### Fields and Galois Theory

### George Voutsadakis<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Mathematics and Computer Science Lake Superior State University

LSSU Math 500

George Voutsadakis (LSSU)

Fields and Galois Theory

January 2024 🛛 1 / 4



#### Field Extensions

- The Degree of an Extension
- Extensions and Polynomials
- Polynomials and Extensions

### Subsection 1

### The Degree of an Extension

### Field Extensions

- If K, L are fields and  $\varphi: K \to L$  is a monomorphism, we say that L is an **extension** of K.
- We write "L: K is a (field) extension".
- This is not essentially different from saying that K is a subfield of L, since we may always identify K with its image  $\varphi(K)$ .
- Then *L* can be regarded as a vector space over *K*, since the vector space axioms are all consequences of the field axioms for *L*:

(V1) 
$$(x+y)+z = x + (y+z), x, y, z \in L;$$
  
(V2)  $x+y = y + x, x, y \in L;$   
(V3) There exists 0 in *L*, such that  $x+0 = x, x \in L;$   
(V4) For all *x* in *L*, there exists  $-x$  in *L*, such that  $x+(-x) = 0;$   
(V5)  $a(x+y) = ax + ay, a \in K, x, y \in L;$   
(V6)  $(a+b)x = ax + bx, a, b \in K, x \in L;$   
(V7)  $(ab)x = a(bx), a, b \in K, x \in L;$   
(V8)  $1x = x, x \in L.$ 

### Dimension of Field Extensions

- Let *L* : *K* be a field extension.
- Since *L* can be regarded as a vector space over *K*, there exists a **basis** of *L* over *K*.
- Different bases have the same cardinality, and there is a well-defined **dimension** of *L*, equal to the cardinality of an arbitrarily chosen basis.
- The term used in field theory for this dimension is the degree of L over K, or the degree of the extension L: K, denoted by [L: K].
- We say that L is a **finite extension** of K if [L:K] is finite.
- Otherwise *L* is an **infinite extension**.

- The field ℝ of real numbers is an infinite extension of ℚ.
   Any finite extension of ℚ is countable, and ℝ is not.
- The field  $\mathbb{C}$  of complex numbers is a finite extension of  $\mathbb{R}$ , with basis  $\{1, i\}$ .

Every complex number has a unique expression as a1 + bi, with  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ .

- Of course, bases are not unique.
- For  $\mathbb{C}:\mathbb{R}$ , we can write a + bi as

$$\frac{1}{2}(a+b)(1+i) + \frac{1}{2}(a-b)(1-i).$$

So  $\{1 + i, 1 - i\}$  is also a basis.

But every basis has exactly two elements, and  $[\mathbb{C}:\mathbb{R}] = 2$ .

### Extensions of Degree One

#### Theorem

- Let L: K be a field extension. Then L = K if and only if [L: K] = 1.
  - Suppose first that L = K. Then {1} is a basis for L over K, since every element x of L is expressible as x1, with x in K. Thus, [L:K] = 1. Conversely, suppose that [L:K] = 1. Let {x}, where x ≠ 0, be a basis of L over K. In particular, there exists a in K such that 1 = ax. So x = 1/a ∈ K. Now, let y in L. Then, there exists b in K, such that y = bx = b/a. Thus, y ∈ K. This proves that L = K.

### Chain of Extensions

• Suppose we have field extensions L: K and M: L. That is, there are monomorphisms  $\alpha: K \to L, \beta: L \to M$ . Then  $\beta \circ \alpha: K \to M$  is a monomorphism, and so M: K is an extension.

#### Theorem

Let L: K and M: L be field extensions. Then [M: L][L: K] = [M: K].

- Let {a<sub>1</sub>, a<sub>2</sub>,..., a<sub>r</sub>} be a linearly independent subset of M over L. Let {b<sub>1</sub>, b<sub>2</sub>,..., b<sub>s</sub>} be a linearly independent subset of L over K. We show that {a<sub>i</sub>b<sub>j</sub> : i = 1,2,...,r, j = 1,2,...,s} is a linearly independent subset of M over K. Suppose that ∑<sup>r</sup><sub>i=1</sub>∑<sup>s</sup><sub>j=1</sub> λ<sub>ij</sub>a<sub>i</sub>b<sub>j</sub> = 0, with λ<sub>ij</sub> ∈ K, for all i and j. Rewrite as ∑<sup>r</sup><sub>i=1</sub>(∑<sup>s</sup><sub>i=1</sub> λ<sub>ij</sub>b<sub>i</sub>)a<sub>i</sub> = 0. Since the a<sub>i</sub> are linearly
  - independent over L,  $\sum_{j=1}^{s} \lambda_{ij} b_j = 0$ , i = 1, 2, ..., r. Since the  $b_j$  are linearly independent over K,  $\lambda_{ij} = 0$ , for all i and j.

## Chain of Extensions (Cont'd)

Suppose [M: L] or [L: K] is infinite. Then either r or s can be made arbitrarily large. So the set {a<sub>i</sub>b<sub>j</sub> : i = 1,...,r, j = 1,...,s} can be made arbitrarily large. Hence, [M: K] is infinite. Suppose, next, that [M: L] = r < ∞, [L: K] = s < ∞. Let {a<sub>1</sub>, a<sub>2</sub>,..., a<sub>r</sub>} be a basis of M over L, and {b<sub>1</sub>, b<sub>2</sub>,..., b<sub>s</sub>} a basis of L over K. For each z in M, there exist λ<sub>1</sub>, λ<sub>2</sub>,..., λ<sub>r</sub> in L, such that z = Σ<sup>r</sup><sub>i=1</sub> λ<sub>i</sub>a<sub>i</sub>. For each λ<sub>i</sub> there exist μ<sub>i1</sub>, μ<sub>i2</sub>,..., μ<sub>is</sub> in K such that λ<sub>i</sub> = Σ<sup>s</sup><sub>j=1</sub>μ<sub>ij</sub>b<sub>j</sub>. Hence z = Σ<sup>r</sup><sub>i=1</sub>Σ<sup>s</sup><sub>j=1</sub>μ<sub>ij</sub>(a<sub>i</sub>b<sub>j</sub>). We showed that the set {a<sub>i</sub>b<sub>j</sub> : i = 1,...,r, j = 1,...,s} is an independent spanning set (a basis) for M over K. So [M: K] = rs = [M: L][L: K].

### Corollary

Let  $K_1, K_2, ..., K_n$  be fields. Suppose that  $K_{i+1}: K_i$  is an extension, for  $1 \le i \le n-1$ . Then

$$[K_n:K_1] = [K_n:K_{n-1}][K_{n-1}:K_{n-2}]\cdots [K_2:K_1].$$

### Subsection 2

### Extensions and Polynomials

# The Field $\mathbb{Q}[\sqrt{2}]$

- The equation  $X^2 = 2$  cannot be solved within the field of rationals.
- It has the solutions  $\pm\sqrt{2}$  in the field  $\mathbbm{R}$  of real numbers.
- ${ullet}$  In fact, its solutions lie within a subfield of  ${\mathbb R}$ , namely, the extension

$$\mathbb{Q}[\sqrt{2}] = \{a + b\sqrt{2} : a, b \in \mathbb{Q}\}$$
 of  $\mathbb{Q}$ .

It is easy to verify the subfield conditions:
 If a + b√2, c + d√2 ∈ Q[√2], then

$$(a+b\sqrt{2})-(c+d\sqrt{2})=(a-c)+(b-d)\sqrt{2}\in \mathbb{Q}[\sqrt{2}];$$

• if  $c + d\sqrt{2} \neq 0$ ,

$$\frac{a+b\sqrt{2}}{c+d\sqrt{2}} = \frac{(a+b\sqrt{2})(c-d\sqrt{2})}{(c+d\sqrt{2})(c-d\sqrt{2})} = \frac{ac-2bd}{c^2-2d^2} + \frac{bc-ad}{c^2-2d^2}\sqrt{2}.$$

Since  $\sqrt{2}$  is irrational,  $c^2 - 2d^2 = 0$  if and only if c = d = 0.

### Subfield Generated by a Set

- Let K be a subfield of a field L.
- Let S be a subset of L.
- Let K(S) be the intersection of all subfields of L containing K∪S.
   There is at least one such subfield, namely L itself.
- It is clear that K(S) is the smallest subfield containing  $K \cup S$ .
- K(S) is called the subfield of L generated over K by S.
- If  $S = \{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n\}$  is finite, we write K(S) as  $K(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n)$ .

# Characterization of Subfield Generated by a Set

#### Theorem

The subfield K(S) of the field *L* coincides with the set *E* of all elements of *L* that can be expressed as quotients of finite linear combinations (with coefficients in *K*) of finite products of elements of *S*.

Let P be the set of all finite linear combinations of finite products of elements of S. If p, q ∈ P, then p±q, pq ∈ P. Let x = p/q and y = r/s be typical elements of E, with p,q,r,s in P and q,s ≠ 0.

• 
$$x - y = \frac{ps - qr}{qs} \in E;$$

• If 
$$y \neq 0$$
,  $\frac{x}{y} = \frac{ps}{qr} \in E$ .

Thus, *E* is a subfield of *L* containing *K* and *S*. So  $K(S) \subseteq E$ . Any subfield containing *K* and *S* must also contain:

- All finite products of elements in S;
- All linear combinations of such products;
- All quotients of such linear combinations.

In short, it must contain E. Hence, in particular,  $K(S) \supseteq E$ .

### Simple Extensions

- If S has just one element α ∉ K, by the theorem, K(α) is the set of all quotients of polynomials in α with coefficients in K.
- We say that  $K(\alpha)$  is a simple extension of K.
- The link with polynomials is important:

#### Theorem

Let *L* be a field, let *K* be a subfield and let  $\alpha \in L$ . Then one of the following two alternatives holds:

- )  $K(\alpha)$  is isomorphic to K(X), the field of all rational forms with coefficients in K.
- (ii) There exists a unique monic irreducible polynomial m in K[X] with the property that, for all f in K[X],
  - (a)  $f(\alpha) = 0$  if and only if m | f;
  - (b) The field K(α) coincides with K[α], the ring of all polynomials in α with coefficients in K;
  - (c)  $[K[\alpha]:K] = \partial m$ .

# Proof of the Simple Extension Theorem Case (i)

Suppose first that there is no non-zero polynomial f in K[X] such that f(α) = 0. Then α ∉ K, since f = X - α would contradict the hypothesis. Note that g(α) = 0 only if g is the zero polynomial.

Hence, there is a mapping  $\varphi: K(X) \to K(\alpha)$  given by  $\varphi\left(\frac{f}{g}\right) = \frac{f(\alpha)}{g(\alpha)}$ .

- It is routine to verify that  $\varphi$  is a homomorphism.
- It clearly maps onto  $K(\alpha)$ .
- It is both well defined and one-to-one.
   Suppose that f,g,p,q are polynomials, with g,q≠0. Then

$$\varphi\left(\frac{f}{g}\right) = \varphi\left(\frac{p}{q}\right) \quad \text{iff} \quad f(\alpha)q(\alpha) - p(\alpha)g(\alpha) = 0 \text{ in } L$$
$$\text{iff} \quad fq - pg = 0 \text{ in } K[X]$$
$$\text{iff} \quad \frac{f}{g} = \frac{p}{q} \text{ in } K(X).$$

# Proof of the Simple Extension Theorem Case (ii)

- Suppose there exists a non-zero polynomial g such that  $g(\alpha) = 0$ . Assume that g is a polynomial with least degree having this property. If a is the leading coefficient of g, then  $\frac{g}{a}$  is monic. Denote  $\frac{g}{a}$  by m.
  - Certainly  $m(\alpha) = 0$ .
  - Clearly, if *m* | *f*, then *f*(α) = 0.
     Conversely, suppose that *f*(α) = 0. Then, *f* = qm + r, where ∂r < ∂m.</li>
     Now 0 = *f*(α) = q(α)m(α) + r(α) = 0 + r(α) = r(α). Since ∂r < ∂m, r is the zero polynomial. Hence *f* = qm. So *m* | *f*.

*m* is unique. Suppose that *m'* is another polynomial with the same properties. Then  $m(\alpha) = m'(\alpha) = 0$ . So  $m \mid m'$  and  $m' \mid m$ . Since both polynomials are monic, m' = m.

*m* is irreducible. Suppose that there exist polynomials *p* and *q*, such that pq = m, with  $\partial p, \partial q < \partial m$ . Then  $p(\alpha)q(\alpha) = m(\alpha) = 0$ . So either  $p(\alpha) = 0$  or  $q(\alpha) = 0$ . This is impossible, since both *p* and *q* are of smaller degree than *m*.

George Voutsadakis (LSSU)

# Proof of the Simple Extension Theorem Case (ii) (Cont'd)

•  $K(\alpha) = K[\alpha]$ . Consider a typical element  $\frac{f(\alpha)}{g(\alpha)}$  in  $K(\alpha)$ ,  $g(\alpha) \neq 0$ . Then m does not divide g. Since m has no divisors other than itself and 1, the greatest common divisor of g and m is 1. Hence, there exist polynomials a, b, such that ag + bm = 1. Substituting  $\alpha$  for X,  $a(\alpha)g(\alpha) = 1$ . Thus,  $\frac{f(\alpha)}{g(\alpha)} = f(\alpha)a(\alpha) \in K[\alpha]$ . We close by showing that  $[K[\alpha]: K] = \partial m$ . Let  $\partial m = n$  and  $p(\alpha) \in K[\alpha] = K(\alpha)$ , where p is a polynomial. Then p = qm + r, where  $\partial r < \partial m = n$ . Therefore,  $p(\alpha) = r(\alpha)$ . So there exist  $c_0, c_1, \ldots, c_{n-1}$  (the coefficients of r, some of which may, of course, be zero) in K, such that

$$p(\alpha) = c_0 + c_1\alpha + \cdots + c_{n-1}\alpha^{n-1}.$$

Hence  $\{1, \alpha, ..., \alpha^{n-1}\}$  is a spanning set for  $K[\alpha]$ .

# Proof of the Simple Extension Theorem Case (ii) (Cont'd)

Moreover, the set {1, α,..., α<sup>n-1</sup>} is linearly independent over K.
 Let a<sub>0</sub>, a<sub>1</sub>,..., a<sub>n-1</sub> in K be such that

$$a_0+a_1\alpha+\cdots+a_{n-1}\alpha^{n-1}=0.$$

Then  $a_0 = a_1 = \cdots = a_{n-1} = 0$ . Otherwise there would be a non-zero polynomial  $p = a_0 + a_1X + \cdots + a_{n-1}X^{n-1}$  of degree  $\leq n-1$ , such that  $p(\alpha) = 0$ .

Thus  $\{1, \alpha, ..., \alpha^{n-1}\}$  is a basis of  $K(\alpha)$  over K. So  $[K(\alpha): K] = n$ .

• The polynomial *m* is called the **minimum polynomial** of the element *α*.

# A Useful Consequence

#### Corollary

Let L be a field, let K be a subfield and let  $\alpha \in L$ . If  $[K[\alpha] : K] = n$  and g is a monic polynomial in K[X] of degree n, such that  $g(\alpha) = 0$ , then g is the minimum polynomial of  $\alpha$ .

Let m be the minimum polynomial of α.
 Since g(α) = 0, m | g.
 Since g is monic of degree n, m = g.
 Hence, g must be the minimum polynomial of α.

• Let  $\alpha$  be in  $\mathbb{C}$  with minimum polynomial  $X^2 + X + 1$  over  $\mathbb{Q}$ .

We show that α<sup>2</sup> − 1 ≠ 0;
We express the element a<sup>2</sup>+1/a<sup>2</sup>-1 of Q(α) in the form a + bα, a, b ∈ Q. We have  $\alpha^2 + \alpha + 1 = 0$ . So  $\alpha^2 - 1 = -\alpha - 2 \neq 0$ .

Now we get

$$\frac{\alpha^2 + 1}{\alpha^2 - 1} = \frac{-\alpha}{-\alpha - 2} = \frac{\alpha}{\alpha + 2} = 1 - \frac{2}{\alpha + 2}$$

Dividing  $X^2 + X + 1$  by X + 2 gives

$$X^{2} + X + 1 = (X + 2)(X - 1) + 3.$$

So  $(\alpha+2)(\alpha-1) = -3$ . Hence  $\frac{1}{\alpha+2} = -\frac{1}{3}(\alpha-1)$ . We finally get

$$\frac{\alpha^2+1}{\alpha^2-1}=1+\frac{2}{3}(\alpha-1)=\frac{1}{3}+\frac{2}{3}\alpha.$$

George Voutsadakis (LSSU)

If K is the field Q and L the field C, the minimum polynomial of i√3 is X<sup>2</sup> + 3.

Then

$$\mathbb{Q}[i\sqrt{3}] = \{a + bi\sqrt{3} : a, b \in \mathbb{Q}\}.$$

The multiplicative inverse of a non-zero element  $a + bi\sqrt{3}$  is

$$a' + b'i\sqrt{3} = \frac{1}{a + bi\sqrt{3}} = \frac{a - bi\sqrt{3}}{(a + bi\sqrt{3})(a - bi\sqrt{3})}$$
$$= \frac{a - bi\sqrt{3}}{a^2 + 3b^2} = \frac{a}{a^2 + 3b^2} - \frac{b}{a^2 + 3b^2}i\sqrt{3}.$$

# Example: The Subfield $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2},\sqrt{3})$

• It might seem that the subfield  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2},\sqrt{3})$  is not a simple extension, but in fact it coincides with the visibly simple extension  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2}+\sqrt{3})$ . It is clear that  $\sqrt{2}+\sqrt{3} \in \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2},\sqrt{3})$ . So  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2}+\sqrt{3}) \subseteq \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2},\sqrt{3})$ . Note  $(\sqrt{3}+\sqrt{2})(\sqrt{3}-\sqrt{2}) = 1$ . So  $\sqrt{3}-\sqrt{2} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}+\sqrt{2}} \in \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2}+\sqrt{3})$ . Now we have

$$\sqrt{2} = \frac{1}{2}(\sqrt{2} + \sqrt{3}) + \frac{1}{2}(\sqrt{2} - \sqrt{3}) \in \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2} + \sqrt{3});$$
  
$$\sqrt{3} = \frac{1}{2}(\sqrt{2} + \sqrt{3}) - \frac{1}{2}(\sqrt{2} - \sqrt{3}) \in \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2} + \sqrt{3}).$$

Hence  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2},\sqrt{3}) \subseteq \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2}+\sqrt{3}).$ 

# Example: The Subfield $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2},\sqrt{3})$ (Cont'd)

We can write Q(√2, √3) as (Q[√2])[√3]. The set {1, √2} is clearly a basis for Q[√2] over Q. Since √3 ∉ Q[√2], we must have [Q(√2, √3) : Q[√2]] ≥ 2. On the other hand, observe (√3)<sup>2</sup> - 3 = 0. So X<sup>2</sup> - 3 is the minimum polynomial of √3 over Q[√2]. So {1, √3} is a basis. Hence {1, √2, √3, √6} is a basis for Q(√2, √3) over Q. The minimum polynomial of √2 + √3 is of degree 4. We have

$$(\sqrt{2} + \sqrt{3})^2 = 2 + 2\sqrt{6} + 3 = 5 + 2\sqrt{6};$$
  
 $(\sqrt{2} + \sqrt{3})^4 = (5 + 2\sqrt{6})^2 = 25 + 20\sqrt{6} + 24 = 49 + 20\sqrt{6}.$ 

Hence, we obtain

$$(\sqrt{2} + \sqrt{3})^4 - 10(\sqrt{2} + \sqrt{3})^2 + 1 = 49 + 20\sqrt{6} - 50 - 20\sqrt{6} + 1 = 0.$$

So the minimum polynomial is  $X^4 - 10X^2 + 1$ .

# Algebraic and Transcendental Extensions

- If  $\alpha$  has a minimum polynomial over K,
  - $\alpha$  is called **algebraic over** *K*;
  - $K[\alpha](=K(\alpha))$  is called a simple algebraic extension of K.
- $\bullet\,$  A complex number that is algebraic over  $\mathbbm{Q}$  is called an algebraic number.
- If  $K(\alpha)$  is isomorphic to the field K(X) of rational functions,
  - $\alpha$  is called **transcendental over** *K*;
  - $K(\alpha)$  is called a simple transcendental extension of K.
- A complex number that is transcendental over  ${\mathbb Q}$  is called a transcendental number.

Example: The preceding examples feature simple algebraic extensions. The elements  $i\sqrt{3}$ ,  $\sqrt{2}$ ,  $\sqrt{3}$ ,  $\sqrt{2} + \sqrt{3}$  are algebraic numbers. On the other hand, let L = K(X) be the field of rational forms over X. By the definitions, the element X is transcendental over K.

# Algebraic, Transcendental Extensions and Degrees

#### Theorem

Let  $K(\alpha)$  be a simple transcendental extension of a field K. Then the degree of  $K(\alpha)$  over K is infinite.

- The elements  $1, \alpha, \alpha^2, \dots$  are linearly independent over K.
- An extension *L* of *K* is said to be an **algebraic extension** if every element of *L* is algebraic over *K*.
- Otherwise, *L* is called a transcendental extension.

#### Theorem

Every finite extension is algebraic.

Let L be a finite extension of K. Suppose, for a contradiction, that L contains an element α that is transcendental over K. Then the elements 1, α, α<sup>2</sup>,... are linearly independent over K. So [L:K] cannot be finite.

George Voutsadakis (LSSU)

Fields and Galois Theory

# Algebraicity and Chains of Extensions

#### Theorem

Let L: K and M: L be field extensions, and let  $\alpha \in M$ . If  $\alpha$  is algebraic over K, then it is also algebraic over L.

- Since  $\alpha$  is algebraic over K, there exists a non-zero polynomial f in K[X], such that  $f(\alpha) = 0$ . Since f is also in L[X], we deduce that  $\alpha$  is algebraic over L.
- The minimum polynomial of α over L may of course be of smaller degree than the minimum polynomial over K.
   Example: We saw [Q[√2 + √3]: Q] = 4 and [Q[√2 + √3]: Q[√2]] = 2. We can verify that:

• 
$$(\sqrt{2} + \sqrt{3})^4 - 10(\sqrt{2} + \sqrt{3})^2 + 1 = 0;$$
  
•  $(\sqrt{2} + \sqrt{3})^2 - 2\sqrt{2}(\sqrt{2} + \sqrt{3}) - 1 = 0.$ 

So the minimum polynomial of  $\sqrt{2} + \sqrt{3}$ 

• over 
$$\mathbb{Q}$$
 is  $X^4 - 10X^2 + 1$ ;  
• over  $\mathbb{Q}[\sqrt{2}]$  is  $X^2 - 2\sqrt{2}X - 1$ 

# Subfield of Algebraic Elements

#### Theorem

Let L be an extension of a field K, and let  $\mathscr{A}(L)$  be the set of all elements in L that are algebraic over K. Then  $\mathscr{A}(L)$  is a subfield of L.

Suppose that α, β ∈ 𝔄(L). Then α − β ∈ K(α, β) = (K[α])[β]. By the theorem, β is algebraic over K[α]. So both [K[α] : K] and [(K[α])[β] : K[α]] are finite. It follows that [K(α, β) : K] is finite. So, α − β is algebraic over K. By a similar argument, <sup>α</sup>/<sub>β</sub> ∈ 𝔄(L), for all α and β(≠ 0) in 𝔄(L).

# The Field $\mathbb A$ of Algebraic Numbers

If we take K as the field Q of rational numbers and L as the field C of complex numbers, then A(L) is the field A of algebraic numbers.

#### Theorem

The field  $\mathbb{A}$  of algebraic numbers is countable.

• The proof depends on some knowledge of the arithmetic of infinite cardinal numbers. It is known that  $\mathbb{Q}$  is countable. To put it in the standard notation for cardinal numbers,  $|\mathbb{Q}| = \aleph_0$ . Since  $\mathbb{Q} \subseteq \mathbb{A}$ , we know that  $|\mathbb{A}| \ge \aleph_0$ .

Now, the number of monic polynomials of degree *n* with coefficients in  $\mathbb{Q}$  is  $\aleph_0^n = \aleph_0$ . Each such polynomial has at most *n* distinct roots in  $\mathbb{C}$ . So the number of roots of monic polynomials of degree *n* is at most  $n\aleph_0 = \aleph_0$ . Hence, the number of roots of monic polynomials of all possible degrees is at most  $\aleph_0 \cdot \aleph_0 = \aleph_0$ . Thus  $|\mathbb{A}| \le \aleph_0$ .

# Existence of Transcendental Numbers

#### Theorem

Transcendental numbers exist.

- It is known that  $|\mathbb{R}| = |\mathbb{C}| = 2^{\aleph_0} > \aleph_0$ . It follows that  $\mathbb{C}\setminus\mathbb{A}$ , the set of transcendental numbers, is non-empty.
- Since  $|\mathbb{C}\setminus\mathbb{A}| = 2^{\aleph_0} > |\mathbb{A}|$ , we can say that "most" complex numbers are transcendental.
- This argument of Cantor was extraordinary in that it demonstrated the existence of transcendental numbers without producing a single example of such a number!
- Liouville demonstrated that  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{10^{n!}}$  is transcendental.
- Hermite proved that *e* is transcendental.
- Lindemann proved that  $\pi$  is transcendental.

# Degree of an Extension and Minimum Polynomials

#### Theorem

Let *L* be an extension of *F*, and let the elements  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, ..., \alpha_n$  of *L* have minimum polynomials  $m_1, m_2, ..., m_n$ , respectively, over *F*. Then

$$[F(\alpha_1,\alpha_2,\ldots,\alpha_n):F] \leq \partial m_1 \partial m_2 \cdots \partial m_n.$$

• The proof is by induction on *n*, it being clear that  $[F(\alpha_1):F] = \partial m_1$ . Suppose inductively that  $[F(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, ..., \alpha_{n-1}):F] \leq \partial m_1 \partial m_2 \cdots \partial m_{n-1}$ . We know that  $m_n(\alpha_n) = 0$ . The element  $\alpha_n$  is certainly algebraic over  $F(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, ..., \alpha_{n-1})$ . Its minimum polynomial over that field must have degree  $\leq \partial m_n$ . So  $[F(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, ..., \alpha_n): F(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, ..., \alpha_{n-1})] \leq \partial m_n$ . Now we have

$$[F(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n) : F]$$
  
=  $[F(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n) : F(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_{n-1})] \cdot [F(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_{n-1}) : F]$   
 $\leq \partial m_1 \partial m_2 \cdots \partial m_{n-1} \partial m_n.$ 

- We cannot assert equality in the preceding formula.
- We have

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2}) : \mathbb{Q} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{3}) : \mathbb{Q} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{6}) : \mathbb{Q} \end{bmatrix} = 2, \\ \begin{bmatrix} \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2}, \sqrt{3}, \sqrt{6}) : \mathbb{Q} \end{bmatrix} = 4.$$

This shows that

$$\left[\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2},\sqrt{3},\sqrt{6}):\mathbb{Q}\right] < [\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2}):\mathbb{Q}][\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{3}):\mathbb{Q}][\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{6}):\mathbb{Q}].$$

# Finite Extensions and Algebraic Elements

### Proposition

An extension *L* of a field *K* is finite if and only if, for some *n*, there exist  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, ..., \alpha_n$ , algebraic over *K*, such that  $L = K(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, ..., \alpha_n)$ .

• The theorem gives half of this result.

Suppose now that [L:K] is finite.

Let  $\{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n\}$  be a basis for *L* over *K*.

The elements  $\alpha_i$  are all algebraic.

Then *L* consists of linear combinations (with coefficients in *K*) of  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, ..., \alpha_n$ .

This set contains (and is thus equal to) the seemingly larger set  $K(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, ..., \alpha_n)$ .

### Subsection 3

### Polynomials and Extensions

# Irreducible Polynomials and Simple Algebraic Extensions

#### Theorem

Let K be a field and let m be a monic irreducible polynomial with coefficients in K. Then  $L = K[X]/\langle m \rangle$  is a simple algebraic extension  $K[\alpha]$  of K, and  $\alpha = X + \langle m \rangle$  has minimum polynomial m over K.

Let K be a field, and let m∈ K[X] be irreducible and monic. Let L = K[X]/⟨m⟩. Then L is a field. The mapping a→ a+⟨m⟩ is a monomorphism from K into L. So L is an extension of K. Let α = X + ⟨m⟩. Then, for f = a<sub>0</sub> + a<sub>1</sub>X + a<sub>2</sub>X<sup>2</sup> + ··· + a<sub>n</sub>X<sup>n</sup> in K[X],

$$f(\alpha) = a_0 + a_1 \alpha + \dots + a_n \alpha^n$$
  
=  $a_0 + a_1 (X + \langle m \rangle) + a_2 (X + \langle m \rangle)^2 + \dots + a_n (X + \langle m \rangle)^n$   
=  $a_0 + a_1 (X + \langle m \rangle) + a_2 (X^2 + \langle m \rangle) + \dots + a_n (X^n + \langle m \rangle)$   
=  $(a_0 + a_1 X + a_2 X^2 + \dots + a_n X^n) + \langle m \rangle = f + \langle m \rangle.$ 

So  $f(\alpha) = 0 + \langle m \rangle$  if and only if m | f. Thus, *m* is the minimum polynomial of  $\alpha$ .

George Voutsadakis (LSSU)

Fields and Galois Theory

# Isomorphisms of Extension Fields

#### Theorem

Let K, K' be fields, and let  $\varphi: K \to K'$  be an isomorphism with canonical extension  $\widehat{\varphi}: K[X] \to K'[X]$ . Let  $f = a_n X^n + a_{n-1} X^{n-1} + \dots + a_0$  be an irreducible polynomial of degree n with coefficients in K, and let  $f' = \widehat{\varphi}(f) = \varphi(a_n)X^n + \varphi(a_{n-1})X^{n-1} + \dots + \varphi(a_0)$ . Let L be an extension of K containing a root  $\alpha$  of f, and let L' be an extension of K' containing a root  $\alpha'$  of f'. Then there is an isomorphism  $\psi$  from  $K[\alpha]$  onto  $K'[\alpha']$ , extending  $\varphi$ .

• The field  $K[\alpha]$  consists of polynomials  $b_0 + b_1 \alpha + \dots + b_{n-1} \alpha^{n-1}$ . Addition is obvious. Multiplication is carried out using the equation  $\alpha^n = -\frac{1}{a_n}(a_{n-1}\alpha^{n-1} + \dots + a_0)$ . The mapping  $\psi$  is defined by

$$\psi(b_0 + b_1 \alpha + \dots + b_{n-1} \alpha^{n-1}) = \varphi(b_0) + \varphi(b_1) \alpha' + \dots + \varphi(b_{n-1}) \alpha'^{n-1}.$$

More compactly,  $\psi(u(\alpha)) = (\widehat{\varphi}(u))(\alpha')$ , for all u in K[X] with  $\partial u < n$ .

### Isomorphisms of Extension Fields (Cont'd)

- $\psi$  is onto. This follows by observing that:
  - K'[α'] consists of polynomials of the form b'<sub>0</sub> + b'<sub>1</sub>α' + ··· + b'<sub>n-1</sub>α'<sup>n-1</sup>, with b'<sub>0</sub>,..., b'<sub>n-1</sub> in K';
     φ: K → K' is onto.
- $\psi$  is one-to-one: We have

$$\begin{split} \psi(b_0 + b_1 \alpha + \dots + b_{n-1} \alpha^{n-1}) &= \psi(c_0 + c_1 \alpha + \dots + c_{n-1} \alpha^{n-1}) \\ \varphi(b_0) + \varphi(b_1) \alpha' + \dots + \varphi(b_{n-1}) \alpha'^{n-1} &= \varphi(c_0) + \varphi(c_1) \alpha' + \dots + \varphi(c_{n-1}) \alpha'^{n-1} \\ (\varphi(b_0) - \varphi(c_0)) + (\varphi(b_1) - \varphi(c_1)) \alpha' + \dots + (\varphi(b_{n-1}) - \varphi(c_{n-1})) \alpha'^{n-1} &= 0. \\ \text{Since } [K'[\alpha'] : K'] &= n, \text{ the polynomial on the left must be zero.} \\ \text{So we get } \varphi(b_0) &= \varphi(c_0), \varphi(b_1) = \varphi(c_1), \dots, \varphi(b_{n-1}) = \varphi(c_{n-1}). \\ \text{As } \phi \text{ is one-to-one, } b_0 &= c_0, b_1 = c_1, \dots, b_{n-1} = c_{n-1}. \\ \text{Therefore, } \psi \text{ is one-to-one.} \end{split}$$

• That  $\psi$  extends  $\phi$  is clear.

# Isomorphisms of Extension Fields (Conclusion)

- From the definition of  $\psi$  it is also clear that  $\psi(u(\alpha) + v(\alpha)) = \psi(u(\alpha)) + \psi(v(\alpha)).$
- In multiplying u(α) and v(α), we use the minimum polynomial to reduce the answer to the form w(α), ∂w ≤ n-1.
   We use the division algorithm to write uv = qm + w, where ∂w < n.</li>
   Hence ψ(u(α)v(α)) = ψ(w(α)) = (φ̂(w))(α').
   The isomorphism φ̂ implies that the division algorithm in K'[X] gives

 $\widehat{\varphi}(u)\widehat{\varphi}(v) = \widehat{\varphi}(q)\widehat{\varphi}(m) + \widehat{\varphi}(w)$ . Hence,

$$(\psi(u(\alpha))\psi(v(\alpha)) = (\widehat{\varphi}(u))(\alpha')(\widehat{\varphi}(v))(\alpha')$$

$$= (\widehat{\varphi}(u)\widehat{\varphi}(v))(\alpha')$$

- $= (\widehat{\varphi}(q)\widehat{\varphi}(m) + \widehat{\varphi}(w))(\alpha')$
- $= (\widehat{\varphi}(q))(\alpha')(\widehat{\varphi}(m))(\alpha') + (\widehat{\varphi}(w))(\alpha')$
- $= (\widehat{\varphi}(w))(\alpha')$
- $= \psi(u(\alpha)v(\alpha)).$

# K-Isomorphisms

#### Corollary

Let K be a field, and let f be an irreducible polynomial with coefficients in K. If L, L' are extensions of K containing roots  $\alpha, \alpha'$  of f, respectively, then there is an isomorphism from  $K[\alpha]$  onto  $K[\alpha']$  which fixes every element of K.

• An isomorphism  $\alpha$  from L onto L' with the property that

 $\alpha(x) = x$ , for every element x of K,

i.e., that fixes every element of K, is called a K-isomorphism.

• If  $K = \mathbb{R}$  and  $m = X^2 + 1$ , the field  $L = K[X]/\langle X^2 + 1 \rangle$  contains an element  $\delta = X + \langle X^2 + 1 \rangle$ , such that  $\delta^2 = -1$ .

The polynomial  $X^2 + 1$  is irreducible over  $\mathbb{R}$ .

It factorizes into  $(X + \delta)(X - \delta)$  in the field *L*.

Every element of *L* can be uniquely expressed in the form  $a + b\delta$ . So *L* is none other than the field  $\mathbb{C}$  of complex numbers.

By the Fundamental Theorem of Algebra every polynomial with coefficients in C factorizes into linear factors.
So every irreducible m in Q[X] factorizes completely in C[X]. If we know the factors, it is easier to deal, e.g., with the subfield Q[i√3] = {a + bi√3 : a, b ∈ Q} of C than with Q[X]/⟨X<sup>2</sup> + 3⟩. The two fields are, of course, isomorphic to each other.

The polynomial m = X<sup>2</sup> + X + 1 is irreducible over Z<sub>2</sub>. Any proper factor would be either X − 0 or X − 1, and neither 0 nor 1 is a root of m. We form the field L = Z<sub>2</sub>[X]/⟨m⟩. It has 4 elements, namely,

 $0 + \langle m \rangle$ ,  $1 + \langle m \rangle$ ,  $X + \langle m \rangle$ ,  $1 + X + \langle m \rangle$ .

We write them as  $0, 1, \alpha$  and  $1 + \alpha$ , where  $\alpha^2 + \alpha + 1 = 0$ . The addition and multiplication in *L* are given by

+	0	1	α	$1 + \alpha$	•	0	1	α	$1 + \alpha$
0	0	1	α	$1 + \alpha$	0	0	0	0	0
1	1	0	$1 + \alpha$	α	1	0	1	α	$1 + \alpha$
α	α	$1 + \alpha$	0	1	α	0	α	$1 + \alpha$	1
$1 + \alpha$	$1 + \alpha$	α	1	0	$1 + \alpha$	0	$1 + \alpha$	1	α

• We show that  $\varphi: \mathbb{Q}[i+\sqrt{2}] \to \mathbb{Q}[X]/\langle X^4-2X^2+9 \rangle$ , defined by

$$\varphi(a) = a + \langle X^4 - 2X^2 + 9 \rangle, \ a \in \mathbb{Q}, \ \varphi(i + \sqrt{2}) = X + \langle X^4 - 2X^2 + 9 \rangle,$$

is an isomorphism. Then, we determine  $\varphi(i)$ . It is clear that  $[\mathbb{Q}[i + \sqrt{2}] : Q] = 4$ . We compute

$$(i+\sqrt{2})^2 = i^2 + 2i\sqrt{2} + 2 = 1 + 2i\sqrt{2};$$
  
(i+\sqrt{2})^4 = (1+2i\sqrt{2})^2 = 1 + 4i\sqrt{2} - 8 = -7 + 4i\sqrt{2}.

We verify

$$(i + \sqrt{2})^4 - 2(i + \sqrt{2})^2 + 9 = -7 + 4i\sqrt{2} - 2 - 4i\sqrt{2} + 9 = 0.$$

So the minimum polynomial of  $i + \sqrt{2}$  over  $\mathbb{Q}$  is  $X^4 - 2X^2 + 9$ . By uniqueness  $\varphi$  is an isomorphism.

George Voutsadakis (LSSU)

Fields and Galois Theory

# Example (Cont'd)

Let a<sub>0</sub>,..., a<sub>3</sub> ∈ Q.
 Observe that

$$a_0 + a_1(i + \sqrt{2}) + a_2(i + \sqrt{2})^2 + a_3(i + \sqrt{2})^3$$
  
=  $a_0 + a_1(i + \sqrt{2}) + a_2(1 + 2i\sqrt{2}) + a_3(5i - \sqrt{2})$   
=  $(a_0 + a_2) + (a_1 + 5a_3)i + (a_1 - a_3)\sqrt{2} + (2a_2)i\sqrt{2}.$ 

Since  $\{1, i, \sqrt{2}, i\sqrt{2}\}$  is linearly independent over  $\mathbb{Q}$ , this equals *i* if and only if

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{rrrr} a_0 + a_2 &=& 0\\ a_1 + 5 a_3 &=& 1\\ a_1 - a_3 &=& 0\\ a_2 &=& 0 \end{array} \right\} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{rrrr} a_0 &=& 0\\ a_1 &=& \frac{1}{6}\\ a_2 &=& 0\\ a_3 &=& \frac{1}{6} \end{array} \right.$$

Thus, 
$$i = \frac{1}{6}((i + \sqrt{2}) + (i + \sqrt{2})^3)$$
.  
So  $\varphi(i) = \frac{1}{6}(X + X^3) + \langle X^4 - 2X^2 + 9 \rangle$