} \Rightarrow p \text{ is not a prime.}$

 This says that, if there is some integer a such that a^p ≠ a (mod p), then p is not a prime. Therefore, we have shown:

Theorem

Let a and n be positive integers. If $a^n \not\equiv a \pmod{n}$, then n is not prime.

- This theorem can be used for showing that an integer is not prime.
- But, if we have positive integers a and n with aⁿ ≡ a (mod n), then we cannot conclude that n is prime!

George Voutsadakis (LSSU)