# EXAM 1: SOLUTIONS - MATH 215 INSTRUCTOR: George Voutsadakis

**Problem 1** Let P and Q be propositional forms. Define the new connective  $\Box$  by the following truth table

P	Q	$P \Box Q$
$\overline{F}$	F	F
F	T	T
T	F	T
T	T	F

- 1. Prove that  $P \Box Q$  is equivalent to the negation of  $P \Leftrightarrow Q$ .
- 2. Is  $P \Box Q$  equivalent to  $(P \lor Q) \land \sim (P \land Q)$ ?

### Solution:

1. We have

P	Q	$P \Leftrightarrow Q$	$P\Box Q$	$\sim (P \Leftrightarrow Q)$
F	F	Т	F	F
F	T	F	T	T
T	F	F	T	T
T	T	T	F	F
	F F T	$\begin{array}{ccc} F & F \\ F & T \\ T & F \\ T & F \\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c ccc} F & F & T \\ F & T & F \\ T & F & F \\ T & F & F \\ T & T & T & T \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c cccc} F & F & T & F \\ F & T & F & T \\ T & F & F & T \\ T & F & F & T \\ T & T & T & T \\ \end{array}$

Therefore  $P \Box Q \equiv \sim (P \Leftrightarrow Q)$ .

2. We have

					$P \Box Q$	$(P \lor Q) \land \sim (P \land Q)$
F	F	F	F	T	F	F
F	T	T	F	T	T	T
T	F	T	F	T T T	T	T
T	T	T	T	F	F	F

Therefore  $P \Box Q \equiv (P \lor Q) \land \sim (P \land Q).$ 

**Problem 2** 1. Give the definition of the converse and of the contrapositive of an implication.

2. Prove that the contrapositive is equivalent to the original implication.

## Solution:

1. The converse of  $P \Rightarrow Q$  is  $Q \Rightarrow P$ . The contrapositive of  $P \Rightarrow Q$  is  $\sim Q \Rightarrow \sim P$ .

2. We have

	-	-			$\sim Q \Rightarrow \sim P$
F	F	T	T	T	T
F	T	F	T	T	T
-	-	T	-	F	F
T	T	F	F	T	T

Therefore  $P \Rightarrow Q \equiv \sim Q \Rightarrow \sim P$ .

**Problem 3** 1. Is  $((P \land Q) \Rightarrow R) \Rightarrow ((P \Rightarrow R) \land (Q \Rightarrow R))$  a tautology? Explain!

2. Prove that in  $\mathbb{N}$ ,

$$(\exists x)(x+3=-x+8) \Leftrightarrow (\forall x)(x^2+5 \text{ is prime}).$$

#### Solution:

- 1. Look at the case where P, Q and R are assigned the truth values T, F and F, respectively. Then  $(P \land Q) \Rightarrow R$  is assigned the value T whereas  $(P \Rightarrow R) \land (Q \Rightarrow R)$  is assigned the value F. Thus, under this assignment, the implication  $((P \land Q) \Rightarrow R) \Rightarrow ((P \Rightarrow R) \land (Q \Rightarrow R))$  is assigned the value F. This shows that  $((P \land Q) \Rightarrow R) \Rightarrow ((P \Rightarrow R) \land (Q \Rightarrow R))$  is not a tautology!
- 2. The only solution of the equation on the left is  $x = \frac{5}{2} \notin \mathbb{N}$ . Therefore  $(\exists x)(x + 3 = -x + 8)$  is false in  $\mathbb{N}$ !

If you let x = 1, we get  $x^2 + 5 = 6$  which is not a prime number. Therefore  $(\forall x)(x^2 + 5 \text{ is prime})$  is also false in  $\mathbb{N}$ ! But  $F \Leftrightarrow F = T$ , whence the given biconditional is true in  $\mathbb{N}$ !

- **Problem 4** 1. Suppose that a, b, c are positive integers. Prove that if a divides b and a divides b + c, then a divides c.
  - 2. Prove by contradiction that there is no odd integer that can be simultaneously expressed in the forms 4j - 1 and 4k + 1 for integers j and k.

#### Solution:

- 1. *a* divides *b* implies there exists  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , such that b = ka. Similarly, *a* divides b+c implies there exists  $l \in \mathbb{N}$ , such that b+c = la. Therefore c = (b+c) b = la ka = (l-k)a, whence *a* divides *c*, as was to be shown.
- 2. Suppose, for the sake of obtaining a contradiction, that there exists an odd integer x that may simultaneously be written in the forms x = 4j 1 and x = 4k + 1 for  $j, k \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Then 4j 1 = 4k + 1, whence 4j = 4k + 2 or 2j = 2k + 1. But this last integer is both even and odd, which is a contradiction! Thus no odd integer may be written simultaneously in the forms 4j 1 and 4k + 1 for integers j, k.

**Problem 5** 1. Prove that if x < 1 or x > 3, then  $\frac{x-1}{x-3} > 0$ .

2. Prove or disprove the following quantified statement: There is a unique three-digit number whose digits have sum 8 and product 10.

## Solution:

- 1. This is a classic example of proof by cases! <u>First case</u>: If x < 1, then also x < 3, whence x 1 < 0 and x 3 < 0. Therefore  $\frac{x-1}{x-3} > 0$ . <u>Second case</u>: If x > 3, then also x > 1, whence x 1 > 0 and x 3 > 0. Therefore again  $\frac{x-1}{x-3} > 0$ . In every case, therefore, we have  $\frac{x-1}{x-3} > 0$ , as required.
- 2. Since, for example, both 125 and 152 are three-digit numbers, such that the sum of their three digits is 8 and the product is 10, the given statement is a false statement!