#### Introduction to Mathematical Finance

#### George Voutsadakis<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Mathematics and Computer Science Lake Superior State University

LSSU Math 500

George Voutsadakis (LSSU)



#### 1 Optimization Models

- A Deterministic Optimization Model
- Probabilistic Optimization Problems

#### Subsection 1

#### A Deterministic Optimization Model

### The Problem

- Suppose we have *m* dollars to invest among *n* projects.
- Investing x in project i yields a (present value) return of  $f_i(x)$ , i = 1, ..., n.
- The problem is to determine the integer amounts to invest in each project so as to maximize the sum of the returns.
- Let x<sub>i</sub> denote the amount to be invested in project i.
- The problem (mathematically) is to:

Choose nonnegative integers  $x_1, \ldots, x_n$ , such that  $\sum_{i=1}^n x_i = m$ , to maximize  $\sum_{i=1}^n f_i(x_i)$ .

### Solution Based on Dynamic Programming

- Let  $V_j(x)$  denote the maximal possible sum of returns when we have a total of x to invest in projects  $1, \ldots, j$ .
- $V_n(m)$  represents the maximal value of the problem.
- We determine  $V_n(m)$ , and the optimal investment amounts, by:
  - Finding first the values of  $V_1(x)$ , for x = 1, ..., m;
  - Finding next the values of  $V_2(x)$ , for x = 1, ..., m;
  - Ending with the values of  $V_n(x)$ , for x = 1, ..., m.

## Solution Based on Dynamic Programming (Cont'd)

• The maximal return when x must be invested in project 1 is  $f_1(x)$ . So we have

$$V_1(x)=f_1(x).$$

- Suppose that x must be invested between projects 1 and 2.
  - Let *y* be invested in project 2.
  - Then x y is available to invest in project 1.
- The best return from investing x y in project 1 is  $V_1(x y)$ .
- So the maximal sum of returns possible when the amount y is invested in project 2 is

$$f_2(y)+V_1(x-y).$$

• The maximal sum of returns is obtained by maximizing over y,

$$V_2(x) = \max_{0 \le y \le x} \{f_2(y) + V_1(x - y)\}.$$

## Solution Based on Dynamic Programming (Cont'd)

- In general, suppose that x must be invested among projects  $1, \ldots, j$ .
  - Suppose we invest *y* in project *j*.
  - Then a total of x y is available to invest in projects  $1, \ldots, j 1$ .
- The best return from investing x y in projects  $1, \ldots, j 1$  is  $V_{j-1}(x y)$ .
- So the maximal sum of returns possible when the amount y is invested in project j is  $f_j(y) + V_{j-1}(x y)$ .
- The maximal sum of returns possible is obtained by maximizing the preceding over *y*,

$$V_j(x) = \max_{0 \le y \le x} \{ f_j(y) + V_{j-1}(x-y) \}.$$

- Let y<sub>j</sub>(x) denote the value (or a value if there is more than one) of y that maximizes the right side of the preceding equation.
- Then y<sub>j</sub>(x) is the optimal amount to invest in project j when we have x to invest among projects 1,..., j.

George Voutsadakis (LSSU)

## Solution Based on Dynamic Programming (Cont'd)

- The value of  $V_n(m)$  can now be obtained by first determining  $V_1(x)$ , then  $V_2(x)$ ,  $V_3(x)$ , ...,  $V_{n-1}(x)$  and finally  $V_n(m)$ .
- The optimal amounts to invest are:
  - $y_n(m)$  in project n;
  - $y_{n-1}(m y_n(m))$  in project n 1;
- This solution approach is called dynamic programming.
   It views the problem as involving *n* sequential decisions.
   It then analyzes it by determining:
  - The optimal last decision;
  - The optimal next to last decision;

#### Example

• Suppose that three investment projects with the following return functions are available:

$$\begin{array}{rcl} f_1(x) &=& \frac{10x}{1+x}, & x=0,1,\ldots,\\ f_2(x) &=& \sqrt{x}, & x=0,1,\ldots,\\ f_3(x) &=& 10(1-e^{-x}), & x=0,1,\ldots. \end{array}$$

- We want to maximize our return when we have 5 to invest.
- We have

$$V_1(x) = f_1(x) = \frac{10x}{1+x}.$$

• Moreover,

 $y_1(x)=x.$ 

• Now

So we have

$$\begin{split} V_2(1) &= \max\left\{\frac{10}{2}, 1\right\} = 5, \ y_2(1) = 0;\\ V_2(2) &= \max\left\{\frac{20}{3}, 1 + 5, \sqrt{2}\right\} = \frac{20}{3}, \ y_2(2) = 0;\\ V_2(3) &= \max\left\{\frac{30}{4}, 1 + \frac{20}{3}, \sqrt{2} + 5, \sqrt{3}\right\} = \frac{23}{3}, \ y_2(3) = 1;\\ V_2(4) &= \max\left\{\frac{40}{5}, 1 + \frac{30}{4}, \sqrt{2} + \frac{20}{3}, \sqrt{3} + 5, \sqrt{4}\right\} = 8.5, \ y_2(4) = 1;\\ V_2(5) &= \max\left\{\frac{50}{6}, 1 + 8, \sqrt{2} + 7.5, \sqrt{3} + \frac{20}{3}, \sqrt{4} + 5, \sqrt{5}\right\} = 9,\\ y_2(5) &= 1. \end{split}$$

• Continuing, we get

$$V_3(x) = \max_{0 \le y \le x} \{ f_3(y) + V_2(x - y) \}$$
  
= 
$$\max_{0 \le y \le x} \{ 10(1 - e^{-y}) + V_2(x - y) \}.$$

- We compute the values:
  - $1 e^{-1} = 0.632;$ •  $1 - e^{-2} = 0.865;$ •  $1 - e^{-3} = 0.950;$ •  $1 - e^{-4} = 0.982;$ •  $1 - e^{-5} = 0.993.$
- So we obtain

$$V_3(5) = \max \{9, 6.32 + 8.5, 8.65 + \frac{23}{3}, \\ 9.50 + \frac{20}{3}, 9.82 + 5, 9.93\} = 16.32, \\ y_3(5) = 2.$$

- Thus, the maximal sum of returns from investing 5 is 16.32;
- The optimal amount to invest in project 3 is  $y_3(5) = 2$ ;
- The optimal amount to invest in project 2 is

$$y_2(5-2) = y_2(3) = 1;$$

• The optimal amount to invest in project 1 is

$$y_1(5-2-1) = y_1(2) = 2.$$

### **Concave Return Functions**

• A function g(i), i = 0, 1, ..., is said to be **concave** if

g(i+1) - g(i) is nonincreasing in *i*.

- We will consider concave return functions  $f_i(x)$ .
- This means that the additional (or marginal) gain from each additional unit invested becomes smaller as more has already been invested.

#### Solution for Concave Return Functions

- Assume that the functions  $f_i(x)$ , i = 1, ..., n, are all concave.
- Consider the problem of choosing nonnegative integers  $x_1, \ldots, x_n$ , whose sum is m, to maximize  $\sum_{i=1}^n f_i(x_i)$ .
- Suppose that  $x_1^o, \ldots, x_n^o$  is an optimal vector for this problem.
- I.e., a vector of nonnegative integers that sum to m, with

$$\sum_{i=1}^n f_i(x_i^o) = \max \sum_{i=1}^n f_i(x_i),$$

the maximum over all nonnegative integers  $x_1, \ldots, x_n$  that sum to m.

- Now suppose that we have a total of m + 1 to invest.
- We argue that there is an optimal vector  $y_1^o, \ldots, y_n^o$  with  $\sum_{i=1}^n y_i^o = m+1$  that satisfies  $y_i^o \ge x_i^o$ ,  $i = 1, \ldots, n$ .

- Suppose we have m + 1 to invest.
- Consider any investment strategy  $y_1, \ldots, y_n$ , such that:
  - $\sum_{i=1}^{n} y_i = m + 1;$
  - For some value of k,  $y_k < x_k^o$ .
- We have  $m + 1 = \sum_{i} y_i > \sum_{i} x_i^o = m$ .
- Hence, there must be a j such that  $x_j^o < y_j$ .
- Consider the investment strategy that invests:
  - $y_k + 1$  in project k;
  - $y_j 1$  in project j;
  - $y_i$  in project *i* for  $i \neq k, j$ .
- We argue that this strategy is at least as good as the strategy that invests y<sub>i</sub> in project i for each i.

- We must show that  $f_k(y_k + 1) + f_j(y_j 1) \ge f_k(y_k) + f_j(y_j)$ .
- Equivalently,

$$f_k(y_k+1) - f_k(y_k) \ge f_j(y_j) - f_j(y_j-1).$$

Now x<sub>1</sub><sup>o</sup>,..., x<sub>n</sub><sup>o</sup> is optimal when there is m to invest.
So

$$f_k(x_k^o) + f_j(x_j^o) \ge f_k(x_k^o - 1) + f_j(x_j^o + 1).$$

Equivalently, we have

$$f_k(x_k^o) - f_k(x_k^o - 1) \ge f_j(x_j^o + 1) - f_j(x_j^o).$$

Consequently,

$$\begin{array}{l} f_k(y_k+1) - f_k(y_k) \\ \geq f_k(x_k^o) - f_k(x_k^o-1) \quad (\text{by concavity, since } y_k+1 \leq x_k^o) \\ \geq f_j(x_j^o+1) - f_j(x_j^o) \quad (\text{by the preceding inequlaity}) \\ \geq f_j(y_j) - f_j(y_j-1) \quad (\text{by concavity, since } x_j^o+1 \leq y_j). \end{array}$$

- Thus, any strategy for investing m + 1 that calls for investing less than x<sub>k</sub><sup>o</sup> in some project k can be at least matched by one whose investment in project k is increased by 1 with a corresponding decrease in some project j whose investment was greater than x<sub>i</sub><sup>o</sup>.
- Repeating this argument shows that, for any strategy of investing m + 1, we can find another strategy that:
  - Invests at least  $x_i^o$  in project *i*, for all i = 1, ..., n;
  - Yields a return that is at least as large as the original strategy.
- This implies that we can find an optimal strategy  $y_1^o, \ldots, y_n^o$  for investing m + 1 that satisfies the inequality claimed.

- We argued that the optimal strategy for investing *m* + 1 invests at least as much in each project as does the optimal strategy for investing *m*.
- It follows that the optimal strategy for m + 1 can be found by using the optimal strategy for m and then investing the extra dollar in that project whose marginal increase is largest.
- Therefore, we can find the optimal investment (when we have m) by:
  - First solving the optimal investment problem when we have 1 to invest;
  - Then solving the optimal investment problem when we have 2 to invest;
  - Then solving the optimal investment problem when we have 3 to invest;

#### Example Revisited

- We reconsider the preceding example.
- We have 5 to invest among three projects, with return functions

$$f_1(x) = \frac{10x}{1+x}, \quad f_2(x) = \sqrt{x}, \quad f_3(x) = 10(1-e^{-x}).$$

 Let x<sub>i</sub>(j) denote the optimal amount to invest in project i when we have a total of j to invest.

We have

$$\max \{f_1(1), f_2(1), f_3(1)\} = \max \{5, 1, 6.32\} = 6.32.$$

So

$$x_1(1) = 0$$
,  $x_2(1) = 0$ ,  $x_3(1) = 1$ .

• Now

$$\max_{i} \{f_i(x_i(1)+1) - f_i(x_i(1))\} = \max\{5, 1, 8.65 - 6.32\} = 5.$$

So we have

$$x_1(2) = 1, \quad x_2(2) = 0, \quad x_3(2) = 1.$$

• Further,

$$\max_{i} \{f_{i}(x_{i}(2)+1) - f_{i}(x_{i}(2))\} = \max \{\frac{20}{3} - 5, 1, 8.65 - 6.32\}$$
  
= 2.33.

• So we get

$$x_1(3) = 1, \quad x_2(3) = 0, \quad x_3(3) = 2.$$

- Continuing,  $\max_{i} \{f_{i}(x_{i}(3)+1) - f_{i}(x_{i}(3))\} = \max \{\frac{20}{3} - 5, 1, 9.50 - 8.65\}$  = 1.67.
- Therefore,

$$x_1(4) = 2$$
,  $x_2(4) = 0$ ,  $x_3(4) = 2$ .

- Finally,  $\max_{i} \{ f_{i}(x_{i}(4) + 1) - f_{i}(x_{i}(4)) \} = \max \{ \frac{30}{4} - \frac{20}{3}, 1, 9.50 - 8.65 \}$  = 1.
- This gives

$$x_1(5) = 2, \quad x_2(5) = 1, \quad x_3(5) = 2.$$

Thus, the maximal return is

$$6.32 + 5 + 2.33 + 1.67 + 1 = 16.32.$$

## Algorithm

- The following algorithm can be used to solve the problem when *m* is to be invested among *n* projects, each with a concave return function.
- The quantity k will represent the current amount to be invested.
- x<sub>i</sub> will represent the optimal amount to invest in project i when a total of k is to be invested.

(1) Set 
$$k = 0$$
 and  $x_i = 0$ ,  $i = 1, ..., n$ .

(2) 
$$m_i = f_i(x_i + 1) - f_i(x_i), i = 1, ..., n.$$

3) 
$$k = k + 1$$
.

4) Let J be such that 
$$m_J = \max_i m_i$$
.

5) If 
$$J = j$$
, then  $x_j \rightarrow x_j + 1$ ,  $m_j \rightarrow f_j(x_j + 1) - f_j(x_j)$ .

(6) If 
$$k < m$$
, go to step (3).

- Step (5) means that if the value of J is j, then:
  - (a) The value of  $x_j$  should be increased by 1;
  - (b) The value of  $m_j$  should be reset to equal the difference of  $f_j$  evaluated at 1 plus the new value of  $x_j$  and  $f_j$  evaluated at the new value of  $x_j$ .

#### Remark

- When g(x) is defined for all x in an interval, then g is concave if g'(t) is a decreasing function of t (that is, if  $g''(t) \le 0$ ).
- Hence, for g concave

$$\int_i^{i+1} g'(s) ds \leq \int_{i-1}^i g'(s) ds.$$

So

$$g(i+1)-g(i)\leq g(i)-g(i-1).$$

• This is the definition of concavity we used for g defined on the integers.

## The Knapsack Problem

- Assume we can invest at most *m* in the *n* projects.
- Suppose one invests in project *i* by buying an integral number of shares in that project, with each share:
  - Costing *c<sub>i</sub>*;
  - Returning v<sub>i</sub>.
- Let x<sub>i</sub> denote the number of shares of project i that are purchased.
- Then the problem is to:

Choose nonnegative integers  $x_1, \ldots, x_n$ , such that  $\sum_{i=1}^n x_i c_i \le m$ , to maximize  $\sum_{i=1}^n v_i x_i$ .

• We will use a dynamic programming approach to solve this problem.

### The Knapsack Problem (Cont'd)

- Let V(x) be the maximal return possible when we have x to invest.
- If we start by buying one share of project *i*, then a return  $v_i$  will be received and we will be left with a capital of  $x c_i$ .
- $V(x c_i)$  is the maximal return from investing  $x c_i$ .
- So the maximal return possible if we have x and begin investing by buying one share of project *i* is

maximal return if start by purchasing one share of 
$$i = v_i + V(x - c_i)$$
.

• Hence, the maximal return V(x) that can be obtained from the investment capital x, satisfies

$$V(x) = \max_{i:c_i \leq x} \{v_i + V(x-c_i)\}.$$

### The Knapsack Problem (Cont'd)

- Let i(x) denote the value of i that maximizes  $v_i + V(x c_i)$ .
- Starting with x, it is optimal to purchase one share of project i(x).
- Starting with

$$V(1) = \max_{i:c_i \leq 1} v_i,$$

it is easy to determine the values of V(1) and i(1).

• This will then enable us to use

$$V(x) = \max_{i:c_i \leq x} \{v_i + V(x - c_i)\}$$

to determine V(2) and i(2).

• And so on.

### The Name

• We introduced the problem:

Choose nonnegative integers 
$$x_1, \ldots, x_n$$
,  
such that  $\sum_{i=1}^n x_i c_i \le m$ ,  
to maximize  $\sum_{i=1}^n v_i x_i$ .

• This problem is called a knapsack problem.

It is mathematically equivalent to determining the set of items to be put in a knapsack that can carry a total weight of at most m when there are n different types of items, with each type i item having:

- Weight c<sub>i</sub>;
- Value v<sub>i</sub>.

#### Example

1/( )

 Suppose you have 25 to invest among three projects whose cost and return values are as on the right.

Project	Cost/Share	Return/Share
1	5	7
2	9	12
3	15	22

$$V(x) = 0, x \le 4;$$
  

$$V(x) = 7, i(x) = 1, x = 5, 6, 7, 8;$$
  

$$V(9) = \max\{7 + V(4), 12 + V(0)\} = 12, i(9) = 2;$$
  

$$V(x) = \max\{7 + V(x - 5), 12 + V(x - 9)\} = 14, i(x) = 1,$$
  

$$x = 10, 11, 12, 13;$$
  

$$V(14) = \max\{7 + V(9), 12 + V(5)\} = 19, i(x) = 1 \text{ or } 2;$$
  

$$V(15) = \max\{7 + V(10), 12 + V(6), 22 + V(0)\} = 22, i(15) = 3;$$
  

$$V(16) = \max\{7 + V(11), 12 + V(7), 22 + V(1)\} = 22, i(16) = 3;$$
  

$$V(17) = \max\{7 + V(12), 12 + V(8), 22 + V(2)\} = 22, i(17) = 3;$$
  

$$V(18) = \max\{7 + V(13), 12 + V(9), 22 + V(3)\} = 24, i(18) = 2;$$
  
and so on.

#### Subsection 2

#### Probabilistic Optimization Problems

#### A Gambling Model with Unknown Win Probabilities

- Suppose that an investment's win probability can be one of three possible values:  $p_1 = 0.45$ ,  $p_2 = 0.55$  or  $p_3 = 0.65$ .
- Suppose also that it will be:
  - $p_1$  with probability  $\frac{1}{4}$ ;
  - *p*<sub>2</sub> with probability <sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub>;
  - $p_3$  with probability  $\frac{1}{4}$ .
- An investor, without any information about which  $p_i$  has been chosen, will take the win probability to be

$$p = \frac{1}{4}p_1 + \frac{1}{2}p_2 + \frac{1}{4}p_3 = 0.55.$$

## Gambling with Unknown Win Probabilities (Cont'd)

- Assume the investor has:
  - Initial fortune x;
  - A log utility function.
- By a previous example, we know that the investor:
  - Will invest 100(2p-1) = 10% of her fortune;
  - Will have expected utility of her final fortune

$$\log (x) + 0.55 \log (1.1) + 0.45 \log (0.9) = \log (x) + 0.0050 = \log (e^{0.0050}x).$$

## Gambling with Unknown Win Probabilities (Cont'd)

- Suppose now that the investor is able to learn, before making her investment, which *p<sub>i</sub>* is the win probability.
  - If 0.45 is the win probability, then the investor will not invest.
     The conditional expected utility of her final fortune will be log (x).
  - If 0.55 is the win probability, the investor will do as shown previously. The conditional expected utility of her final fortune will be log(x) + 0.0050.
  - If 0.65 is the win probability, the investor will invest 30% of her fortune. The conditional expected utility of her final fortune will be

 $\log(x) + 0.65 \log(1.3) + 0.35 \log(0.7) = \log(x) + .0456.$ 

• Therefore, the expected final utility of an investor who will learn which *p<sub>i</sub>* is the win probability before making her investment is

$$\frac{\frac{1}{4}\log(x) + \frac{1}{2}(\log(x) + 0.0050) + \frac{1}{4}(\log(x) + 0.0456)}{\log(x) + 0.0139} = \log(e^{0.0139}x).$$

#### An Investment Allocation Model

- An investor has the amount D available to invest.
- During each of N time instants, an opportunity to invest will (independently) present itself with probability p.
- If the opportunity occurs, the investor must decide how much of her remaining wealth to invest.
- If y is invested in an opportunity then R(y), a specified function of y, is earned at the end of the problem.
- Both the amount invested and the return from that investment become unavailable for future investment.
- The investor's final wealth is equal to the sum of all the investment returns and the amount that was never invested.
- We determine how much to invest at each opportunity so as to maximize the expected value of the investor's final wealth.

#### Notation

- Let  $W_n(x)$  denote the maximal expected final wealth when:
  - The investor has x to invest;
  - There are *n* time instants in the problem.
- Let  $V_n(x)$  denote the maximal expected final wealth when:
  - The investor has x to invest;
  - There are *n* time instants in the problem;
  - An opportunity is at hand.

## Determining $V_n(x)$

- Suppose y is initially invested;
- Then the investor's maximal expected final wealth will be R(y) plus the maximal expected amount that she can obtain in n-1 time instants when her investment capital is x - y.

• The latter quantity is 
$$W_{n-1}(x-y)$$
.

• So the maximal expected final wealth when y is invested is

$$R(y)+W_{n-1}(x-y).$$

• The investor can now choose y to maximize this sum,

$$V_n(x) = \max_{0 \le y \le x} \{ R(y) + W_{n-1}(x-y) \}.$$

## Determining $W_n(x)$

- Suppose the investor has x to invest.
- Suppose there are *n* time instants to go.
- One of the following two cases arises:
  - An opportunity occurs and the maximal expected final wealth is  $V_n(x)$ ;
  - An opportunity does not occur and the maximal expected final wealth is  $W_{n-1}(x)$ .
- Each opportunity occurs with probability *p*.
- So we have

$$W_n(x) = pV_n(x) + (1-p)W_{n-1}(x).$$

#### Solution Method

- Start with  $W_0(x) = x$ .
  - We first use the former equation to obtain  $V_1(x)$ , for all  $0 \le x \le D$ ;
  - Then use the latter equation to obtain  $W_1(x)$ , for all  $0 \le x \le D$ ;
  - Then use the former equation to obtain V<sub>2</sub>(x) for all 0 ≤ x ≤ D;
  - Then use the latter equation to obtain  $W_2(x)$ ;
- Let  $y_n(x)$  be the value of y that maximizes the right side of the former equation.
- The optimal policy is to invest the amount  $y_n(x)$  if:
  - Our current investment capital is x;
  - There are *n* time instants remaining;
  - An opportunity is present.

### Example

- We work under the following hypotheses:
  - We have 10 to invest;
  - There are two time instants;
  - An opportunity presents itself each instant with probability p = 0.7, and  $R(y) = y + 10\sqrt{y}$ .
- We find the maximal expected final wealth and the optimal policy.
- We start with  $W_0(x) = x$ .
- We then get

$$V_{1}(x) = \max_{0 \le y \le x} \{y + 10\sqrt{y} + x - y\}$$
  
=  $x + \max_{0 \le y \le x} \{10\sqrt{y}\}$   
=  $x + 10\sqrt{x}$ .

- Moreover,  $y_1(x) = x$ .
- Thus,

$$W_1(x) = 0.7(x + 10\sqrt{x}) + 0.3x = x + 7\sqrt{x}.$$

#### • Now we have

$$V_{2}(x) = \max_{0 \le y \le x} \{y + 10\sqrt{y} + x - y + 7\sqrt{x - y}\}$$
  
=  $x + \max_{0 \le y \le x} \{10\sqrt{y} + 7\sqrt{x - y}\}$   
=  $x + \sqrt{149x},$ 

where calculus gives the final equation, as well as

$$y_2(x) = \frac{100}{149}x.$$

• The preceding now yields

$$W_2(x) = 0.7(x + \sqrt{149x}) + 0.3(x + 7\sqrt{x})$$
  
=  $x + 0.7\sqrt{149x} + 2.1\sqrt{x}.$ 

## Example (Conclusion)

• Starting with 10, the maximal expected final wealth is

$$W_2(10) = 10 + 0.7\sqrt{1490} + 2.1\sqrt{10} = 43.66.$$

- The optimal policy is to invest:
  - $\frac{1000}{149} = 6.71$ , if an opportunity presents itself at the initial time instant;
  - Whatever of your fortune remains, if an opportunity presents itself at the final time instant.

## Properties of $W_n(x)$ and $V_n(x)$

#### Theorem

- If R(y) is a nondecreasing concave function, then:
- (a)  $V_n(x)$  and  $W_n(x)$  are both nondecreasing concave functions;
- (b)  $y_n(x)$  is a nondecreasing function of x;
- (c)  $x y_n(x)$  is a nondecreasing function of x;
- (d)  $y_n(x)$  is a nonincreasing function of n.
  - Part (b) states that the more you have the more you should invest.
  - Part (c) states that the more you have the more you should conserve.
  - Part (d) says that the more time you have the less you should invest each time.